



AGENDA

Technical Advisory Committee

Committee Members

Shaun Pelletier	City of Aliso Viejo
Rudy Emami	City of Anaheim
Tony Olmos	City of Brea
David Jacobs	City of Buena Park
Raja Sethuraman	City of Costa Mesa
Nardy Khan	County of Orange
Doug Dancs	City of Cypress
Matthew Sinacori	City of Dana Point
Mark Lewis	City of Fountain Valley
Don Hoppe, <i>Vice Chair</i>	City of Fullerton
William Murray	City of Garden Grove
Travis Hopkins	City of Huntington Beach
Manuel Gomez, <i>Chair</i>	City of Irvine
Chris Johansen	City of La Habra
Michael Belknap	City of La Palma
Christina Templeton	City of Laguna Beach
Ken Rosenfield	City of Laguna Hills
Nasser Abbaszadeh	City of Laguna Niguel
Akram Hindiyeh	City of Laguna Woods
Tom Wheeler	City of Lake Forest
Dave Hunt	City of Los Alamitos
Mark Chagnon	City of Mission Viejo
David Webb	City of Newport Beach
Christopher Cash	City of Orange
Luis Estevez	City of Placentia
Brendan Dugan	City of Rancho Santa Margarita
Tom Bonigut	City of San Clemente
Steve May	City of San Juan Capistrano
William Galvez	City of Santa Ana
Steve Myrter	City of Seal Beach
Stephanie Camorlinga	City of Stanton
Doug Stack	City of Tustin
Akram Hindiyeh	City of Villa Park
Marwan Youssef	City of Westminster
E. Maximous	City of Yorba Linda

Orange County Transportation Authority
 550 South Main Street, Room 09
 Orange, California
June 27, 2018 1:30 p.m.

Any person with a disability who requires a modification or accommodation in order to participate in this meeting should contact the Measure M2 Local Programs section, telephone (714) 560-5372, no less than two (2) business days prior to this meeting to enable OCTA to make reasonable arrangements to assure accessibility to this meeting.

Agenda descriptions are intended to give members of the public a general summary of items of business to be transacted or discussed. The posting of the recommended actions does not indicate what action will be taken. The Committee may take any action which it deems to be appropriate on the agenda item and is not limited in any way by the notice of the recommended action.

All documents relative to the items referenced in this agenda are available for public inspection at www.octa.net or through the Measure M2 Local Programs office at the OCTA Headquarters, 600 South Main Street, Orange, California.



Call to Order

Self-Introductions

Consent Calendar

All items on the Consent Calendar are to be approved in one motion unless a Technical Advisory Committee member requests separate action on a specific item.

1. Approval of Minutes

Approval of the Technical Advisory Committee regular meeting minutes of April 24, 2018

Regular Items

2. 2019 CTFP Project O & P Call for Projects – Joseph Alcock

Overview

Measure M2 allocates net revenues for the development of various competitive programs which provide funding for transit, environmental cleanup, and local streets and roads projects. Funding for local streets and roads projects is anticipated to be made available (subject to Board of Directors approval) through a 2019 call for projects for the Regional Capacity Program and Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program. In anticipation of the Board of Director's authorization of a 2019 call for projects later this year, staff has updated the Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs Guidelines and is seeking direction to advance these proposed revisions to the Orange County Transportation Authority's Board of Directors for consideration and approval.

Recommendation

Recommend Board of Directors approval of proposed updates to the Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs Guidelines.

3. 2019 Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program Call for Projects – Louis Zhao

Overview

The Orange County Transportation Authority Board of Directors will consider issuing a 2019 Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program Call for Projects in September 2018. Staff is presenting revised guidelines for the Technical Advisory Committee review and comment.

Recommendations

- A. Provide direction to staff on the 2019 Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program Call for Projects.
- B. Recommend Board of Directors approval of the 2019 Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program Guidelines.



Discussion Items

4. Correspondence

OCTA Board Items of Interest

- **Monday, June 11, 2018**

Item 18: Capital Programming Update

Item 19: Orange County Transportation Authority State and Federal Grant Programs – Update and Recommendations

Item 23: Measure M2 Eligibility Review Recommendations for Fiscal Year 2016-17 Expenditure Reports

Item 24: Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs Semi-Annual Review – March 2018

Item 25: 2018 CTFP Project O and P Call for Projects Programming Recommendations

Announcements by Email

- April 25, 2018 Technical Advisory Committee Meeting Agenda, *sent 4/20/18*
- ATP Project Reporting Status, *sent 4/24/18*
- May 9, 2018 Technical Steering Committee – Cancellation Notice, *sent 5/1/18*
- Environmental Cleanup Program Tier 1 FY 18-19 Call for Projects – Application Deadline Approaching, *sent 5/2/18*
- 2019 Active Transportation Program – Orange County Workshops, *sent 5/9/18*
- May 23, 2018 Technical Advisory Committee – Cancellation Notice, *sent 5/14/18*
- HSIP Cycle 9 Call for Projects Webinar Recording and Q&A, *sent 5/29/18*
- Senate Bill 1 Planning Grants Workshops, *sent 6/4/18*
- Reminder: Eligibility Requirements Due June 29, 2018, *sent 6/4/18*
- June 13, 2018 Technical Steering Committee Meeting agenda, *sent 6/7/18*
- June 27, 2018 Technical Advisory Committee Meeting – Save the Date, *sent 6/18/18*

5. Committee Comments

6. Caltrans Update

7. Staff Comments

8. Items for Future Agendas

- 2019 Technical Steering Committee Members
- September 2018 Semi-Annual Review

9. Public Comments

10. Adjournment

*The next regularly scheduled meeting of this Committee is Wednesday, July 25, 2018
at 1:30 p.m.*



Approval of Minutes
April 25, 2018



Voting Representatives Present:

<i>Rudy Emami</i>	<i>City of Anaheim</i>
<i>Tony Olmos</i>	<i>City of Brea</i>
<i>David Jacobs</i>	<i>City of Buena Park</i>
<i>Raja Sethuraman</i>	<i>City of Costa Mesa</i>
<i>Nardy Khan</i>	<i>County of Orange</i>
<i>Kamran Dadbeh</i>	<i>City of Cypress</i>
<i>Mark Lewis</i>	<i>City of Fountain Valley</i>
<i>Don Hoppe</i>	<i>City of Fullerton</i>
<i>Tom Herbel</i>	<i>City of Huntington Beach</i>
<i>Manuel Gomez</i>	<i>City of Irvine</i>
<i>Christina Templeton</i>	<i>City of Laguna Beach</i>
<i>Ken Rosenfield</i>	<i>City of Laguna Hills</i>
<i>Tom Wheeler</i>	<i>City of Lake Forest</i>
<i>Mark Vukojevic</i>	<i>City of Newport Beach</i>
<i>Luis Estevez</i>	<i>City of Placentia</i>
<i>Brendan Dugan</i>	<i>City of Rancho Santa Margarita</i>
<i>Tom Bonigut</i>	<i>City of San Clemente</i>
<i>Steve May</i>	<i>City of San Juan Capistrano</i>
<i>Taig Higgins</i>	<i>City of Santa Ana</i>
<i>Doug Stack</i>	<i>City of Tustin</i>
<i>Marwan Youssef</i>	<i>City of Westminster</i>
<i>E. Maximus</i>	<i>City of Yorba Linda</i>

Orange County Transportation Authority
550 S. Main Street, Room 09
Orange, CA
April 25, 2018 1:30 PM

Guests Present:

Bassem Barsoum
Eduardo Lopez
Reza Faraz
Juanita Martinez
Temo Galvez
Marlon Regisford
Paul Rodriguez
Rick Yee

Staff Present:

Brianna Martinez
Joe Alcock
Harry Thomas
Janet Sutter
Louis Zhao
Adriann Cardoso
Christina Moore

Voting Representatives Absent:

<i>Shaun Pelletier</i>	<i>City of Aliso Viejo</i>
<i>Matthew Sinacori</i>	<i>City of Dana Point</i>
<i>William Murray</i>	<i>City of Garden Grove</i>
<i>Chris Johansen</i>	<i>City of La Habra</i>
<i>Michael Belknap</i>	<i>City of La Palma</i>
<i>Nasser Abbaszadeh</i>	<i>City of Laguna Niguel</i>
<i>Akram Hindiyeh</i>	<i>City of Laguna Woods</i>
<i>Dave Hunt</i>	<i>City of Los Alamitos</i>
<i>Mark Chagnon</i>	<i>City of Mission Viejo</i>
<i>Joe DeFrancesco</i>	<i>City of Orange</i>
<i>Steve Myrter</i>	<i>City of Seal Beach</i>
<i>Stephanie Camorlinga</i>	<i>City of Stanton</i>
<i>Akram Hindiyeh</i>	<i>City of Villa Park</i>
<i>Tiffany Tran</i>	<i>Caltrans</i>



Meeting was called to order by Mr. Manuel Gomez at 1:30 p.m.

Self-Introductions

CONSENT CALENDAR

1. The Minutes for the February 28, 2018 meeting were approved.

Tom Wheeler motioned to approve the Minutes. The item seconded by Doug Stack.

9. Staff Comments

- **Audits of Local Fair Share and Competitive Programs** – Janet Sutter

Ms. Sutter provided an overview of the Measure M2 (M2) audit process and objectives for the M2 Local Fair Share and CTFP programs. Ms. Sutter stated that audits are performed on selected cities every year for Local Fair Share and every other year for CTFP projects. Ms. Sutter stated that there have not been any major findings in the last two rounds of audits. She added that information about OCTA's audit function and resources are available on the Internal Audits page of the OCTA website.

- **SB-1 Local Streets and Roads Program** – Louis Zhao

Mr. Zhao stated that the SB1 Local Streets and Roads project lists are due to the California Transportation Commission (CTC) on May 1, 2018. He added that there was a new online submittal tool on the CTC website with a tutorial. Mr. Zhao stated that expenditure reports are due on October 1.

- **2018 Project X Call for Projects** – Joseph Alcock

Mr. Alcock stated that the ECP Project X Tier 1 Call for Projects closes on Friday, May 18th, 2018 at 5pm.

REGULAR ITEMS

2. March 2018 Semi-Annual Review – Christina Moore

Ms. Moore provided a summary of the March 2018 Semi-Annual Review process and timeline.

Mr. Bonigut noted that the table in Attachment A stated that the City of San Clemente requested a 12-month delay. However, the narrative in Attachment B specified a 24-month delay. Mr. Bonigut stated that the City requested a 12-month delay and asked that staff correct Attachment B. Ms. Moore confirmed that she would correct the discrepancy before taking the item to the OCTA Board of Directors for approval.

There was no further discussion.

Mr. Lewis motioned to approve the item. It was seconded by Mr. May.



3. 2018 CTFP Call for Projects Programming Recommendations – Joseph Alcock

Mr. Alcock provided an overview of the 2018 CTFP Call for Projects programming recommendations.

Mr. Hoppe stated that it was his understanding that the County of Orange (County) had planned to use new SB-1 revenues to fund projects, as opposed to applying for OCTA competitive funds. He inquired as to whether this practice would go into effect in the future.

Ms. Khan explained that the SB-1 revenue the County received for Fiscal Year (FY) 2017-18 was less than what was projected. Ms. Khan stated that the FY 2018-19 revenue is expected to be higher, however it is unknown whether SB-1 will be renewed. Ms. Khan went on to explain that at this point there is no excess SB-1 funding that can be used for capital projects. Ms. Khan stated that the County may reapply for funding for their Brea Canyon/Tonner Canyon Road Intersection Improvements project depending on the ultimate status of SB-1.

Mr. Hoppe asked if the project was eligible for SB-1 funding, if funds were available.

Ms. Khan replied that yes, the County's project is eligible for SB-1 funding.

Mr. Lewis reiterated the County's statement that if SB-1 funds were available, the County would utilize those funds and leave competitive funds open for local agencies.

There was no further discussion.

Mr. Hoppe motioned to approve the item and it was seconded by Mr. Stack.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

4. Active Transportation Program (ATP) Cycle 4 Updates – Paul Martin/Louis Zhao

Mr. Zhao provided a presentation on the Active Transportation Program (ATP) Cycle 4 Call.

Mr. Olmos asked what the anticipated schedule was for the call.

Mr. Zhao replied that the call would be released in May with applications due in July. Mr. Zhao stated that staff recommendations were anticipated in December of 2018 and projects would be awarded in January or February of 2019.

Mr. Martin shared information about upcoming workshops on May 14 and May 21. Mr. Martin stated that the workshops were designed for staff who will be writing applications. Mr. Martin added that the workshops would cover strategies on how to put together a compelling grant application, tips and tricks for getting funding, and more. Mr. Martin stated that the agenda was in development.

Mr. Zhao further explained that the workshop was organized in segments, so that attendees could choose to attend segments that would be most applicable. Mr. Zhao also stated that advocacy organizations would be available to assist as well.

There was no further discussion.

5. SB-1 Presentation – Bassem Barsoum, Caltrans

Mr. Barsoum provided a presentation on SB-1 funding and Caltrans' utilization of those funds.



Mr. Barsoum also introduced Dina El-Tawansy, the new head of Operations and Maintenance for Caltrans Local Assistance.

There was no further discussion.

6. Correspondence

- OCTA Board Items of Interest – See Agenda
- Announcements Sent by Email – See Agenda

7. Committee Comments – None

Mr. Rosenfield commented that local agencies were being sent numerous messages for SB-1 with the same messages and suggested streamlining the flow of information.

8. Caltrans Update

- Local Assistance

Mr. Faraz introduced new staff members including: Oliver Luu who oversees program coordination, and Ann Lee who oversees Local Assistance engineers.

Mr. Faraz also stated that ATP reports are due by May 15 and could be submitted online. He also stated that the ATP Cycle 4 would open starting May 16.

- Planning

Mr. Regisford stated that the ATP Call for Projects will be open on May 16 and that Caltrans was partnering with OCTA for the ATP workshop.

Mr. Regisford also stated that Caltrans' Sustainable Transportation Planning Grants closed in February and awards were anticipated to be announced in May 2018. Compared to ATP, this program is still competitive, but focuses more on planning as opposed to infrastructure. Mr. Regisford stated that the next call for Sustainable Transportation Planning Grants would go out in August. The deadline for applications for the next call would be on October 19, 2018. Mr. Regisford stated that Caltrans would like to see partnering applications which would assist projects being more competitive, which is something CTC prefers.

9. Items for Future Agendas – None

10. Public Comments – None

11. Adjournment at 2:25 p.m.



AGENDA

Technical Advisory Committee

Item #2

Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs – Proposed Guidelines Modifications



June 27, 2018

To: Technical Advisory Committee

From: Orange County Transportation Authority Staff

Subject: Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs – Proposed Guideline Modifications

Overview

Measure M2 allocates net revenues for the development of various competitive programs which provide funding for transit, environmental cleanup, and local streets and roads projects. Funding for local streets and roads projects is anticipated to be made available (subject to Board of Directors approval) through a 2019 call for projects for the Regional Capacity Program and Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program. In anticipation of the Board of Director's authorization of a 2019 call for projects later this year, staff has updated the Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs Guidelines and is seeking direction to advance these proposed revisions to the Orange County Transportation Authority's Board of Directors for consideration and approval.

Recommendation

Recommend Board of Directors approval of proposed updates to the Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs Guidelines.

Background

The Regional Capacity Program (RCP) provides Measure M2 Project O funding for improvements to the Orange County Master Plan of Arterial Highways (MPAH). The program also provides for intersection improvements and other projects to help improve street operations and reduce congestion.

The Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program (RTSSP) provides Measure M2 Project P funding for multi-agency, corridor-based signal synchronization throughout Orange County.

