# Procurement Update for the Provision of ACCESS Paratransit Services



# Background

ACCESS service currently operated under contract with Veolia Transportation, Inc.

- Subcontracting agreement with American Logistics, Inc. for supplemental taxi service
- Contract began July 2006
- Initial term of 3 years
- 2009 Contract amended for an additional 3 year term, through June 2012
- 2012 Extended one additional year to allow evaluation of Transit System Study on ACCESS service

#### **Procurement Process**

- Request for Proposals (RFP) 2-1865 released in September 2012
- Pre-proposal meeting and site visit attended by 13 firms
- Three offers received
- Evaluate proposals, interview firms, and request Best and Final Offers from all firms

### **Proposing Firms**

- First TransitCincinnati, Ohio
- MV Transportation, Inc. (MV)
   Dallas, Texas
- Veolia Transportation, Inc. (Veolia)
   Lombard, Illinois

### **Evaluation Committee**

- Community Transportation Services
- Operations Analysis
- Maintenance
- Contracts Administration and Materials Management
- Finance and Administration
- Los Angeles Access Services, Inc.

## Board-Approved Evaluation Criteria

Qualifications of the Firm	20 percent
----------------------------	------------

- Staffing and Project Organization
   25 percent
- Work Plan30 percent
- Cost and Price25 percent

# **Scoring Summary**

Evaluation Criteria	MV Transportation	Veolia Transportation	First Transit
Qualifications of the Firm	18.3	19.0	14.7
Staffing and Project Organization	21.3	22.9	17.9
Work Plan	25.5	25.5	21.0
Subtotal	65.1	67.4	53.6
Cost and Price	25.0	22.0	24.6
Grand Total	90.1	89.4	78.2

# Overview of Cost

Firm	4-Year Initial Term	4-Year Cost Difference	8-Year Contract	Overall Cost Difference
MV Transportation	\$156,690,376		\$334,860,969	
First Transit	\$159,023,038	\$2,332,662	\$340,561,144	\$5,700,175
Veolia Transportation	\$175,234,103	\$18,543,727	\$380,865,824	\$46,004,855

### Overview of Cost (continued)

- Staff requested information to clarify cost proposals
- Staff reviewed elements that account for cost difference
- MV's cost proposal is fair and reasonable

### Service Transition

- Qualifications of the firms
- Use of existing contract staff
- New staff training
- Supplemental service model
- Working with the community

### Conclusion

- MV and Veolia are comparable firms and submitted competitive proposals
- MV currently provides contracted fixed route service for OCTA
- Veolia currently provides ACCESS and supplemental services for OCTA
- Based on Board-approved evaluation criteria, MV received the highest overall score, and therefore recommends the award of the contract to MV

### Next Steps

- Transit Committee
  - February 14, 2013
  - March 14, 2013
- OCTA Board
  - February 25, 2013
  - March 25, 2013