##### [RESOLUTION FOR MPAH CIRCULATION ELEMENT CONSISTENCY AND MITIGATION FEE PROGRAMS]

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY/COUNTY OF

 CONCERNING THE STATUS AND UPDATE OF THE CIRCULATION ELEMENT, AND MITIGATION FEE PROGRAM FOR THE MEASURE M (M2) PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the City/County of desires to maintain and improve the streets within its jurisdiction, including those arterials contained in the Master Plan of Arterial Highways (MPAH); and

WHEREAS, the City/County of has endorsed a definition of and process for, determining consistency of the City’s/County’s Traffic Circulation Plan with the MPAH; and

WHEREAS, the City/County has adopted a General Plan Circulation Element which does not preclude implementation of the MPAH within its jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, the City/County is required to adopt a resolution biennially informing the Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) that the City/County’s Circulation Element is in conformance with the MPAH and whether any changes to any arterial highways of said Circulation Element have been adopted by the City/County during Fiscal Years (FY) 2023-24 and FY 2024-25; and

WHEREAS, the City/County is required to send biennially to the OCTA all recommended changes to the City/County Circulation Element and the MPAH for the purposes of re-qualifying for participation in the Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs; and

WHEREAS, the City/County is required to adopt a resolution biennially certifying that the City/County has an existing Mitigation Fee Program that assesses traffic impacts of new development and requires new development to pay a fair share of necessary transportation improvements attributable to the new development; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council/Board of Supervisors for the City/County of , does hereby inform OCTA that:

1. The arterial highway portion of the Circulation Element of the City/County is in conformance with the MPAH.
2. The City/County attests that no unilateral reduction in through lanes has been made on any MPAH arterials during FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25.
3. The City/County affirms that it will bring forward requests to amend the MPAH, when necessary, in order to ensure that the MPAH and the General Plan Circulation Element remain consistent.
4. The City/County reaffirms that the existing Mitigation Fee Program is in effect.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED THIS [Insert Day] day of [Insert Month], [Insert Year].

##### [RESOLUTION FOR LOCAL SIGNAL SYNCHRONIZATION PLAN UPDATE]

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY/COUNTY OF CONCERNING THE UPDATE OF THE LOCAL SIGNAL SYNCHRONIZATION PLAN FOR THE

MEASURE M (M2) PROGRAM.

WHEREAS, the Orange County Transportation Authority has developed the Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Master Plan to identify traffic signal synchronization street routes and traffic signals within and across jurisdictional boundaries, and defines the means of implementing the Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program; and

WHEREAS, the Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program requires that local jurisdictions adopt a Local Signal Synchronization Plan consistent with the Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Master Plan as a key component of local jurisdictions’ efforts to synchronizing traffic signals across local jurisdictions’ boundaries; and

WHEREAS, the Local Signal Synchronization Plan must be updated by June 30, 2026 to continue to be eligible to receive Net Revenues as part of Measure M2;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council/Board of Supervisors for the City/County of , does hereby inform OCTA that:

1. The City/County adopts and maintains a Local Signal Synchronization Plan which includes goals that are consistent with those outlined as part of the Regional Signal Synchronization Master Plan, including signal synchronization across jurisdictions.
2. The Local Signal Synchronization Plan identifies traffic signal synchronization street routes, including all elements of the Regional Signal Synchronization Network located within the City/County.
3. The Local Signal Synchronization Plan includes the traffic signal inventory for all traffic signal synchronization street routes.
4. The Local Signal Synchronization Plan includes a three-year plan showing capital, operations, and maintenance of signal synchronization along the traffic signal synchronization street routes and traffic signals.
5. The Local Signal Synchronization Plan includes an update on the status and performance of traffic signal synchronization activities.
6. The Local Signal Synchronization Plan includes a discussion on the review and revision, as may be necessary, on the timing of traffic signals on the traffic signal synchronization street routes.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED THIS [Insert Day] day of [Insert Month], [Insert Year].

#### [RESOLUTION FOR PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN ADOPTION]

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY/COUNTY OF

 CONCERNING THE STATUS AND UPDATE OF THE PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE MEASURE M2 (M2) PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the local jurisdiction is required to meet eligibility requirements and submit eligibility verification packages to the Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) in order to remain eligible to receive M2 funds; and

WHEREAS, the local jurisdiction is required to adopt and update a Pavement Management Plan (PMP), using the required format, regarding the status of road pavement conditions and implementation of the PMP on a biennial basis; and

WHEREAS, the local jurisdiction is required to provide a plan that manages the preservation, rehabilitation, and maintenance of paved roads by analyzing pavement life cycles, assessing overall system performance costs, and determining alternative strategies and costs necessary to improve paved roads.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council/Board of Supervisors for the City/County of does hereby inform OCTA that:

1. The PMP is in conformance with the PMP Submittal Template provided in the Countywide Pavement Management Plan Guidelines.
2. The City/County hereby adopts a PMP and has provided an updated PMP report, using the required format, to OCTA.
3. The Public Works Director, City Engineer or designee is authorized to sign the PMP certification form.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED THIS [Insert Day] day of [Insert Month], [Insert Year].