These programs allocate funds through a competitive process and target projects that improve traffic by considering factors such as degree of congestion relief, cost effectiveness, and project readiness.

The Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs (CTFP) document serves as the mechanism with which Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) staff administer the RCP and RTSSP, as well as other competitive transit (Projects S, T, and V) and environmental cleanup programs (Project X).

The CTFP Guidelines identify procedures and requirements that local agencies are required to follow to apply for funding (and following award of funds) in order to seek reimbursement. These guidelines were first approved by the OCTA Board of Directors (Board) on March 22, 2010 and were most recently updated and approved in August 2017.

Discussion

As part of original CTFP Guidelines approval (in 2010), the Board made provisions to modify and adjust the guidelines as needed. In anticipation of Board approval of the 2019 RCP and RTSSP annual call for projects later this year, staff has comprehensively reviewed the Guidelines and made updates, where appropriate, to facilitate program administration.

A general summary of proposed substantive changes is provided below. For a more detailed summary of proposed changes see Attachment A which provides a marked-up excerpt of the Guidelines (in track changes). It should also be noted that for simplicity, proposed changes that were deemed to be non-substantive (i.e. wording/grammatical, streamlining, and clarifications) are generally not identified.

Summary of proposed 2019 Call for Projects Updates:

- Update RCP and RTSSP call application schedule and note an anticipated funding commitment level of approximately \$32 million in M2 Project O funds and approximately \$8 million in M2 Project P funds.
- Page xi, Definition 25: Remove reference to www.sustainableinfrastructure.org and replace with “recycled resources,” in order to be more reflective of actual project applications and activities.
- Page xv, Precept 21: Update language for signage requirements to simplify and direct local agencies to Call for Projects website(s) (<http://www.octa.net/Projects-and-Programs/Plans-and-Studies/Funding->

[Programs/Call-for-Projects/Overview/](#)) for more up to date project specific information.

- Page 2-4, Sequential Programming RCP Process: Note that if an agency is seeking an engineering allocation under the FAST Track approach that they must have received environmental clearance and demonstrate that all necessary easements and titles are in place.
- Page 7-2 RCP Programming Approach: Clarify that projects scoring 50 points or above can be funded via Tier II, once the Tier I process has been completed.
- Page 7-3 RCP Program Approach Table: Remove reference to less than 50 points on the Tier II description.
- Page 7-8; Exhibit 7-3 Freeway Arterial/Street Transition (FAST) CTFP Application Checklist under Construction: Note that as part of a FAST project application that appropriate agreements between the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) and the project lead agency need to be in draft form and/or in place
- Page 7-12 “Sample Resolution for Candidate Orange County Comprehensive Transportation Program Projects” Form: Note that local agencies at a minimum must include items a-h on their city council resolution submittals.
- Chapter 7 remove all references to MPAH Needs Assessment Category. Assessment is nearly 10 years old.
- Chapter 7 remove MPAH Needs Assessment Scoring from all tables and reallocated points to Transportation Significance Category.
- Page 8-2 Objectives: Modify objective for the signal synchronization program to allow for routes in addition to straight-line corridors.
- Page 8-3 2019 Call for Projects; Page 8-5 Application Process; and Page 8-11 Project Definition: Provide clarification on how multiple corridors will be assessed and evaluated.
- Page 8-5 Application Process: Require original photos to be submitted with an electronic copy of applications.
- Page 8-5 Application Process: If OCTA is requested to be the lead agency require current city specifications to be submitted with project applications.
- Page 8-12 Eligible Activities New or upgraded communication systems: Note that systems should be sized for the needed capacity of the Intelligent Transportation System network and that excess capacity is non-participating.
- Page 8-17 Table 8-1 RTSSP Scoring Criteria: Adjust project scoring points in the Project Characteristics and Current Project Readiness categories to reflect current program dynamics.

- Project Characteristics: Reallocate points to incentivize signal timing (non-capital improvements).
- For Current Project Readiness: Reallocate points for Preliminary Engineering Complete category to Re-timing of prior RTSSP category.
- Consolidate Chapter 9 into other chapters in order to remove redundancies.
- General updates and cleanup throughout the document for consistency.

These proposed changes were approved by the Technical Steering Committee (TSC) on June 13, 2018. If approved by the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), the proposed modifications will then be submitted to the OCTA Board for further consideration as part of staff's request to initiate the 2019 call, which would proceed according to the general timeline identified below.

- Board authorization to issue call: August 2018
- Application submittal deadline: October 18, 2018
- TSC/TAC Review of recommended project awards: February/March 2019
- Committee/Board approval of recommended project awards: May 2019

Summary

The CTFP serves as the mechanism OCTA uses to administer the Regional Capacity Program and Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program as well as other competitive programs. In anticipation of a potential 2019 annual call for projects for the Regional Capacity Program and the Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program, staff is seeking approval of proposed modifications to the guidelines. If approved by the Technical Steering Committee these proposed updates will be submitted to the OCTA Technical Advisory Committee and subsequently to the OCTA Board of Directors for review and final approval as part of a 2019 call for projects authorization request later this year.

Attachment

- A. CTFP Guidelines Excerpt – Proposed Revisions

**Comprehensive Transportation Funding
Programs Guidelines Excerpt –
Proposed Revisions**

engineering phase or for the right-of-way phase, and all liens/claims have been settled for the construction phase. The date of project phase completion will begin the 180-day requirement for the submission of a project final report as required by the M2 Ordinance, Attachment B, Section III.A.9.

23. The term “reasonable” in reference to project phase costs shall refer to a cost that, in its nature and amount, does not exceed that which would normally be incurred under the circumstances prevailing at the time the decision was made to incur the cost. Factors that influence the reasonableness of costs: whether the cost is of a type generally recognized as ordinary and necessary for the completion of the work effort and market prices for comparable goods or services.
24. The term “savings” or “project savings” in reference to projects awarded through the CTFP are any grant funds remaining on a particular project phase after all eligible items within the approved project scope have been reimbursed.
25. “Sustainability”, as it applies to capacity enhancing infrastructure projects, refers to project elements that support environmental benefits such as use of renewable or recycled resources ~~as recognized through the Envision Process (www.sustainableinfrastructure.org)~~.
26. The term “Work Force Labor Rates (WFLR)” include direct salaries plus direct fringe benefits.

18. An administrative time extension may be granted for expiring M2 funds for a project that is clearly engaged in the procurement process (advertised but not yet awarded).
19. Funds that have been encumbered shall be used in a timely fashion. For project phases, excluding right-of-way, funds will expire after 36 months from encumbrance. For the right-of-way phase, funds will expire after 36 months from the date of the first offer letter and/or, if contract services are required, 36 months from the contract Notice to Proceed (NTP). Extensions up to 24 months may be granted through the Semi-Annual Review (SAR) process. Extension requests must be received no less than 90 days prior to the encumbrance deadline.
20. Preliminary Engineering allocations can be programmed in two different fiscal years depending on the project schedule and when certain engineering costs will need to occur during the project development and implementation phases. Local agencies can issue a separate NTP on a single contract to ensure compliance with the timely use of funds requirement. Local agencies may also issue separate contracts for the funds programmed in different fiscal years. Local agencies are required to obligate the funds within the same fiscal year of the programming or request a delay at least 90 days prior to the obligation deadline.
21. For all construction projects awarded CTFP funds in excess of \$500,000 and/or exceeding a 90-day construction period schedule, the local agency shall install and remove signage in accordance with OCTA specifications during the construction period. The implementing agency ~~may shall~~ request OCTA furnished signage. OCTA signage specifications can be found on the Call for Projects website (<http://www.octa.net/Projects-and-Programs/Funding-Programs/Call-for-Projects/Overview/>). ~~or it may choose to provide agency furnished signage so long as said signage conforms to OCTA specifications as follows: Signage shall include an M2 logo that is a minimum of 12" tall, an OCTA logo that is a minimum of 3" tall (image files provided by OCTA upon request), verbiage stating "Street Improvements Funded by Measure M" in Myriad Pro, bold condensed font at 256 pt. and "Your dollars at Work" in Myriad Pro, bold condensed font at 180 pt.~~ Agencies will be required to certify that these signage requirements have been met as part of the initial payment process (see chapter 9).
22. OCTA shall reprogram funds derived from savings or project cancellation based upon final project status. An implementing agency may request to transfer 100 percent of savings of M2 funds between the phases within a project with approval from the TAC and Board. Funds can only be transferred to a phase that has already been awarded competitive funds. Such requests must be made prior to the acceptance of a final report and submitted as part of a semi-annual review. State-Local Partnership Program (SLPP) funds are not eligible for the transfer of savings. Agencies may only

hardship or could jeopardize the overall project delivery and milestones. The agency will waive the opportunity to request a project delay under this approach. The Fast Track approach is permitted only for projects that do not have right of way acquisition needs. If seeking engineering funds, the local agency must have received environmental clearance and demonstrate that all necessary easements and titles are in place for local agency use not anticipate any ROW acquisitions. In no circumstances will the Fast Track option be considered for local agency convenience as this could delay implementation of other projects that are shelf ready.

Each call for projects will cover a three-year period that overlaps subsequent future cycles. Funding targets for each cycle are based upon prior funding commitments, anticipated revenues, reprogramming of unused grants (cancellations and savings), and a set aside for future funding cycles.

As part of each call for projects, OCTA will determine an appropriate balance between grants made for the planning and implementation phases.

Tiered Funding

Project funding for Project O (Regional Capacity Program or RCP) will follow a tiered funding process that differentiates between large and small projects. The tiered process is described in detail in Chapter 7.

Funding Projections – Call for Projects

Revenue estimates for M2 are updated annually. Programming decisions are based upon conservative economic assumptions provided by Southern California academic institutions. In the future, OCTA will add project cancellations and realized savings from completed projects to anticipated revenues for redistribution in the first year of each funding cycle.

Project Cost Escalation

OCTA will escalate approved right-of-way and construction projects in years two and three. The match rate percentage identified by implementing agencies in the project grant application shall remain constant throughout the project. This includes projects where the programming has been escalated for future years. OCTA will base escalation rates for future years on Engineering News Record (ENR) Construction Cost Index 20 City Average (CCI) escalation rates.

Chapter 7 - Regional Capacity Program (Project O)

Overview

The Regional Capacity Program (RCP) is a competitive program that will provide more than \$1 billion over a thirty-year period. The RCP replaces the Measure M local and regional streets and roads competitive programs (1991-2011).

Although each improvement category described in this chapter has specific eligible activities, the use of RCP funding is restricted to and must be consistent with the provisions outlined in Article XIX. The California State Controllers Guidelines Relating to Gas Tax Expenditures, which implements Article XIX, will provide additional clarification.

The MPAH serves as the backbone of Orange County's arterial street network. Improvements to the network are required to meet existing needs and address future demand. The RCP is made up of three (3) individual program categories which provide improvements to the network:

- The ACE improvement category complements freeway improvement initiatives underway and supplements development mitigation opportunities on arterials throughout the MPAH.
- The ICE improvement category provides funding for operational and capacity improvements at intersecting MPAH roadways.
- The FAST focuses upon street to freeway interchanges and includes added emphasis upon arterial transitions to interchanges.

Projects in the arterial, intersection, and interchange improvement categories are selected on a competitive basis. All projects must meet specific criteria in order to compete for funding through this program.

Also included under the RCP is the Rail Grade Separation Program (RGSP), which is meant to address vehicle delays and safety issues related to at-grade rail crossings. Seven rail crossing projects along the MPAH network were identified by the CTC to receive TCIF. TCIF allocations required an additional local funding commitment. The RGSP captures these prior funding commitments. Future calls for projects for grade separations are not anticipated.

Funding Estimates

Funding will be provided on a pay-as-you go basis. The RCP will make an estimated \$1.1 billion (in 2005 dollars) available during the 30-year M2 program. Programming estimates are developed in conjunction with periodic calls for projects. Funding is shared with intersection, interchange and grade separation improvement categories. No predetermined funding has been set aside or established for street widening.

Programming Approach

Programming decisions are based upon project prioritization ranking, feasibility and readiness. Each round of funding has resulted in a diverse range of activities, cost and competitive score. Funding applications may seek financial assistance for planning, engineering, right of way, construction or a combination of these activities. Effective grant programs include a combination of project development as well as implementation projects. In order to ensure continued distribution of funding opportunities between small and large-scale projects, a tiered funding approach will be used.

An estimated \$32 million will be available for Project O programming during the 2019 Call for Projects. Category 1 projects are limited to those projects requesting \$5 million or less. Category 2 projects are defined as those requesting more than \$5 million in Measure M2 funds.

Tiered Funding Approach: The two-tiered funding (Tier 1 and Tier 2) approach will only be applicable to the RCP. This approach is proposed to prioritize high scoring projects while providing a balanced program with funding availability for small and large projects. The first tier is for projects scoring 50 points or higher, and the second tier is for ~~projects scoring below 50 points~~ all projects after first satisfying the Tier I ranking. Within Tier 1, two categories would be established with 60 percent (Category 1) of the M2 funds available for smaller projects (requesting \$5 million or less), and 40 percent (Category 2) of the M2 funds available for larger projects (requesting \$5 million or more). This approach is intended to broaden the distribution of M2 funds to higher scoring/lower cost projects and retain the ability to fund larger projects without placing formal funding caps on allocations. Any M2 funds not programmed in Tier I will be designated for Tier 2 allocation. A funding split between small and large projects is not recommended for Tier 2.

Applications may be for any project phase provided it represents a meaningful, logical terminus and is consistent with scoping from a previously funded project if applicable (i.e., if engineering was previously funded, the right of way and/or construction request must be for the same project scope).

	Category 1 (60%)	Category 2 (40%)
Tier I >=50 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$0 - \$5 million • Score at least 50 points • Logical, standalone project • Unallocated balance shifts to Tier II for programming 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$5+ million request • Score at least 50 points • Logical, standalone project • Unallocated balance shifts to Tier II for programming
Tier II < 50 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Balance of unallocated funds from Tier I prioritization • Request can be of any dollar value to compete in Tier II • Multiple segments of the same project cannot be submitted under both categories. 	

If a project is partially funded under Tier I, additional funding will not be considered under Tier II.

2019 Call for Projects

The 2019 Call for Projects (call) for Project O – the Regional Capacity Program (RCP) – under M2 will provide approximately **\$32 million** for streets and roads improvements across Orange County.

Funding will be provided for the three RCP funding programs: ACE, ICE, and FAST. Chapter 7 details the specific program's intent, eligible project expenditures, ineligible project expenditures, and additional information that may be needed when applying for funds. Each section should be read thoroughly before applying for funding. Application should be prepared for the program that best fits the proposed project.

For this call, OCTA shall program projects for a three-year period (FY 19/20 – 21/22), based upon the current estimate of available funds. For specifics on the funding policies that apply to this call, refer to the Program Precepts as found in Section IV of these guidelines.

Applications

In order for OCTA to consider a project for funding, applications will be prepared by the lead agency. A separate application package must be completed for each individual project. Multiple variations of the same project (i.e. with different local match rates) will not be considered. If funding is requested under multiple program components for a single project (i.e. arterials and intersections) a separate application must be prepared for each request. OCTA shall require agencies to submit both online and hardcopy applications for the 2019 call for projects by **5:00 p.m. on FridayThursday, October 189, 2018. Late and/or incomplete submittals will not be accepted.**

Since each funding program has slightly different application requirements, an "Internal Application Checklist Guide" has been provided for the three programs under the RCP (Exhibits 7-1, 7-2, and 7-3). The checklist guide identifies the basic forms and documentation required for each of the program components. In addition, items required at the time of project submittal are differentiated from supplemental items due later. The appropriate checklist should be provided as a cover sheet for **each** application submitted. For any items that are required for the candidate project or program that are missing or incomplete, an explanation should be included in a cover letter with the application. In addition to this checklist guide, please review the **Attachments/Additional Information** section of each program component for a description of supplementary documentation which may be required to support your agency's project application in specific cases.

Additionally, **three (3) unbound hardcopies** of the application and any supporting documentation must be submitted to OCTA by the application deadline. Hardcopy applications should be mailed to:

OCTA

Attention: Jodie McCann

600 S. Main Street

P.O. Box 14184 Orange, CA 92863-1584

Hardcopy applications can be hand delivered to:

600 S. Main Street

Orange, CA 92868

Exhibit 7-1

Arterial Capacity Enhancement (ACE)

CTFP Application Checklist Guide

Planning – Environmental & Engineering

- CTFP Online Application – submitted through OCFundtracker
- Project Description, Scope of Work and Project Limits
- Cost Estimate for Complete Project - ALL PHASES
- General Application Sample Resolution
- ADT Counts and LOS Calculations
- Aerial Photo w/ Proposed Improvements Shown

Right-of-Way

- CTFP Online Application – submitted through OCFundtracker
- Project Description Detail (include plat maps and legal descriptions for proposed acquisitions)
- Detailed right-of-way Acquisition/Disposal Plan using the OCTA provided right-of-way acquisition/disposal plan form available for download at <https://ocfundtracker.octa.net>.
- Cost Estimate for Complete Project - ALL PHASES
 - Estimated right-of-way Cost by Parcel (Land, Improvements Taken, Severance, Goodwill, Incidental Expenses)*
- General Application Sample Resolution
- CEQA Compliance Form (CE, Negative Declaration, EIR)
- Aerial Strip Map w/ Existing and Proposed Improvements Shown
 - Include right-of-way Improvements and Parcels to be Acquired
- Preliminary Construction Layout Plans*
- ADT and LOS Calculations

Construction

- CTFP Online Application – submitted through OCFundtracker
- Project Construction Specifications
- Cost Estimate for Complete Project - ALL PHASES
- General Application Sample Resolution
- CEQA Compliance Form (CE, Negative Declaration, EIR)
- Project Development Documents - Project Report or Materials Report *
- Approved Project Construction Plans*
- ADT and LOS Calculations

NOTE: To qualify for the 10 percent local match discount for measurable improvement of PCI, please include documentation from the last two PMP biennial Measure M Eligibility submittals that provide average PCI for Overall System.

****Items are due after first application review. OCTA staff will contact you regarding those projects that will require this additional information.***

Exhibit 7-2

Intersection Capacity Enhancement (ICE)

CTFP Application Checklist Guide

Planning – Environmental & Engineering

- CTFP Online Application – submitted through OCFundtracker
- Project Description, Scope of Work and Project Limits
- Cost Estimate for Complete Project - ALL PHASES
- General Application Sample Resolution
- Peak Hour Turning Movement Counts, LOS Calculations, and ADT for each leg of the intersection
- Aerial Photo w/ Proposed Improvements Shown

Right-of-Way

- CTFP Online Application – submitted through OCFundtracker
- Project Description Detail (include plat maps and legal descriptions for proposed acquisitions)
- Detailed right-of-way Acquisition/Disposal Plan using the OCTA provided right-of-way acquisition/disposal plan form available for download at <https://ocfundtracker.octa.net>.
- Cost Estimate for Complete Project - ALL PHASES
 - Estimated right-of-way Cost by Parcel (Land, Improvements Taken, Severance, Goodwill, Incidental Expenses) *
- General Application Sample Resolution
- Peak Hour Turning Movement Counts, LOS Calculations, and ADT for each leg of the intersection
- CEQA Compliance Form (CE, Negative Declaration, EIR)
- Aerial Strip Map w/ Existing and Proposed Improvements Shown
 - Include right-of-way Improvements and Parcels to be Acquired
- Preliminary Construction Layout Plans*

Construction

- CTFP Online Application – submitted through OCFundtracker
- Project Construction Specifications
- Cost Estimate for Complete Project - ALL PHASES
- General Application Sample Resolution
- Peak Hour Turning Movement Counts, LOS Calculations, and ADT for each leg of the intersection
- CEQA Compliance Form (CE, Negative Declaration, EIR)
- Project Development Documents - Project Report or Materials Report *
- Approved Project Construction Plans*

NOTE: To qualify for the 10 percent local match discount for measurable improvement of PCI, please include documentation from the last two PMP biennial Measure M Eligibility submittals that provide average PCI for Overall System.

****Items are due after first application review. OCTA staff will contact you regarding those projects that will require this additional information.***

Exhibit 7-3

Freeway Arterial/Streets Transition (FAST)

CTFP Application Checklist Guide

Planning – Environmental & Engineering

- CTFP Online Application – submitted through OCFundtracker
- Project Description, Scope of Work and Project Limits
- Cost Estimate for Complete Project - ALL PHASES
- General Application Sample Resolution
- Peak Hour Turning Movement Counts, LOS Calculations, ADT for arterial and ramp exit volumes
- Caltrans Letter of Support
- Aerial Photo w/ Proposed Improvements Shown

Right-of-Way

- CTFP Online Application – submitted through OCFundtracker
- Project Description Detail (include plat maps and legal descriptions for proposed acquisitions)
- Detailed right-of-way Acquisition/Disposal Plan using the OCTA provided right-of-way acquisition/disposal plan form available for download at <https://ocfundtracker.octa.net>.
- Cost Estimate for Complete Project - ALL PHASES
 - Estimated right-of-way Cost by Parcel (Land, Improvements Taken, Severance, Goodwill, Incidental Expenses) *
- General Application Sample Resolution
- Peak Hour Turning Movement Counts, LOS Calculations, and ADT for each leg of the intersection
- CEQA Compliance Form (CE, Negative Declaration, EIR)
- Aerial Strip Map w/ Existing and Proposed Improvements Shown
 - Include right-of-way Improvements and Parcels to be Acquired
- Preliminary Construction Layout Plans*

Construction

- CTFP Online Application – submitted through OCFundtracker
- Project Construction Specifications
- Cost Estimate for Complete Project - ALL PHASES
- General Application Sample Resolution
- Peak Hour Turning Movement Counts, LOS Calculations, and ADT for each leg of the intersection
- CEQA Compliance Form (CE, Negative Declaration, EIR)
- Project Development Documents - Project Report or Materials Report*
- Approved Project Construction Plans*
- ~~Draft Freeway Agreement, Freeway Maintenance Agreement and Cooperative Funding Agreement between lead agency and Caltrans~~ Appropriate agreements between Caltrans and the project lead agency need to be in draft form and/or in place.

NOTE: To qualify for the 10 percent local match discount for measurable improvement of PCI, please include documentation from the last two PMP biennial Measure M Eligibility submittals that provide average PCI for Overall System.

****Items are due after first application review. OCTA staff will contact you regarding those projects that will require this additional information.***

Attachments

OC Fundtracker Application

Agencies must submit a copy of the OCFundtracker application and scoring information with all application submittals. This document is created within the OCFundtracker web-based application.

"Project Cost Estimate" Form

Include a separate attachment listing all expenditures and costs for the project. Accurate unit prices and a detailed description of work, including design, will be critical when the candidate project is reviewed. For example, design applications should include major tasks that will be performed. Right-of-way cost estimate should include parcel information (including project area needed), improvements taken, severance damages, right-of-way engineering, appraisal and legal costs. Construction should include a listing of all bid items including a maximum 10 percent allowance for contingencies and a maximum 15 percent allowance for construction engineering/project management. The anticipated disbursement of costs (e.g., Agency, Other, Non-Eligible) must also be completed. Agencies should reference the program from which funding is expected to be allocated when completing this portion of the form. Each of the funding programs described in these guidelines may have differing matching fund requirements.

If more than one project phase is requested to be funded, a separate project cost estimate form is to be completed for each phase, or each phase must be clearly indicated and a subtotal prepared on this form. Separate forms should also be prepared if funding for project phases is being requested over multiple fiscal years.

"Sample Resolution" Form

A resolution or minute action must be approved by the local jurisdiction's governing body prior to the Board approval of grant funds. A sample resolution is included as Exhibit 7-4 [local agencies, at a minimum, must include items a-h](#). The mechanism selected shall serve as a formal request for CTFP funds and states that matching funds will be provided by the agency, if necessary. All project requests must be included in this action. **If a draft copy of the resolution is provided, the local jurisdiction must also provide the date the resolution will be finalized by the local jurisdiction's governing body.**

Right-of-way Acquisition/Disposal Plan

For all projects requesting right-of-way phase funding, a detailed plan for acquisition/disposal of excess right-of-way, along with any reasonable labor costs expected, must be included. The right-of-way acquisition/disposal plan and labor cost

estimate must be submitted using the “right-of-way acquisition/disposal plan” form provided by OCTA and available for download at <https://ocfundtracker.octa.net>.

Project Summary Information

For each application that is recommended for funding, the agency shall submit a PowerPoint presentation summarizing the pertinent project information for TAC review and discussion purposes. The presentation shall be no more than three (3) slides and should contain, at a minimum, a project description, project benefits, location map, and cost estimate. **OCTA staff will request the PowerPoint when/if a project is recommended for funding.**

Pavement Management Supporting Documentation

The M2 Ordinance provides for a 10 percent reduction in the required local match if the agency can either:

- a. Show measurable improvement of paved road conditions during the previous reporting period defined as an overall weighted (by area) average system improvement of one Pavement Condition Index (PCI) point with no reduction in the overall weighted (by area) average PCI in the Master Plan of Arterial Highways (MPAH) or local street categories;

or

- b. Road pavement conditions during the previous reporting period within the highest 20% of the scale for road pavement conditions in conformance with OCTA Ordinance No. 3, defined as a PCI of 75 or higher, otherwise defined as in “good condition”.

If an agency is electing to take the 10 percent local match reduction, **supporting documentation indicating either the PCI improvement or PCI scale must be provided.**

Additional Information

The following documentation should be included with your completed project application:

If a project includes more than one jurisdiction and is being submitted as a joint application, one agency shall act as lead agency and must provide a resolution of support from the other agency.

1. Letters of support for the candidate project (optional).
2. Geotechnical\materials reports for all applicable candidate projects (e.g., widening, intersection improvement, new roadway). The reports should contain sufficient detail

for an accurate assessment of improvements needed and costs, since funding will be jeopardized if a project is unable to meet proposed schedule and costs.

3. Preliminary plans, if available for the project. The plans (1"=40' preferred) should include:
 - a. Existing and proposed right-of-way (include plat maps and legal descriptions for proposed acquisitions).
 - b. Agency boundaries, dimensions and station numbers.
 - c. Existing and proposed project features such as: pavement width and edge of pavement, curb, gutter and sidewalk, raised median, driveway reconstruction, signal pole locations, etc.
 - d. Typical cross sections.
 - e. Proposed striping.
 - f. Structural sections per the materials report.
 - g. Proposed traffic signals, storm drains, bridges, railroad crossing improvements, safety lighting, etc.
 - h. If requesting funds for traffic signals, include a traffic signal warrant(s) prepared by the City Traffic Engineer or City Engineer.
 - i. If the project includes construction, relocation, alteration or widening of any railroad crossing or facility, include a copy of the letter of intent sent to the railroad, a copy of which must be sent to the Public Utilities Commission (PUC). Any project including work of interest to a railroad will not be considered for eligibility until the railroad and PUC have been notified.
 - j. If the project is proposed as a staged project and additional funds will be necessary in subsequent calls for projects, the preliminary project statement should be accompanied with a complete preliminary estimate and schedule for the completion of the entire project.
 - k. If the project is proposed as a safety improvement, provide justifying accident data for the past three years and show the expected decrease in intersection or mid-block accident rate.
4. Current 24-hour traffic counts (taken for a typical mid-week period within the preceding 12-month period) for the proposed segment. Projects submitted without "current counts" will be considered incomplete and non-responsive.

Exhibit 7-4

**Sample Resolution for Candidate Orange County
Comprehensive Transportation Programs Projects**

A resolution of the _____ City Council approving the submittal of _____ improvement project(s) to the Orange County Transportation Authority for funding under the Comprehensive Transportation Program

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF _____ HEREBY RESOLVES, DETERMINES, AND ORDERS AS FOLLOWS THAT:

- (a) WHEREAS, the City of _____ desires to implement the transportation improvements listed below; and
- (b) WHEREAS, the City of _____ has been declared by the Orange County Transportation Authority to meet the eligibility requirements to receive M2 "Fair Share" funds; and
- (c) WHEREAS, the City's Circulation Element is consistent with the County of Orange Master Plan of Arterial Highways; and
- (d) WHEREAS, the City of _____ will provide a minimum in __% in matching funds for the _____ project as required by the Orange County Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs Guidelines; and
- (e) WHEREAS, the Orange County Transportation Authority intends to allocate funds for transportation improvement projects, if approved, within the incorporated cities and the County; and
- (f) WHEREAS, the City of _____ will not use M2 funds to supplant Developer Fees or other commitments; and
- (g) WHEREAS, the City/County must include all projects funded by Net Revenues in the seven-year Capital Improvement Program as part of the Measure M2 Ordinance eligibility requirement.
- (h) WHEREAS, the City/County authorizes a formal amendment to the seven-year Capital Improvement Program to add projects approved for funding upon approval from the Orange County Transportation Authority Board of Directors.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

The City Council of the City of _____ hereby requests the Orange County Transportation Authority allocate funds in the amounts specified in the City's application to said City from the Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs. Said funds shall be matched by funds from said City as required and shall be used as supplemental funding to aid the City in the improvement of the following street(s):

ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL on _____, 20____.

SIGNED AND APPROVED on _____, 20____.

City Clerk

Mayor

*Required language a-h

Application Review Process

OCTA staff will conduct a preliminary review of all applications for completeness and accuracy, request supplemental information (i.e., plans, aerial/strip maps, CEQA forms) for projects that appear to rank well during initial staff evaluations, and prepare a recommended program for the TSC. In addition, OCTA may hire a consultant(s) to verify information within individual applications such as, but not limited to, project scope, cost estimates, ADT and LOS. These applications will be selected through a random process.

The following guidelines will be used in reviewing project applications. Any application that does not meet these minimum guidelines must include an explanation of why the guidelines were not met:

1. The travel lane width should be no less than 11 feet (12 feet if adjacent to a raised median or other obstruction) for all arterial highways.
2. For divided roadways, the minimum median width should be no less than 10 feet to allow for turning movements. Divided roadways are defined as those with either a painted or raised median.
3. Arterial highways that are designated for uses in addition to automobile travel (e.g., bicycle, pedestrian, parking) shall provide additional right-of-way consistent with local jurisdiction standards to facilitate such uses.
4. An eight-lane roadway should provide for a continuous median, protected dual or single left-turn pockets as warranted at signalized intersections, single left-turn pockets at non-signalized intersections, and a right-turn lane at signalized intersections where determined necessary by traffic volumes. Right-of-way for a free right-turn lane should be provided at locations warranted by traffic demand.
5. A six-lane divided roadway should provide a continuous median, protected dual or single left-turn pockets as warranted by existing traffic at all signalized intersections, and single left-turn pockets at non-signalized intersections. A right-turn option lane should also be provided as warranted by traffic demand.
6. A four-lane divided roadway should provide a continuous median, protected dual or single left-turn pockets at all signalized intersections, and a left-turn pocket at all non-signalized intersections. A right-turn lane should also be provided as warranted by traffic demand.
7. A four-lane undivided roadway shall provide for a single left-turn pocket at all intersections as warranted by traffic demand.

Applications will be reviewed by OCTA for consistency, accuracy and concurrence. Applications determined complete in accordance with the program requirements will be

scored, ranked and submitted to the TSC, TAC and Board for consideration and funding approval.

Local agencies awarded funding will be notified as to which projects have been funded and from what sources after the Board takes action. A tentative call schedule is detailed below:

Board authorization to issue call: August 2018

Application submittal deadline: October 18, 2018

TSC/TAC Review: February/March 2019

Committee/Board approval: May 2019

Funding

M2 Project O funding will be used for this call.

The CTFP Guidelines include a provision that allows applicants to request right of way (ROW) and/or construction funding prior to completion of the planning phase (including final design) provided that the phase is underway, substantially complete and the agency will complete the activities within six months of the start of the new phase programmed year. A thorough review of eligible activities is not always possible during the call for projects evaluation period. As a result, it is possible that cost elements contained within an application and included in a funding recommendation may ultimately be deemed ineligible for program participation. The applicant is responsible for ensuring projects are implemented according to eligible activities contained within the program guidelines.

Arterial Capacity Enhancements (ACE)

Overview

The MPAH serves as the backbone of Orange County's arterial street network. Improvements to the network are required to meet existing needs and address future traffic demand. The ACE improvement category complements freeway improvement initiatives underway, supplements development mitigation activities and enables improvements based upon existing deficiencies.

Projects in the ACE improvement category are selected on a competitive basis. Projects must meet specific criteria in order to compete for funding through this program.

Objectives

- Complete MPAH network through gap closures and construction of missing segments
- Relieve congestion by providing additional roadway capacity where needed
- Provide timely investment of M2 Revenues
- Leverage funding from other sources

Project Participation Categories

The ACE category provides capital improvement funding (including planning, design, right-of-way acquisition and construction) for capacity enhancements on the MPAH for the following:

- Gap closures – the construction of a roadway to its full MPAH build-out for the purpose of connecting two existing ends of that roadway by filling in a missing segment or for completing the terminus of an MPAH roadway. This applies to increased roadway capacity only as it relates to vehicular traffic.
- Roadway widening where additional capacity is needed
- New roads / extension of existing MPAH facility

Eligible Activities

- Planning, environmental clearance
- Design
- Right-of-way acquisition
- Construction (including curb-to-curb, lighting, drainage, etc.)

Potentially Eligible Items

Below is a list of potentially eligible items. However, final determination of the eligibility of all project related costs will be made at the time of reimbursement. Prior to the submittal of an application for funding, or at any point in the project life cycle, local agencies may meet with OCTA staff to review the eligibility of project related costs. Application review and approval does not guarantee the eligibility of all items.

- Direct environmental mitigation for projects funded by ACE (subject to limitations identified in precepts)
- Storm drains/catch basins/detention basins/bioswales/other pollutant discharge mitigation devices
- Sound walls (in conjunction with roadway improvement mitigation measures)
- Aesthetic improvements including landscaping within the project right-of-way (eligible improvements up to 10 percent of construction costs, provided costs are reasonable for the transportation benefit)
- ITS infrastructure (advance placement in anticipation of future project)
- Rehabilitation and/or resurfacing of existing pavement when necessitated by proposed improvement (such as change in profile and cross section)
- Improvements to private property if part of a right-of-way settlement agreement
- Utility relocation where the serving utility has prior rights as evidenced by a recorded legal document
- Roadway grading within the right-of-way (inclusive of any temporary construction easements and/or right-of-way agreement related improvements) should not exceed a depth for normal roadway excavation (e.g. structural section). Additional grading (e.g. over excavation for poor soil conditions) will be considered on a case by case basis. Agencies shall provide supporting documentation (e.g. soils reports, right-of-way agreements) to justify the additional grading.
- Additional right-of-way to accommodate significant pedestrian volumes or bikeways shown on a Master Plan of Bikeways or in conjunction with the "Complete Streets" effort. These will be considered for eligibility on a case by case basis during the application process.
- Installation of a pedestrian activated traffic signal where necessitated by pedestrian traffic warrants or other engineering criteria.

Environmental mitigation will be allowed only as required for the proposed roadway improvement, and only as contained in the environmental document. Program participation in environmental mitigation shall not exceed 25 percent of the total eligible construction costs.

Longitudinal storm drains are eligible for program participation when the storm drain is an incidental part (cost is less than 25 percent of the total eligible construction cost) of an eligible improvement. Program participation shall not exceed 10 percent of the cost of storm drain longitudinal/parallel and main lines. Storm drain inlets, connectors, laterals and cross culverts shall have full participation in ACE Program funding. Storm drains outside standard MPAH right-of-way widths are not eligible, excluding catch basins within reasonable distance and in general proximity to a project intersection (e.g. within ten feet of the curb return). Catch basins and drainage systems extending into adjacent areas (including public streets) shall not be eligible past the first catch basin designated by aforementioned criteria.

The relocation of detention basins/bioswales are potentially eligible dependent on prior rights and will be given consideration on a case by case basis (see utility relocations below).

Soundwalls are eligible only if they are required as part of the environmental mitigation for the proposed project and the Measure M contribution to the cost of soundwalls shall not exceed 25 percent of the total eligible construction costs. Aesthetic enhancements and landscaping in excess of minimum environmental mitigation requirements are subject to limitations described in this section above.

Roadway grading will be eligible for structural sections within the roadway right of way. Additional grading required within the project limits will be subject to OCTA's review. OCTA will make the determination based on the additional documentation provided to demonstrate local agency's financial obligation to pay for such improvements. Rough roadway grading must be complete prior to project start.

Utility Relocations

The expenses associated with the relocation of utilities are eligible for RCP reimbursement only when all conditions listed below have been met:

- The relocation is made necessary due to conflict with proposed improvements.
- The facility to be relocated is within the project right-of-way.
- It has been determined that the local agency is legally liable for either a portion of or all of the relocation costs.

Liability can be determined by property rights, franchise rights/agreements, state and local statutes/ordinances, permits, a finding by the local agency's counsel, or other recorded legal document. Documentation providing proof of the local agency's liability for the costs of utility relocation must be submitted with an initial payment request (see Chapter 9). Utilities funded through enterprise funds shall not be eligible for reimbursement.

If a relocation is eligible to be reimbursed, and to be performed by the utility owner or by the utility owner's contractor, the work should be included in the right-of-way phase costs and clearly identified in the project application submittal. For eligible relocations to be performed during the construction phase by the local agency's contractor, the work should be included in the plans and specifications similar to other construction activities. Adjustment of existing utilities to grade (e.g. water valves, manhole frames and covers), due to new roadway cross sections are not eligible in the construction phase subject to the limitations previously described. New or relocated fire hydrants are ineligible.



In all cases, eligible costs shall only include "in-kind" relocation. No reimbursements will be made for betterments above the cost of "in-kind" relocation. Additionally, costs submitted for program reimbursement must include any salvage credits received.

Ineligible Expenditures



Items that are not eligible under the ACE Program are:

- Grading outside of the roadway right-of-way not related to a temporary construction easement or right-of-way agreement.
- Rehabilitation (unless performed as component of capacity enhancement project)
- Reconstruction (unless performed as component of capacity enhancement project)
- Grade Separation Projects
- Enhanced landscaping and aesthetics (landscaping that exceeds that necessary for normal erosion control and ornamental hardscape)
- Right-of-way acquisition and construction costs for improvements greater than the typical right-of-way width for the applicable MPAH Roadway Classification. (See standard MPAH cross sections in Exhibit 7-5) Where full parcel acquisitions are necessary to meet typical right-of-way requirements for the MPAH classification, any excess parcels shall be disposed of in accordance with the provisions of these guidelines, State statutes as outlined in Article XIX and the California State Controllers Guidelines Relating to Gas Tax Expenditures.
- Utility Betterments
- Construction of new utilities

Exhibit 7-5
Standard MPAH Cross Sections





PRINCIPAL
144 FT
(8 LANES, DIVIDED)





MAJOR
120FT
(6 LANES, DIVIDED)

Exhibit 7-5 *continued* Standard MPAH Cross Sections





PRIMARY
100 FT
(4 LANES, DIVIDED)




SECONDARY
80 FT
(4 LANES, UNDIVIDED)

Exhibit 7-5 *continued*
Standard MPAH Cross Sections



DIVIDED COLLECTOR
80 FT
(2 LANES, DIVIDED)



COLLECTOR
56 FT
(2 LANES, UNDIVIDED)

Master Plan of Arterial Highway Capacities

Below are the approximate roadway capacities that will be used in the determination of level of service:

<u>Type of Arterial</u>	<u>Level of Service</u>				
	A .51 - .60 v/c	B .61 - .70 v/c	C .71 - .80 v/c	D .81 - .90 v/c	E .91 - 1.00 v/c
8 Lanes Divided	45,000	52,500	60,000	67,500	75,000
6 Lanes Divided	33,900	39,400	45,000	50,600	56,300
4 Lanes Divided	22,500	26,300	30,000	33,800	37,500
4 Lanes (Undivided)	15,000	17,500	20,000	22,500	25,000
2 Lanes Divided	9,000	12,000	15,000	20,000	22,000
2 Lanes (Undivided)	7,500	8,800	10,000	11,300	12,500

Note: Values are maximum Average Daily Traffic

Selection Criteria

Specific selection criteria will be used to evaluate competitive program project applications. Emphasis is placed on existing usage, proposed Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT), level of services benefits, local match rate funding and overall facility importance. Technical categories and point values are shown on Tables 7-1 and 7-2. Data sources and methodology are described below.

Projected/Current Average Daily Trips (ADT): Current ADT is the preferred method of measuring congestion. However, traffic counts projected to the year of opening for the project will be allowed as part of the competitive evaluation. These must be submitted along with current 24-hour traffic counts for the proposed segment for comparison purposes. The agency must submit the project projected ADT, current ADT, the delta, and justification of the increase. Regarding "current" counts, these are defined as those taken for a typical mid-week period within the preceding 12-months. Projects submitted without "current counts" will be considered incomplete and non-responsive. Project applications using projected ADT must use traffic counts taken within the preceding 12 months. Project applications not using projected ADT may use traffic counts taken within the 36 months preceding the application submittal date/ release date of the current call.

Note: New facilities must be modeled through OCTAM and requests should be submitted to OCTA a minimum of six (6) weeks prior to application submittal deadline. **This**

deadline is September 7, 2018 for the 2019 Call for Projects. If modeling requests are not submitted six (6) weeks prior to the application submittal deadline, the application will not be considered. For agencies where event, weekend, or seasonal traffic presents a significant issue, Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) counts can be used, provided the agency gives sufficient justification for the use of AADT.

VMT: Centerline length of segment proposed for improvement multiplied by the existing ADT for the proposed segment length. Measurement must be taken proximate to capacity increase. VMT for improvements covering multiple discrete count segments are calculated on a weighted average basis.

Current Project Readiness: This category is additive. Points are earned for the highest qualifying designation at the time applications are submitted. Local agency should select the most current phase of the project.

- Right-of-Way (All easements and titles) – applies where no right-of-way is needed for the project or where all right-of-way has been acquired/dedicated.
- Right-of-Way (all offers issued) – applies where offers have been made for every parcel where acquisition is required and/or offers of dedication or orders of immediate possession have been received by the jurisdiction.
- Final Design (PS&E) – applies where the jurisdiction’s City engineer or other authorized person has approved the final design.
- Preliminary design (35 percent level) – will require certification from the City Engineer and is subject to verification.
- Environmental Approvals – applies where all environmental clearances have been obtained on the project.

Cost Benefit: Total project cost (including unfunded phases) divided by the existing ADT (or modeled ADT for new segments).

Funding Over-Match: The percentages shown apply to match rates above a jurisdiction’s minimum local match rate requirement. M2 requires a 50 percent local match for RCP projects. This minimum match can be reduced by up to 25 percentage points if certain eligible components are met. If a jurisdiction’s minimum match target is 30 percent and a local match of 45 percent is pledged, points are earned for the 15 percent over-match differential. The pledged amount is considered the committed match rate and will be required, at a minimum, from the local agency throughout the life of the project.

Transportation Significance: Roadway classification as shown in the current MPAH.

~~MPAH Needs Assessment Category: Segment designation as shown in the RCP Needs Assessment study.~~

Operational Attributes (within the roadway): This category is additive. Each category, except Active Transit Routes, must be a new feature added as a part of the proposed project.

- Pedestrian Facilities: Placement of a new sidewalk where **none currently exists** along an entire segment of proposed project.
- Meets MPAH configuration: Improvement of roadway to full MPAH standard for the segment classification.
- Active Transit Route(s): Segments served by fixed route public transit service.
- Bus Turnouts: Construction of bus turnouts.
- Bike Lanes: Installation of new bike lanes
- Median (Raised): Installation of a mid-block raised median where none exists today. Can be provided in conjunction with meeting MPAH standards.
- Remove On-street Parking: Elimination of on-street parking in conjunction with roadway widening project. Can be provided in conjunction with meeting MPAH standards and installation of new bike lanes.
- Sustainability Elements: Includes the use of recycled materials during the roadway construction process (recycled aggregate or rubberized asphalt) or the installation of solar lighting within the roadway cross section. Other elements of sustainability may be considered on a case by case basis.
- Water Conservation: Includes elements that reduce water consumption, compared to current usage within project limits, such as the replacement of existing landscaping with hardscape and/or "California Native" drought tolerant type landscaping; the replacement of existing sprinklers with drip irrigation systems; the installation of new "grey" or recycled water systems where such does not currently exist.
- Safety Improvements: Project features that increase the safety of pedestrians. These elements can include the new installation of: median barriers, curb extensions, residential traffic diverters, pedestrian crossing islands, pedestrian activated signals, crosswalk enhancements, safety signage, and the addition, modification, or improvement of existing pedestrian signals. Other elements of safety may be considered on a case by case basis.
- Other (Golf cart paths in conformance with California Vehicle Code and which are demonstrated to remove vehicle trips from roadway).

Improvement Characteristics: Select one characteristic which best describes the project:

- Gap Closures: the construction of a roadway to its full MPAH build-out for the purpose of connecting two existing ends of that roadway by filling in a missing segment or for completing the terminus of an MPAH roadway. This applies to increased roadway capacity only as it relates to vehicular traffic.

- New Facility/Extensions: Construction of new roadways.
- Bridge crossing: Widening of bridge crossing within the project limits.
- Adds capacity: Addition of through traffic lanes.
- Improves traffic flow: Installation of a median, restricting cross street traffic, adding midblock turn lanes, or elimination of driveways.

LOS Improvement: This category is a product of the existing or projected LOS based upon volume/capacity– or v/c -- and LOS improvement “with project”. **Projects must meet a minimum existing or projected LOS of “D” (.81 v/c) “without project” condition to qualify for priority consideration for funding.** Existing LOS is determined using current 24-hour traffic counts (~~averaging AM/PM peaks~~) for the proposed segment. However, for projects where traffic volumes follow unconventional patterns, unidirectional volumes may be proposed as an acceptable alternate methodology for determining LOS. If unidirectional volumes are used for level of service calculations, ADT for the proposed direction of improvement shall serve as the basis for ADT, cost benefit and vehicle miles travelled (VMT) scoring categories. Projects that do not meet the minimum LOS “D” can be submitted, but are not guaranteed consideration as part of the competitive process.

If during the competitive process, it is determined that additional programming capacity exists after all eligible projects with LOS “D” have been funded, a consideration of projects with a minimum LOS “C” (.71 v/c) may be undertaken. Such consideration will be at the discretion of OCTA. Projects with an LOS better than “C” (.70 v/c) will not be considered.

Application Process

Project grants are determined through a competitive application process. Local agencies seeking funding must complete a formal application and provide supporting documentation that will be used to evaluate the project proposal as outlined below. Detailed instructions and checklists are provided in this chapter.

Complete application

- Funding needs by phase and fiscal year
- Local committed match funding source, confirmed through city council resolution or minute order
- Supporting technical information (including current traffic counts)
- Project development and implementation schedule
- Right-of-way status and detailed plan for acquisition/disposal of excess right-of-way. The right-of-way acquisition/disposal plan must be submitted using the “right-of-way acquisition/disposal plan” form provided by OCTA and available for download at <https://ocfundtracker.octa.net>.

- Any additional information deemed relevant by the applicant
- Grants subject to Master Funding Agreement

Calls are expected to be issued on an annual basis, or as determined by the Board. Complete project applications must be submitted by the established due date to be considered eligible for consideration.

Minimum Eligibility Requirements

Projects must have an existing or projected LOS "D" (.81 v/c) or worse to qualify for priority consideration for funding in this program.

All project roadways must be identified on the MPAH network. Local streets not shown on the MPAH are not eligible for funding through this program.

New Facilities

New facilities must be modeled through OCTAM. A local agency planning on submitting a request for funding for a new facility must submit a modeling request a minimum of six (6) weeks prior to the application submittal deadline. If modeling requests are not submitted six (6) weeks prior to the application submittal deadline, the application associated with the related project will not be considered. Any request for modeling **must be submitted to OCTA no later than September 7, 2018** for the 2019 Call for Projects.

Facility Modeling: For consistency purposes, all proposed new facilities will be modeled by OCTA using the most current version of OCTAM. Applicants may supplement their application with a locally-derived model with OCTAM used for validation purposes. The facility will be modeled with the lane capacity reflected in the application.

Average Daily Trips Determination: OCTAM will provide an "existing" ADT using a "with project" model run under current conditions. The ADT for the proposed segment will serve as the ADT value to be considered in the application.

LOS Improvement: LOS on existing facilities may be positively or negatively affected by a proposed new roadway segment through trip redistribution. A current condition model run is generated "with" and "without" the proposed project. The intent is to test the efficacy of the proposed segment. A comparison of these before and after project runs (using current traffic volumes) yields potential discernable changes in LOS. The greatest benefit is generally on a parallel facility directly adjacent to the proposed project. Trip distribution changes generally dissipate farther from the project. For evaluation purposes, the segment LOS (determined through a simple volume / capacity calculation) for the "with" and "without project" will be used for the existing LOS and LOS improvement calculations.

Matching Funds

Local agencies are required to provide local match funding for each phase of the project. As prescribed by the M2 Ordinance, the minimum local match requirement is 50 percent with potential to reduce this amount if certain eligibility requirements are met. The amount pledged during the application process is considered the committed match rate and will be required, at a minimum, from the local agency throughout the life of the project. Actual project contributions by the local agency are dependent on final project costs and may not be equal to the committed match rate in the event of cost overruns. OCTA will not increase the funding grant to cover cost overruns. Ineligible expenditures do not contribute to the local match rate.

Other Application Materials

Supporting documentation will be required to fully consider each project application. In addition to the funding plan described above, local agencies will be required to submit the following materials:

Council Approval: A Council Resolution or Minute Order action authorizing request for funding consideration with a commitment of local match funding must be provided with the project application. **If a draft copy of the resolution is provided, the local agency must also provide the date the resolution will be finalized by the local agency's governing body.** A final copy of the City Council approved resolution must be provided at least four (4) weeks **PRIOR** to the consideration of programming recommendations by OCTA's Board of Directors.

Project Documentation: If proposed project has completed initial planning activities (such as Project Study Report (PSR) or equivalent, Environmental Impact Report (EIR), or design), evidence of approval should be included with the application. Satisfactory evidence includes project approval signature page, engineer-stamped site plan, or other summary information to demonstrate completion or planning phases. An electronic copy of the PSR and/or environmental document must be supplied as applicable. The applicant will be asked for additional detailed information if necessary to adequately evaluate the project application.

Project Summary Information: With each application being recommended for funding, the agency shall submit a PowerPoint presentation summarizing the pertinent project information for review and discussion purposes. The presentation shall be no more than three (3) slides and should contain, at a minimum, a project description, project benefits, location map, and cost estimate. **OCTA staff will request the PowerPoint when/if a project is recommended for funding.**

Reimbursements

This program is administered on a reimbursement basis for capital improvements, planning, design, and right-of-way acquisition. Reimbursements will be disbursed upon review and approval of an acceptable initial payment submittal, final report, and consistency with Master Funding Agreement or cooperative agreement if federal funds are awarded. The reimbursement process is more fully described in Chapter 9 of this manual.

Project Cancellation

If a local agency decides to cancel a project, for whatever reason, the agency shall notify OCTA as soon as possible. Projects deemed infeasible during the planning phase shall bring that phase to a logical conclusion, file a final report, and cancel remaining phases so that remaining funds can be reprogrammed without penalty. All right-of-way funding received for property acquisition prior to cancellation shall be repaid upon cancellation even if property has been acquired. All construction funding received prior to cancellation shall be repaid upon cancellation.

Cancelled projects will be eligible to reapply upon resolution of issues that led to original project termination. Agencies can resubmit an application for funding consideration once either the cancellation of the existing funding grant has been approved by the OCTA Board or is in the process of approval through the semi-annual review. In the event the OCTA Board does not approve the cancellation, the lead agency will be required to withdraw the application.

Audits

All M2 payments are subject to audit. Local agencies must follow established accounting requirements and applicable laws regarding the use of public funds. Failure to submit to an audit in a timely manner may result in loss of future funding. Misuse or misrepresentation of M2 funding will require remediation, which may include repayment, reduction in overall grant, and/or other sanctions to be determined. Audits shall be conducted by OCTA's Internal Audit department or other authorized agent either through the normal annual process or on a schedule to be determined by the Board (see Chapter 10).

Proceeds from the sale of excess right-of-way acquired with program funding must be paid back to the project fund as described in Chapter 9 and the Master Funding Agreement.

**Table 7-1
Regional Capacity Program
Street Widening**

Category	Points Possible	Percentage
Facility Usage		25%
Existing ADT	10	10%
Existing VMT	10	10%
Current Project Readiness	10	5%
Economic Effectiveness		20%
Cost Benefit	10	15%
Funding Over-Match	5	5%
Facility Importance		20%
Transportation Significance	<u>105</u>	<u>105%</u>
MPAH Assessment Category	5	10%
Operational Efficiency	10	5%
Benefit		35%
Improvement Characteristics	10	10%
Level of Improvement and Service	25	25%
Total	100	100%

Table 7-2

**ACE SCORING CRITERIA
Point Breakdown for Arterial Capacity Enhancement Projects
Maximum Points = 100**

Facility Usage		Points: 25	Facility Importance		Points: 20
<u>Existing ADT Range</u>		<u>Points</u>	<u>Transportation Significance Range</u>		<u>Points</u>
45+	thousand	10	Principal or CMP Route	10 5	
40 - 44	thousand	8	Major	8 4	
35 - 39	thousand	6	Primary	6 3	
30 - 34	thousand	5	Secondary	4 2	
25 - 29	thousand	4	Collector	2 1	
20 - 24	thousand	3			
15 - 19	thousand	2			
10 - 14	thousand	1			
<10	thousand	0			
<u>VMT Range</u>		<u>Points</u>			
31+	thousand	10			
26 - 30	thousand	8			
22 - 25	thousand	6			
18 - 21	thousand	5			
14 - 17	thousand	4			
11 - 13	thousand	3			
8 - 10	thousand	2			
4 - 7	thousand	1			
<4	thousand	0			
<u>Current Project Readiness</u>		<u>Max Points: 10</u>			
Environmental Approvals		2			
Preliminary Design (35%)		2			
ROW (All offers issued)		2			
Final Design (P&E)		4			
ROW (All easement and titles)		5			
Points are additive. Design and Right of Way (ROW) limited to highest qualifying designation.					
Economic Effectiveness		Points: 15	Benefit		Points: 35
<u>Cost Benefit (Total \$/ADT)</u>			<u>Improvement Characteristics</u>		<u>Points</u>
<u>Range*</u>		<u>Points</u>	Gap Closure		10
< 99		10	New Facility/Extension		8
3 - 149		9	Bridge Crossing		8
6 - 199		7	Adds Capacity		6
9 - 249		5	Improves Traffic Flow		2
12 - 299		4			
15 - 349		3			
18 - 399		2			
21 - 499		1			
500+		0			
Funding Over-Match (local match/project cost) minus minimum local match requirement			<u>LOS Improvement</u>		<u>Max Points: 25</u>
<u>Range*</u>		<u>Points</u>	<u>Existing LOS Starting Point Range (LOS Imp x LOS Starting Pt)</u>		<u>Points</u>
25+%		10	1.01+		5
20 - 24%		9	.96 - 1.00		4
15 - 19%		7	.91 - .95		3
10 - 14%		4	.86 - .90		2
5 - 9%		4	.81 - .85		1
0 - 4%		3	<u>LOS Improvement with Project (exist. Volume)</u>		
*Range refers to % points above agency minimum requirement.					
			<u>Existing LOS Starting Point Range</u>		<u>Points</u>
			.20+		5
			.16 - .19		4
			.1 - .15		3
			.05 - .09		2
			.01 - .05		1

Intersection Capacity Enhancements (ICE)

Overview

The MPAH serves as the backbone of Orange County's arterial street network. Intersections at each intersecting MPAH arterial throughout the County will continue to require improvements to mitigate current and future needs. The ICE improvement category complements roadway improvement initiatives underway and supplements development mitigation opportunities.

Projects in the ICE improvement category are selected on a competitive basis. Projects must meet specific criteria in order to compete for funding through this program.

For the purposes of the ICE improvement category, the limits of an intersection shall be defined as the area that includes all necessary (or planned) through lanes, turn pockets, and associated transitions required for the intersection. Project limits of up to a maximum of 600 feet for each intersection leg are allowable. Projects that, due to special circumstances, must exceed the 600-foot limit, shall include in their application the request for a technical variance. The project shall be presented to the Technical Steering Committee by the local agency to request approval of the variance.

Objectives

- Improve MPAH network capacity and throughput along MPAH facilities
- Relieve congestion at MPAH intersections by providing additional turn and through lane capacity
- Improve connectivity between neighboring jurisdiction by improving operations
- Provide timely investment of M2 revenues

Project Participation Categories

The ICE category provides capital improvement funding (including planning, design, right-of-way acquisition and construction) for intersection improvements on the MPAH network for the following:

- Intersection widening – constructing additional through lanes and turn lanes, extending turn lanes where appropriate, and signal equipment
- Street to street grade separation projects

Eligible Activities

- Planning, environmental clearance
- Design (plans, specifications, and estimates)
- Right-of-way acquisition
- Construction (including bus turnouts, curb ramps, median, and striping)

Potentially Eligible Items

Below is a list of potentially eligible items. However, final determination of the eligibility of all project related costs will be made at the time of reimbursement. Prior to the submittal of an application for funding, or at any point in the project life cycle, local agencies may meet with OCTA staff to review the eligibility of project related costs. Application review and approval does not guarantee the eligibility of all items.

- Required environmental mitigation for projects funded by ICE
- Storm drains/catch basins/detention basins/bioswales/other pollutant discharge mitigation devices
- Sound walls (in conjunction with roadway improvement mitigation measures)
- Aesthetic improvements including landscaping within the project right-of-way (eligible improvements up to 10 percent of construction costs, provided costs are reasonable for the transportation benefit)
- Signal equipment (as incidental component of program), including the installation or upgrade of pedestrian countdown heads
- Bicycle detection systems
- Rehabilitation and/or resurfacing of existing pavement when necessitated by proposed improvement (such as change in profile and cross section)
- Improvements to private property if part of a right-of-way settlement agreement
- Utility relocation where the serving utility has prior rights as evidenced by a recorded legal document and are located within the roadway right-of-way.
- Roadway grading within the right-of-way (inclusive of any temporary construction easements and/or right-of-way agreement related improvements) should not exceed a depth for normal roadway excavation (e.g. structural section). Additional grading (e.g. over excavation for poor soil conditions) will be considered on a case by case basis. Agencies shall provide supporting documentation (e.g. soils reports, right-of-way agreements) to justify the additional grading.

Ineligible Items

- Grading outside of the roadway right-of-way not related to a temporary construction easement or right-of-way agreement.

- Right-of-way acquisition greater than the typical right-of-way width for the applicable MPAH Roadway Classification. Additional turn lanes not exceeding 12 feet in width needed to maintain an intersection LOS D requiring right-of-way in excess of the typical right-of-way width for the applicable MPAH classification shall be fully eligible. Where full parcel acquisitions are necessary to meet typical right-of-way requirements for the MPAH classification any excess parcels shall be disposed of in accordance with State statutes and the acquisition/disposal plan submitted in accordance with these guidelines.
- Enhanced landscaping and aesthetic improvements (landscaping that exceeds that necessary for normal erosion control and ornamental hardscape).

Environmental mitigation will be allowed only as required for the proposed roadway improvement and only as contained in the environmental document. Program participation in environmental mitigation shall not exceed 25 percent of the total eligible project costs.

Longitudinal storm drains are eligible for program participation when the storm drain is an incidental part (cost is less than 25 percent of the total eligible improvement cost) of an eligible improvement. Program participation shall not exceed 10 percent of the cost of storm drain longitudinal/parallel and main lines. Storm drain inlets, connectors, laterals and cross culverts shall have full participation in ICE improvement category funding. Storm drains outside standard MPAH right-of-way widths are not eligible, excluding catch basins within reasonable distance and in general proximity to a project intersection (e.g. within ten feet of the curb return). Catch basins and drainage systems extending into adjacent areas (including public streets) shall not be eligible past the first catch basin.

Soundwalls are eligible only if they are required as part of the environmental clearance for the proposed project and shall not exceed 25 percent of the total eligible project costs. Aesthetic enhancements and landscaping in excess of minimum environmental mitigation requirements are subject to limitations described in the "Potentially Eligible Item" section above.

The relocation of detention basins/bioswales/other pollutant discharge mitigation devices are potentially eligible dependent on who has prior rights and will be given consideration on a case by case basis (see utility relocations below).

Roadway grading is eligible for structural sections. OCTA assumes rough roadway grading is complete prior to project start and is considered an ineligible item.

Utility Relocations

The expenses associated with the relocation of utilities are eligible for RCP reimbursement when all conditions listed below have been met:

2019 Call for Projects

7-33

As of 8/13/2018

- The relocation is made necessary due to conflict with proposed improvements.
- The facility to be relocated is within the project right-of-way.
- It has been determined that the local agency is legally liable for either a portion of or all of the relocation costs.

Liability can be determined by property rights, franchise rights/agreements, state and local statutes/ordinances, permits, a finding by the local agency's counsel, or other recorded legal document. Documentation providing proof of the local agency's liability for the costs of utility relocation must be submitted with an initial payment request (see Chapter 9). Utilities funded through enterprise funds shall not be eligible for reimbursement.

If a relocation is eligible to be reimbursed, and to be performed by the utility owner or by the utility owner's contractor, the work should be included in the right-of-way phase costs and clearly identified in the project application submittal. For eligible relocations to be performed during the construction phase by the local agency's contractor, the work should be included in the plans and specifications similar to other construction activities. Adjustment of existing utilities to grade (e.g. water valves, manhole frames and covers), due to new roadway cross sections are generally eligible in the construction phase.

In all cases, eligible costs shall only include "in-kind" relocation. No reimbursements will be made for betterments above the cost of "in-kind" relocation. Additionally, costs submitted for program reimbursement must include any salvage credits received.

Selection Criteria

Specific selection criteria will be used to evaluate competitive program project applications. Emphasis is placed on existing usage, LOS benefits, local match funding, and overall facility importance. Technical categories and point values are shown on Tables 7-3 and 7-4. Data sources and methodology are described below.

Projected/Current Average Daily Trips (ADT): Current ADT is the preferred method of measuring congestion. However, traffic counts projected to the year of opening for the project will be allowed as part of the competitive evaluation. These must be submitted along with current 24-hour traffic counts for the proposed segment for comparison purposes. The agency must submit the project projected ADT, current ADT, the delta, and justification of the increase. Regarding "current" counts, these are defined as those taken for a typical mid-week period within the preceding 12-months. Project applications using projected ADT must use traffic counts taken within the preceding 12 months. Project applications not using projected ADT may use traffic counts taken within the preceding 36 months. Project applications without "current" counts will be deemed

incomplete and non-responsive. Average ADT for the east and west legs of the intersection will be added to the average ADT for the north and south legs.

For agencies where event or seasonal traffic presents a significant issue, Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) counts can be used, provided the agency gives sufficient justification for the use of AADT.

Current Project Readiness: This category is additive. Points are earned for each satisfied readiness stage at the time applications are submitted. Local agency should select the most current phase of the project.

- Right-of-Way (all easements and titles) – applies where no right-of-way is needed for the project or where all right-of-way has been acquired/dedicated.
- Right-of-Way (all offers issued) – applies where offers have been made for every parcel where acquisition is required and/or offers of dedication or orders of immediate possession have been received by the jurisdiction. Documentation of right-of-way possession will be required with application submittal.
- Final Design (PS&E) – applies where the jurisdiction’s City Engineer or other authorized person has approved the final design.
- Preliminary design (35 percent level) – will require certification from the City Engineer and is subject to verification.
- Environmental Approvals – applies where all environmental clearances have been obtained on the project.

Cost Benefit: Total project cost (included unfunded phases) divided by the existing ADT (or modeled ADT for new segments).

Funding Over-Match: The percentages shown apply to match rates above a jurisdiction’s minimum match rate requirement. M2 requires a 50 percent local match for RCP projects. This minimum match can be reduced by up to 25 percentage points if certain eligible components are met. If a jurisdiction’s minimum match target is 30 percent and a local match of 45 percent is pledged, points are earned for the 15 percent over-match. The pledged amount is considered the committed match rate and will be required, at a minimum, from the local agency throughout the life of the project.

Coordination with Contiguous project: Projects that complement a proposed arterial improvement project with a similar implementation schedule earn points in this category. This category is intended to recognize large projects that segregate intersection components from arterial components for funding purposes.

Transportation Significance: Roadway classification as shown in the current MPAH.

~~MPAH Needs Assessment Category: Segment designation as shown in the RCP Needs Assessment study.~~

Operational Attributes (within the roadway): This category is additive. Each category must be a new feature added as a part of the proposed project.

- Bike Lanes: Extension of bike lanes through intersection
- Bus Turnouts: Construction of a bus turnout as a new feature.
- Lowers density: Addition of through travel lanes.
- Channels traffic: Addition and/or extension of turn pockets (other than free right turn).
- Free right turn: installation of new free right or conversion of an existing right turn to free right
- Protected/permissive left turn: Convert from protected to protected/permissive
- Pedestrian Facilities: Placement of a new sidewalk if none currently exists.
- Grade separations: Street to street grade separations and do not apply to rail grade separation projects which are covered by the grade separation program category.
- Sustainability Elements: Includes the use of recycled materials during the roadway construction process (recycled aggregate or rubberized asphalt) or the installation of solar lighting within the roadway cross section. Other elements of sustainability may be considered on a case by case basis.
- Water Conservation: Includes elements that reduce water consumption. Such as the replacement of existing landscaping with hardscape and/or "California Native" drought tolerant type landscaping; the replacement of existing sprinklers with drip irrigation systems; the installation of new "grey" or recycled water systems where such does not currently exist.
- Safety Improvements: Project features that increase the safety of pedestrians. These elements can include the new installation of: median barriers, curb extensions, residential traffic diverters, pedestrian crossing islands, pedestrian activated signals, crosswalk enhancements, safety signage, and the addition, modification, or improvement of existing pedestrian signals. Other elements of safety may be considered on a case by case basis.

LOS Improvement: This category is a product of the existing or projected LOS based upon volume/capacity– or v/c -- and LOS improvement "with project" using Intersection Capacity Utilization (ICU) calculation with 1,700 vehicles per lane per hour and a .05 clearance interval. Calculations will be based upon "current" arterial link and turning movement counts projected to opening year. **Projects must meet a minimum existing or projected LOS of "D" (.81 v/c) to qualify for priority consideration for funding.** Existing LOS is determined using ~~current~~ 24 peak hour traffic counts/turning movements (~~averaging~~ AM/PM peak periods) for the proposed segment

utilizing Intersection Capacity Utilization (ICU) methodology and using 1,700 vehicles per lane/per hour and a .05 clearance interval.

For projects where traffic volumes follow unconventional patterns (e.g. unidirectional congestion, large disparity between AM and PM peaks, etc.) HCM 2010 may be proposed as an alternate methodology for determining LOS. HCM calculations must use SYNCHRO and be supported with complete calculation documentation using standard industry approaches and current signal timing plans. If an alternative methodology is proposed, all analysis **must be submitted to OCTA for review no later than September 7, 2018** for the 2019 Call for Projects. OCTA will contract with an independent third-party firm to review the technical analysis. The cost for the review will be charged to the applicant.

Projects that do not meet the minimum LOS "D" can be submitted but are not guaranteed consideration as part of the competitive process.

If during the competitive process, it is determined that additional programming capacity exists after all eligible projects with LOS "D" have been funded, a consideration of projects with a minimum LOS "C" (.71 v/c) may be undertaken. Such consideration will be at the discretion of OCTA. Projects with a LOS better than "C" (.70 v/c) will not be considered.

Application Process

Project grants are determined through a competitive application process. Local agencies seeking funding must complete a formal application and provide supporting documentation that will be used to evaluate the project proposal as outlined below. Detailed instructions and checklists are provided in this chapter.

- Complete application
 - Funding needs by phase and fiscal year
 - Local match funding source, confirmed through city council resolution or minute order
 - Supporting technical information (including current arterial link and turning movement counts)
 - Project development and implementation schedule
 - Right-of-way status and a detailed plan for acquisition/disposal of excess right-of-way. The right-of-way acquisition/disposal plan must be submitted using the "right-of-way acquisition/disposal plan" form provided by OCTA and available for download at <https://ocfundtracker.octa.net>.
 - Any additional information deemed relevant by the applicant
- Grants subject to master funding agreement

Calls for projects are expected to be issued on an annual basis, or as determined by the Board. Complete project applications must be submitted by the established due date to be considered eligible for consideration.

Minimum Eligibility Requirements

Projects must have an existing or projected LOS "D" (.81 v/c) or worse to qualify for priority consideration for funding in this program.

All project roadways must be identified on the MPAH network. Local streets not shown on the MPAH are not eligible for funding through this program.

Matching Funds

Local agencies are required to provide local match funding for each phase of the project. As prescribed by the M2 Ordinance, the minimum local match requirement is 50 percent with potential to reduce this amount if certain eligibility requirements are met. The amount pledged during the application process is considered the committed match rate and will be required, at a minimum, from the local agency throughout the life of the project. Actual project contributions by the local agency are dependent on final project costs and may not be equal to the committed match rate in the event of cost overruns. OCTA will not increase the funding grant to cover cost overruns. Ineligible expenditures do not contribute to the local match rate.

Other Application Materials

Supporting documentation will be required to fully consider each project application. In addition to the funding plan described above, local agencies will be required to submit the following materials:

Council Approval: A Council Resolution or Minute Order action authorizing request for funding consideration with a commitment of local match funding must be provided with the project application. **If a draft copy of the resolution is provided, the local agency must also provide the date the resolution will be finalized by the local agency's governing body.** A final copy of the City Council approved resolution must be provided at least four (4) weeks **PRIOR** to the consideration of programming recommendations by OCTA's Board of Directors.

Project Documentation: If proposed project has completed initial planning activities (such as PSR or equivalent, EIR, or design), evidence of approval should be included with the application. Satisfactory evidence includes project approval signature page, engineer-stamped site plan, or other summary information to demonstrate completion or planning phases. An electronic copy of the PSR and/or environmental document must be supplied

as applicable. The applicant will be asked for additional detailed information only if necessary to adequately evaluate the project application.

Project Summary Information: With each application being recommended for funding, the agency shall submit a PowerPoint presentation summarizing the pertinent project information for review and discussion purposes. The presentation shall be no more than three (3) slides and should contain, at a minimum, a project description, project benefits, location map, and cost estimate. **OCTA staff will request the PowerPoint when/if a project is recommended for funding.**

Reimbursements

This program is administered on a reimbursement basis for capital improvements, planning, design, and right-of-way acquisition. Reimbursements will be disbursed upon review and approval of an acceptable initial payment submittal, final report and consistency with Master Funding Agreement or cooperative agreement. The reimbursement process is more fully described in Chapter 9 of this manual.

Project Cancellation

If a local agency decides to cancel a project, for whatever reason, the agency shall notify OCTA as soon as possible. Projects deemed infeasible during the planning phase shall bring that phase to a logical conclusion, file a final report, and cancel remaining phases so that remaining funds can be reprogrammed without penalty. Right-of-way funding received for property acquisition prior to cancellation shall be repaid upon cancellation even if property has been acquired. Construction funding received prior to cancellation shall be repaid upon cancellation.

Cancelled projects will be eligible for re-application upon resolution of issues that led to original project termination.

Audits

All M2 payments are subject to audit. Local agencies must follow established accounting requirements and applicable laws regarding the use of public funds. Failure to submit to an audit in a timely manner may result in loss of future funding. Misuse or misrepresentation of M2 funding will require remediation which may include repayment, reduction in overall grant, and/or other sanctions to be determined. Audits shall be conducted by OCTA's Internal Audit department or other authorized agent either through the normal annual process or on a schedule to be determined by the Board (see Chapter 10).

Proceeds from the sale of excess right-of-way acquired with program funding must be paid back to the project fund as described in Chapter 9 and the Master Funding Agreement.

**Table 7-3
Regional Capacity Program
Intersection Improvement**

Category	Points Possible	Percentage
Facility Usage		25%
Existing ADT	15	15%
Current Project Readiness	10	10%
Economic Effectiveness		20%
Cost Benefit	10	10%
Funding Over-Match	5	5%
Coordination with Contiguous Project	5	5%
Facility Importance		30%
Transportation Significance	5	5 %
MPAH Assessment Category	5	5 %
Operational Efficiency	20	20%
Benefit		25%
LOS Improvement	25	25%
Total	100	100%

Table 7-4

ICE SCORING CRITERIA Point Breakdown for Intersection Capacity Enhancement Projects Maximum Points = 100

Facility Usage		Points: 25	Facility Importance		Points: 30
ADT Range*		Points	Transportation Significance Range		Points
60+	thousand	15	Principal or CMP Route	5 10	
55 - 59	thousand	13	Major	4 8	
50 - 54	thousand	11	Primary	3 6	
45 - 49	thousand	9	Secondary	2 4	
40 - 44	thousand	7	Collector	1 2	
35 - 39	thousand	5			
30 - 34	thousand	3			
25 - 29	thousand	1			
*AVG ADT for east and west legs plus AVG ADT for north and south legs of intersection			MPAH Assessment Category		
Current Project Readiness		Max Points: 10	Range		Points
Environmental Approvals		2	Category-1	5 5	
Preliminary Design (35%)		2	Category-2	4 4	
ROW (All offers issued)		2	Category-3	3 3	
Final Design (PS&E)		4	Category-4	2 2	
ROW (All easement and titles)		5	Category-5	1 1	
Points are additive. Design and Right of Way (ROW) limited to highest qualifying designation.			Operational Attributes		Max Points:
			(within the roadway)		20
			Grade Separations		10
			Bus Turnouts		4
			Bike Lanes		4
			Ped. Facilities (New)		4
			Free Right		4
			Lowers Density		3
			Channels Traffic		3
			Protected/Permissive Left Turn		2
			Water Conservation Elements		2
			Safety Improvements		2
			Sustainability		2
Economic Effectiveness			Benefit		Points: 25
Points: 20			LOS Improvement		Max Points: 25
Cost Benefit (Total \$/ADT)			Calculation: LOS Imp x LOS Starting Pt.		
Range*		Points	Existing LOS (Peak Hour) Range		Points
< 20		10	1.01+		5
21 - 30		9	.96 - 1.00		4
31 - 50		7	.91 - .95		3
51 - 75		5	.86 - .90		2
76 - 100		4	.81 - .85		1
>100		3			
* = Total Cost/Average ADT			LOS Reduction w/ Project		Points
Funding Over-Match (local match/project cost) minus minimum local match requirement			(exist. volume) Range		
Range*		Points			
25+%		10			
20 - 24%		9			
15 - 19%		7			
10 - 14%		4			
5 - 9%		4			
0 - 4%		3			
Coordination with Contiguous Project					
Range		Points			
Yes		5			
No		0			
Coordination with ACE project with similar implementation schedule.					

Freeway Arterial/Streets Transitions (FAST)

Overview

The MPAH serves as the backbone of Orange County's arterial street network. Current and future needs at existing interchanges along MPAH highways and freeways will need to be addressed in order to improve connectivity between freeways and MPAH arterials. The interchange improvement program complements roadway improvement initiatives underway as well, and supplements development mitigation opportunities.

Projects in the FAST improvement category are selected on a competitive basis. Projects must meet specific criteria in order to compete for funding through this program.

Objectives

- Improve transition to and from Orange County freeways with emphasis on MPAH performance
- Provide timely investment of M2 revenues

Project Participation Categories

The FAST category provides capital improvement funding (including planning, design, right-of-way acquisition and construction) for interchange improvements on the MPAH network for the following:

- MPAH facility interchange connections to Orange County freeways (including on-ramp, off-ramp and arterial improvements)

Eligible Activities

- Planning, environmental clearance
- Design
- Right-of-way acquisition
- Construction (including ramps, intersection and structural improvements/reconstruction incidental to project)
- Signal equipment (as incidental component of the program)

Potentially Eligible Items

Below is a list of potentially eligible items. However, final determination of the eligibility of all project related costs will be made at the time of reimbursement. Prior to the submittal of an application for funding, or at any point in the project life cycle, local agencies may meet with OCTA staff to review the eligibility of project related costs. Application review and approval does not guarantee the eligibility of all items.

- Direct environmental mitigation for projects funded by FAST (details below)
- Storm drains/catch basins/detention basins/bioswales/other pollutant discharge mitigation devices (details below)
- Aesthetic improvements including landscaping within the project right-of-way (eligible improvements up to 10 percent of construction costs, provided costs are reasonable for the transportation benefit)
- Rehabilitation and/or resurfacing of existing pavement when necessitated by proposed improvement (such as change in profile and cross section)
- Improvements to private property if part of a right-of-way settlement agreement
- Utility relocation where the serving utility has prior rights as evidenced by a recorded legal document
- Roadway grading within the right-of-way should not to exceed a depth for normal roadway excavation (e.g. structural section) or as required by temporary construction easements, and/or right-of-way agreement related improvements. Additional grading (e.g. over excavation for poor soil conditions) will be considered on a case by case basis.
- Auxiliary lanes if necessitated by interchange improvements
- Soundwalls (in conjunction with roadway improvement mitigation measures)

Environmental mitigation will be allowed only as required for the proposed roadway improvement, and only as contained in the environmental document. Program participation in environmental mitigation shall not exceed 25 percent of the total eligible project costs.

Longitudinal storm drains are eligible for program participation when the storm drain is an incidental part (cost is less than 25 percent of the total eligible improvement cost) of an eligible improvement. Program participation shall not exceed 10 percent of the cost of storm drain longitudinal/parallel and main lines. Storm drain inlets, connectors, laterals and cross culverts shall have full participation in FAST improvement category funding. Storm drains outside standard MPAH right-of-way widths are not eligible, excluding catch basins within reasonable distance and in general proximity to a project intersection (e.g. within ten feet of the curb return). Catch basins and drainage systems extending into adjacent areas (including public streets) shall not be eligible past the first catch basin.

Soundwalls are eligible only if they are required as part of the environmental mitigation for the proposed project and shall not exceed 25 percent of the total eligible project cost. Aesthetic enhancements and landscaping in excess of minimum environmental mitigation requirements are eligible at up to 10 percent of the total eligible construction costs, provided costs are reasonable for the transportation benefit.

The relocation of detention basins/bioswales are potentially eligible dependent on prior rights and will be giving consideration on a case by case basis (see utility relocations below).

Roadway grading is eligible for structural sections if within the standard MPAH cross section for the facility (inclusive of any temporary construction easements). OCTA assumes rough roadway grading is complete prior to project start and is considered an ineligible item.

Utility Relocations

The expenses associated with the relocation of utilities are eligible for RCP reimbursement only when:

- The relocation is made necessary due to conflict with proposed improvements.
- The facility to be relocated is within the project right-of-way.
- It has been determined that the local agency is legally liable for either a portion of or all of the relocation costs.

Liability can be determined by property rights, franchise rights/agreements, state and local statutes/ordinances, permits, a finding by the local agency's counsel, or other recorded legal document. Documentation providing proof of the local agency's liability for the costs of utility relocation must be submitted with an initial payment request (see Chapter 9). Utilities funded through enterprise funds shall not be eligible for reimbursement.

If a relocation is eligible to be reimbursed, and to be performed by the utility owner or by the utility owner's contractor, the work should be included in the right-of-way phase costs and clearly identified in the project application submittal. For eligible relocations to be performed during the construction phase by the local agency's contractor, the work should be included in the plans and specifications similar to other construction activities. Adjustment of existing utilities to grade (e.g. water valves, manhole frames and covers), due to new roadway cross sections are generally eligible in the construction phase.

In all cases, eligible costs shall only include "in-kind" relocation. No reimbursements will be made for betterments above the cost of "in-kind" relocation. Additionally, costs submitted for program reimbursement must be reduced by any salvage credits received.

Ineligible Projects

- Seismic retrofit projects (unless combined with eligible capacity enhancements)
- Enhanced landscaping and aesthetics (landscaping that exceeds that necessary for normal erosion control and ornamental hardscape).

Selection Criteria

Specific selection criteria will be used to evaluate competitive program project applications. Emphasis is placed on existing usage, level of services benefits, local match funding and overall facility importance. Technical categories and point values are shown on Tables 7-5 and 7-6. Data sources and methodology are described below.

Projected/Current Average Daily Trips (ADT): Current ADT is the preferred method of measuring congestion. However, traffic counts and ramp volumes projected to the year of opening for the project will be allowed as part of the competitive evaluation. These must be submitted along with current 24-hour traffic counts for the proposed segment for comparison purposes. The agency must submit the project projected ADT, current ADT, the delta, and justification of the increase. Regarding “current” counts, these are defined as those taken for a typical mid-week period within the preceding 12-months. Project applications using projected ADT must use traffic counts taken within the preceding 12 months. Project applications not using projected ADT may use traffic counts taken within the preceding 36 months. Project applications without “current” counts will be deemed incomplete and non-responsive. Average ramp intersection volume for each interchange ramp will be used for the current counts. New facilities will rely on projected ramp volume based upon Caltrans approved projection.

For agencies where event or seasonal traffic presents a significant issue, Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) counts can be used, provided the agency gives sufficient justification for the use of AADT.

Current Project Readiness: This category is additive. Points are earned for each satisfied readiness stage at the time applications are submitted. Local agency should select the most current phase of the project.

- Right-of-Way (all easements and titles) – applies where no right-of-way is needed for the project or where all right-of-way has been acquired/dedicated).
- Right-of-Way (all offers issued) – applies where offers have been made for every parcel where acquisition is required and/or offers of dedication have been received by the jurisdiction.
- Final Design (PS&E) – applies where the jurisdiction’s City engineer or other authorized person has approved the final design.
- Preliminary design (35 percent level) – will require certification from the City engineer and is subject to verification.
- Project Approvals/Environmental Documentation (PA/ED) – applies where a Project Report-level analysis has been completed and environmental approvals have been attained.

Cost Benefit: Total project cost (including unfunded phases) divided by the existing ADT (or modeled ADT for new segments).

Funding Over-Match: The percentages shown apply to match rates above a jurisdiction's minimum local match requirement. M2 requires a 50 percent local match for RCP projects. This minimum match can be reduced by up to 25 percentage points if certain eligible components are met. If a jurisdiction's minimum match target is 30 percent and a local match of 45 percent is pledged, points are earned for the 15 percent over-match. The pledged amount is considered the committed match rate and will be required, at a minimum, from the local agency throughout the life of the project.

Coordination with Freeway Project: Interchanges planned to coincide with or accommodate programmed freeway improvements receive points in this category.

Transportation Significance: Roadway classification as shown in the current MPAH.

~~MPAH Needs Assessment Category: Segment designation as shown in the RCP Needs Assessment study.~~

Operational Efficiencies: This category is additive. Each category, except Active Transit Routes, must be a new feature added as a part of the proposed project.

- Eliminate left turn conflicts: Ramp intersection reconfiguration which does not permit left turns onto ramps.
- Coordinated signal: Ramp intersections within a coordinated corridor where coordination did not previously exist.
- Add turn lanes: Increase in number of turn lanes on arterial.
- Add traffic control: Signalization of ramp intersection.
- Enhanced ramp storage: Extension or widening of existing ramp to improve off-street storage capacity.
- Pedestrian facilities: Add crosswalk and/or sidewalk to ramp or bridge crossing within context of interchange improvements.
- Active Transit Route: facility contains a currently active OCTA transit route
- Sustainability Elements: Includes the use of recycled materials during the roadway construction process (recycled aggregate or rubberized asphalt) or the installation of solar lighting within the roadway cross section. Other elements of sustainability may be considered on a case by case basis.
- Water Conservation: Includes elements that reduce water consumption. This includes the replacement of existing landscaping with hardscape and/or "California Native" drought tolerant type landscaping; the replacement of existing sprinklers with drip irrigation systems; the installation of new "grey" or recycled water systems where such does not currently exist.

- Safety Improvements: Project features that increase the safety of pedestrians. These elements can include the new installation of: intersection median barriers, curb extensions, pedestrian crossing islands, crosswalk enhancements, safety signage, and the addition, modification, or improvement of existing pedestrian signals. Other elements of safety may be considered on a case by case basis.

LOS Improvement: This category is a product of the existing or projected LOS based upon volume/capacity– or v/c -- and LOS improvement “with project” for arterial based improvements and ICU for intersection based improvements. **Projects must meet a minimum existing or projected LOS of “D” (.81 v/c) to qualify for priority consideration for funding.** Existing LOS is determined using current 24-hour traffic counts for arterials and /peak hour turning movements at intersections (averaging AM/PM peaks) for the proposed segment. However, for projects where traffic volumes follow unconventional patterns (e.g. unidirectional congestion, large disparity between AM and PM peaks, etc.) alternate methodologies for determining LOS can be proposed. If HCM 2010 is proposed for intersections as an alternative methodology, all analysis **must be submitted to OCTA no later than September 7, 2018** and the cost for independent review shall be reimbursed by the applicant. Projects that do not meet the minimum LOS “D” can be submitted, but are not guaranteed consideration as part of the competitive process.

If during the competitive process, it is determined that additional programming capacity exists after all eligible projects with LOS “D” have been funded, a consideration of projects with a minimum LOS “C” (.71 v/c) may be undertaken. Such consideration will be at the discretion of OCTA. Projects with an LOS better than “C” (.70 v/c) will not be considered.

Improvement Characteristics: Select the attribute that best fits your project definition.

- New facility: New interchange where none exists.
- Partial facility: New interchange which does not provide full access.
- Interchange reconstruction: improvement of existing interchange to provide additional arterial capacity (widening of overcrossing or undercrossing).
- Ramp reconfiguration: Widening of ramp or arterial to improve turning movements or other operational efficiencies.
- Ramp metering: Installation of metering on ramp.

Application Process

Project grants are determined through a competitive application process. Local agencies seeking funding must complete a formal application and provide supporting documentation that will be used to evaluate the project proposal as outlined below.

- Complete application

- Funding needs by phase and fiscal year
- Local match funding source
- Supporting technical information
- Project development and implementation schedule
- Right-of-way status and a detailed plan for acquisition/disposal of excess right-of-way. The right-of-way acquisition/disposal plan must be submitted using the "right-of-way acquisition/disposal plan" form provided by OCTA and available for download at <https://ocfundtracker.octa.net>.
- Any additional information deemed relevant by the applicant
- Grants subject to a Master Funding Agreement or cooperative agreement if federal funds are awarded

Calls for projects are expected to be issued on an annual basis, or as determined by the OCTA Board of Directors. Complete project applications must be submitted by the established due date to be considered eligible for consideration.

Minimum Eligibility Requirements

Projects must have an existing or projected LOS "D" (.81 v/c) or worse to qualify for priority consideration for funding in this program. Worst peak hour period is used for this evaluation and eligibility purposes.

Matching Funds

Local agencies are required to provide local match funding for each phase of the project. As prescribed by the M2 Ordinance, a 50 percent minimum local match is required. A lower local match may be permitted if certain eligibility criteria are met. The amount pledged during the application process is considered the committed match rate and will be required, at a minimum, from the local agency throughout the life of the project. Actual project contributions by the local agency are dependent on final project costs and may not be equal to the committed match rate in the event of cost overruns. OCTA will not increase the funding grant to cover cost overruns. Ineligible expenditures do not contribute to the local match rate.

Reimbursements

This program is administered on a reimbursement basis for capital improvements, planning, design, and right-of-way acquisition. Reimbursements will be disbursed upon review and approval of an acceptable initial payment submittal, final report and consistency with Master Funding Agreement. The reimbursement process is described in Chapter 9.

Caltrans Coordination

Caltrans is not eligible to submit applications or receive payment under this program. Only cities or the County of Orange may submit applications and receive funds. This program was designed to benefit local agencies.

Coordination with Caltrans will be essential for most, if not all, of the projects submitted for this program. Local agencies should therefore establish contacts with the Caltrans District 12 Office (Project Development Branch) to ensure that candidate projects have been reviewed and approved by Caltrans. All other affected agencies should be consulted as well.

Agencies submitting projects for this program must have confirmation from Caltrans that the proposed improvement is consistent with other freeway improvements as evidenced by an agreement or other formal document.

Applications should be submitted so that interchange projects are done in conjunction with construction of other freeway improvements whenever possible. However, if the interchange project can be done in advance of the freeway project, verification and/or supporting documentation must be submitted showing the interchange improvement has merit for advanced construction and that it will be compatible with the freeway design and operation. Additionally, the interchange improvements should take into account the ultimate freeway improvements if the interchange is to be improved in advance.

Project Cancellation

If a local agency decides to cancel a project, for whatever reason, the agency shall notify OCTA as soon as possible. Projects deemed infeasible during the planning phase shall bring that phase to a logical conclusion, file a final report, and cancel remaining phases so that remaining funds can be reprogrammed without penalty. Right-of-way funding received for property acquisition prior to cancellation shall be repaid upon cancellation even if property has been acquired. Construction funding received prior to cancellation shall be repaid upon cancellation.

Cancelled projects will be eligible for re-application upon resolution of issues that led to original project termination.

Audits

All M2 payments are subject to audit. Local agencies must follow established accounting requirements and applicable laws regarding the use of public funds. Failure to submit to an audit in a timely manner may result in loss of future funding. Misuse or misrepresentation of M2 funding will require remediation which may include repayment, reduction in overall grant, and/or other sanctions to be determined. Audits shall be

conducted by OCTA's Internal Audit department or other authorized agent either through the normal annual process or on a schedule to be determined by the Board (see Chapter 10).

Proceeds from the sale of excess right-of-way acquired with program funding must be paid back to the project fund as described in Chapter 9 and Master Funding Agreement.

Other Application Materials

Supporting documentation will be required to fully consider each project application. In addition to the funding plan described above, local agencies will be required to submit the following materials:

Council Approval: A Council Resolution or minute order authorizing request for funding consideration with a commitment of local match funding must be provided with the project application. **If a draft copy of the resolution is provided, the local agency must also provide the date the resolution will be finalized by the local agency's governing body.** A final copy of the City Council approved resolution must be provided at least four (4) weeks **PRIOR** to the consideration of programming recommendations by OCTA's Board of Directors.

Project Documentation: If proposed project has completed initial planning activities (such as PSR or equivalent, EIR, or design), evidence of approval should be included with the application. Satisfactory evidence includes project approval signature page, engineer-stamped site plan, or other summary information to demonstrate completion of planning phases. An electronic copy of the PSR and/or environmental document must be supplied as applicable. The applicant will be asked for additional detailed information only if necessary to adequately evaluate the project application.

Project Summary Information: With each application being recommended for funding, the agency shall submit a PowerPoint presentation summarizing the pertinent project information for review and discussion purposes. The presentation shall be no more than three (3) slides and should contain, at a minimum, a project description, project benefits, location map, and cost estimate. **OCTA staff will request the PowerPoint when/if a project is recommended for funding.**

**Table 7-5
Freeway/Arterial Street Transitions
Interchange Improvements**

Category	Points Possible	Percentage
Facility Usage		20%
Existing ADT	10	10%
Current Project Readiness	10	10%
Economic Effectiveness		25%
Cost Benefit	10	10%
Matching Funds	10	10%
Coordination with Freeway Project	5	5%
Facility Importance		25%
Transportation Significance	5 10	5 10%
MPAH Assessment Category	5	5%
Operational Efficiencies	15	15%
Benefit		30%
Existing LOS	10	10%
LOS Reduction w/ Project	10	10%
Improvement Characteristics	10	10%
Total	100	100%

Table 7-6

FAST SCORING CRITERIA
Point Breakdown for Freeway/Arterial Street Transitions Projects
Maximum Points = 100

Facility Usage		Points: 20
ADT Range*	Points	
55+ thousand	10	
50 – 54 thousand	9	
45 – 49 thousand	8	
40 – 44 thousand	6	
35 – 39 thousand	4	
30 – 34 thousand	3	
25 – 29 thousand	2	
20 – 24 thousand	1	
<10 – 19 thousand	0	
*Arterial plus daily ramp exit volume		
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">+</div> Current Project Readiness Max Points: 10		
ROW (All easement and titles)	6	
ROW (All offers issued)	4	
Final Design (P&S&E)	4	
PA/ED	2	
Project Study Report or Equiv.	1	
Points are additive. Right of Way (ROW) is the highest qualifying designation.		
Economic Effectiveness		Points: 25
Cost Benefit (Total \$/ADT)	Points	
Range*		
< 20	10	
20 – 39	8	
40 – 79	6	
80 – 159	4	
160 – 319	2	
320 – 640	1	
>640	0	
Funding Over-Match (local match/project cost) minus minimum local match requirement		
Range*	Points	
30+%	10	
25 – 29%	8	
20 – 24%	6	
15 – 19%	4	
10 – 14%	2	
0 – 9%	1	
Range refers to % points above agency minimum requirement		
Coordination with Freeway Project		
Range	Points	
Yes	5	
No	0	
Facility Importance		Points: 25
Transportation Significance	Points	
Range		
Principal or CMP Route	5 10	
Major	4 8	
Primary	3 6	
Secondary	2 4	
Collector	1 2	
MPO Assessment Category		
Range	Points	
Category-1	5	
Category-2	4	
Category-3	3	
Category-4	2	
Category-5	1	
Operational Attributes (within the roadway) Max Points: 15		
Eliminate Left Turn Conflict	3	
Coordinated Signal	2	
Add Turn Lanes	3	
Add Traffic Control	1	
Enhanced Ramp Storage	3	
Pedestrian Facilities (New)	3	
Water Conservation Elements	2	
Safety Improvements	2	
Sustainability	2	
Benefit		Points: 30
LOS Improvement	Max Points: 20	
Calculation: Ave LOS Imp + Ave LOS Starting Pt.		
LOS Reduction w/ Project (exist. Volume)		
Range	Points	
.20+	10	
.16 – .19	8	
.1 – .15	6	
.05 – .09	4	
<.05	2	
Existing LOS		
Range	Points	
1.06+	10	
1.01 – 1.05	8	
.96 – 1.00	6	
.91 – .95	4	
.86 – .90	2	
.81 – .85	1	
Improvement Characteristics		
Points		
New Facility (Full Interchange)	10	
New Facility (Partial Interchange)	8	
Interchange Reconstruction	6	
Ramp Reconfiguration	4	
Ramp Metering	2	

Regional Grade Separation Program (RGSP)

Background

Seven rail crossing projects along the Master Plan of Arterial Highways (MPAH) network were identified by the CTC to receive Trade Corridors Improvement Funds (TCIF). These TCIF allocations required an additional local funding commitment. To meet this need, the Board approved the commitment of \$160 million in Regional Capacity Program funds to be allocated from M2. The RGSP captures these prior funding commitments.

Future calls for projects for grade separations are not anticipated.

Chapter 8 - Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program (Project P)

Overview

The Project P - Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program (RTSSP) includes competitive funding for the coordination of traffic signals across jurisdictional boundaries including Project based operational and maintenance funding. OCTA will provide funding priority to programs and projects, which are multi-jurisdictional in nature.

The RTSSP is based on the Traffic Signal Synchronization Master Plan (Master Plan). The Board adopted the Master Plan as an element of the MPAH on July 26, 2010. The Master Plan defines the foundation of the RTSSP. The Master Plan consists of the following components:

- Regional signal synchronization network
- Priority corridors for accelerated signal synchronization
- Definition of Traffic Forums
- Model agreements presenting roles and responsibilities for Project P
- Signal synchronization regional assessment every three years
 - NOTE: For Call for Projects 2019, Priority Corridors are not an eligible inclusion and no additional points will be awarded. A Priority Corridor is on the Signal Synchronization Network.

The Master Plan will be reviewed and updated by OCTA every three years and will provide details on the status and performance of the traffic signal synchronization activities over that period. Local agencies are required to adopt and maintain a Local Traffic Signal Synchronization Plan (Local Plan) that is consistent with the Master Plan and shall issue a report on the status and performance of its traffic signal synchronization activities. Details on both the Master Plan and requirements for Local Plan development are available in the "Guidelines for the Preparation of Local Signal Synchronization Plans". A hard copy of these guidelines can be requested from OCTA.

The remainder of this chapter details the key components of the RTSSP:

- Funding guidelines for the competitive call for projects
- 2019 Call for Projects

Projects compete for funding as part of the RTSSP. Projects submitted by local agencies as part of the call must meet specific criteria. Projects are rated based on scoring criteria and are selected based on their competitive ratings.

Objectives

- Synchronize traffic signals across jurisdictions
 - Monitor and regularly improve the synchronization.
 - Synchronize signals on a corridor or route basis reflecting existing traffic patterns in contiguous zones or road segments that have common operations.

2019 Call for Projects

The 2019 Call for Projects (call) for Project P – the Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program (RTSSP) – under M2 will provide approximately **\$8 million** for signal coordination across Orange County. The following information provides an overview of the 2019 RTSSP Call for Projects:

1. Projects must result in new, optimized, and field-implemented coordination timing.
2. Project may be a single contiguous corridor or set of contiguous corridors related to each other. Multiple corridors, related systems of corridors, and corridors that form a “grid” may be submitted as a single optimized timing project. However, the total number of corridors per project will be limited to two (2) and the total number of intersections between the two corridors is limited to fifty (50).
3. Projects selected will be programmed after July 1 of the programmed year (July 1 – June 30).
4. Project delays resulting in a time extension request will fall within the process outlined in the CTFP Guidelines.
5. Projects are funded for a grant period of three (3) years and are divided into two phases:
 - a. Primary Implementation – includes the required implementation of optimized signal timing as well as any signal improvements proposed as part of a project.
 - b. Ongoing Operations and Maintenance (O&M) – includes the required monitoring and improving optimized signal timing in addition to any optional communications and/or detection support. O&M will begin after the optimized signal timing is implemented and be required for the remainder of the project (typically 2 Years). A project final report is required at the conclusion of this phase to document work completed during the O&M phase.
6. Projects shall include a Before and After Study. This study shall collect morning, mid-day, and evening peak periods using travel times, average speeds, green lights to red lights, stops per mile, and the derived corridor system performance index (CSPI) metric. This information shall be collected both before any signal timing changes have been made and after the Primary Implementation. The study shall compare the information collected both before and after the timing changes. Comparisons shall identify the absolute and percent differences for the entire corridor, by segment, direction, and time period. Segments will be defined by major traffic movements as observed during the project (e.g. commuting segments between freeways, pedestrian-friendly segments in a downtown area, etc.). The report Before and After study shall also include field inventory, count data, modeling data, and Greenhouse Gas calculations. The Before and After Study shall be submitted after the Primary Implementation phase is completed.
7. Any corridor or portion of a corridor funded through this call cannot re-apply for funding until the three-year grant period or commitment to operate signal

synchronization beyond the three-year grant period is completed, whichever ends later.

8. This chapter identifies the selection criteria for projects, eligible activities, minimum project requirements, data compatibility required as part of any funded project, and other key information.

Additional details the specific program's intent, eligible project expenditures, ineligible project expenditures, and additional information that may be needed when applying for funds are included in this chapter. Each section should be read thoroughly before applying for funding. Application should be prepared for the program that best fits the proposed project.

For specifics on the funding policies that apply to this call, refer to the Program Precepts as found in Section IV of these guidelines.

Applications

In order for OCTA to consider a project for funding, applications will be prepared by the local agency responsible for the project application. OCTA shall require agencies to submit applications for the call for projects by **5:00 p.m. on FridayThursday, October 1918, 2018**. Late and/or incomplete submittals will not be reviewed or considered. The local agency responsible for the project application must submit the application and any supporting documentation via OCFundtracker as outlined below.

A separate application package must be completed for each individual project and uploaded to OCFundtracker. **Three (3) unbound printed copies and one electronic copy on a CD or USB** of each complete application shall also be mailed or delivered to:

Orange County Transportation Authority
550 South Main Street
P.O. Box 14184
Orange, California 92863-1584
Attn: Jodie McCann

Application Process

Project grants are determined through a competitive application process administered by OCTA. Agencies seeking funding must complete an online application, a supplemental application, and provide supporting documentation that will be used to evaluate the project proposal as outlined below. Key information to be provided as part of the application process includes:

- Funding needs by phase and fiscal year
- Percent match rate including funds type, source, and description (minimum 20 percent)
- Lead agency Option 1 (default – local agency) or Option 2 (OCTA)
- Lead and supporting agencies names
- Supporting technical information
- Project development and implementation schedule
- Environmental clearances and other permits
- Any additional information deemed relevant by the applicant
- Complete photographic field review (including cabinet interiors and communication facilities) for all projects that either exceed one million dollars in capital improvements or request OCTA serve as lead agency regardless of capital improvement budget. [Original photos shall be uploaded to OCFundtracker or included with electronic copy of application.](#)
- Current City Specifications (including specific equipment specifications, inspection requirements, etc.) if OCTA is requested to be the lead agency. Refer to the 2019 Supplemental Application for additional information. This shall be uploaded to OCFundtracker or included with electronic copy of application.

A call for projects for the funding cycle will be issued as determined by the Board. Complete project applications must be submitted by the established due dates to be considered eligible for consideration.

An application should be submitted for a single corridor or route corridor project. Multiple corridors, ~~related systems of corridors, and corridors~~ that form a “grid” may be submitted as separate or single project(s). However, the total number of corridors per route corridor project will be limited to two (2) and the total number of intersections between the two corridors is limited to fifty (50). A single corridor project not proposed as a connected route or grid project may be submitted and is not subject to the 50-intersection50-intersection limit. The following instructions should be used in developing project applications.

Applications will be reviewed by OCTA for consistency, accuracy, and concurrence. Once applications have been completed in accordance with the Program requirements, the projects will be scored, ranked, and submitted to the TSC, TAC, and the Board for

consideration and funding approval. OCTA reserves the right to evaluate submitted project costs for reasonableness as part of the review and selection process and suggest potential revisions to make the cost more appropriate. Grants will be subject to funding agreements with OCTA.

Other Application Materials

Supporting documentation is required to fully consider each project application. A Supplemental Application Template is required to be completed for each project application. Note: There is a new section for all costs, on a line item basis, in excel format for both project phases. The template is distributed with other application materials at the issuance of the Call for Projects. In addition to the funding plan described above, local agencies will be required to submit the following materials:

Lead Agency: Lead agency for the project must be identified: local agency or OCTA.

Participating Agencies: All participating agencies must be identified and adopted City Council resolutions or Minute Order actions authorizing the participating agency's support of the project under the lead agency must be included. **If a draft copy of these resolutions of support are provided, the local agency must also provide the date the resolution will be finalized by the participating agency's governing body.** A final copy of the City Council approved resolution must be provided at least four (4) weeks **PRIOR** to the consideration of programming recommendations by OCTA's Board of Directors.

Council Approval: A Council Resolution or Minute Order action authorizing request for funding consideration with a commitment of project local match funding must be provided with the project application from all participating agencies. **If a draft copy of the resolution is provided, the local agency must also provide the date the resolution will be finalized by the local agency's governing body.** A final copy of the City Council approved resolution must be provided at least four (4) weeks **PRIOR** to the consideration of programming recommendations by OCTA's Board of Directors.

Project Support: If proposed project has completed initial planning activities (such as project study report or equivalent, environmental impact report, or design), evidence of approval should be included with the application. Satisfactory evidence includes project approval signature page, engineer-stamped site plan, or other summary information to demonstrate completion or planning phases. The applicant will be asked for detailed information only if necessary to adequately evaluate the project application.

Lead Agency

This Program is administered through a single lead agency: a local city or OCTA.

Local Agency Lead: Only the lead agency will receive payments in accordance with the CTFP Guidelines regarding payment for costs related to project for optimized signal timing development, capital improvements, planning, and related design. Payments will be disbursed consistent with Chapter 9. The lead agency is responsible for reimbursing other agencies as part of the effort. Additionally, the lead agency is also responsible for ensuring

that all agencies participating in the project provide the local match proposed in the project application.

OCTA Lead: OCTA may, at the request of the involved local agencies, act as the lead agency for RTSSP projects. If the involved local agencies would like OCTA to implement a project on the signal synchronization network, the local agency shall work cooperatively with OCTA to develop the scope of work and cost elements of the project. The lead local agency shall contact OCTA with **a written request at least four weeks prior to deadline for submittal of the project grant application**. Projects nominated for OCTA lead shall be discussed at the Traffic Forum. Applications must include a complete photographic field review (as outlined above) when submitted. The application will be scored using the criteria outlined in the previous sections. Based on local agency interest and OCTA resource availability, a limited number of projects will be developed and implemented by OCTA.

If any projects that are designated as OCTA lead are awarded funding, OCTA will then be responsible for implementation of the project including optimized signal timing development, capital improvements, planning, and related design. OCTA will implement the project based on the cost estimates developed in the application. Project elements may be modified based on final costs with the agreement of all participating agencies. OCTA will be responsible for ensuring that all agencies participating in the project provide the local match as identified in the project application (minimum 20 percent).

Additionally, for projects designating OCTA as lead agency, a consultant traffic engineering firm may be contracted to provide staff and services to implement the project. Therefore, in-kind match designated as staffing commitment under an OCTA lead agency option shall be limited. The following will be used as a guide for staffing commitment, when the local agency develops the application:

- Primary Implementation (PI) (12 months)
 - Project Administration - Each local agency traffic engineer or equivalent participates in approximately 10-15 hours per month of project administration (meetings, review of reports, minutes, and other administration).
 - Signal Synchronization Timing - Each local agency traffic engineer or equivalent reviews consultant developed draft and final timing plans for intersections within the local agency, approximately 2-4 hours per local agency intersection.
 - Before and After Study - Each local agency traffic engineer or equivalent reviews consultant developed draft and final project Before and After Study, approximately 2-5 hours per local agency.
 - Engineering design/review - Each local agency traffic engineer or equivalent reviews consultant developed engineer design within the local agency, approximately 2-4 hours per affected local agency intersection.

- System integration - Each local agency traffic engineer or equivalent provides support for this function (hours vary depending on improvements).
- Construction management - Each local agency traffic engineer or equivalent provides construction management support including inspection (hour vary depending on improvements).
- Ongoing Operations and Maintenance (O&M) (24 months) - Each local agency's traffic engineer or equivalent participates in continued project level meetings of 2-5 hours per local agency per month to review consultant traffic engineering progress. In addition, each local agency's traffic engineer or equivalent reviews consultant developed draft and final project report.

For projects designating a local agency as lead, the above may be used as a guide with additional local match related to implementation, development, design, monitoring and other costs that the local agency may choose to include as local match. For instance, O&M may be performed by in-house staff and be calculated using a different formula (e.g., 2-5 hours per local agency signal for 24 months).

OCFundtracker Application Components

Final applications MUST be submitted via OCFundtracker and in hard copy format. Selection criteria must be inputted as part of the OCFundtracker online application and includes the following categories of information:

- Vehicle Miles Traveled
- Cost Benefit
- Project Characteristics
- Transportation Significance
- Maintenance of Effort
- Project Scale
- Number of Local agencies
- Current Project Readiness
- Funding Match Rate

Application Review and Program Adoption

OCTA staff will conduct a preliminary review of all applications for completeness and accuracy, may request supplemental information for projects during initial staff evaluations, and prepare a recommended program of projects for the TSC. In addition, OCTA may hire a consultant(s) to verify information within individual applications including, but not limited to, project scope, cost estimates, vehicle miles traveled, and average daily traffic.

Final programming recommendations will be provided to the TSC and TAC for approval. Recommendations will be presented to the Board, who will approve projects for funding under the CTFP.

OCTA shall distribute copies of the approved program to each participating local jurisdiction with any qualifying conditions stipulated for the jurisdiction's funded project(s). Local agencies awarded funding will be notified as to which projects have been funded and from what sources after the Board takes action. A tentative call schedule is detailed below:

Board authorization to issue call: August 2018

Application submittal deadline: October 1~~8~~⁹, 2018

TSC/TAC Review: February/March 2019

Committee/Board approval: May 2019

Checklist Guide

The "Project P Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program Application Checklist" has been provided for the RTSSP (Exhibit 8-1). The checklist identifies the basic documentation required for the program. In addition to items required at the time of project submittal, additional items that are not specified may be requested later. The checklist should be provided as a cover sheet for **each** application submitted. For any items that are required for the candidate project or program that are missing or incomplete, an explanation should be included in a cover letter with the application.

Sample Resolution Form

A resolution or minute action must be approved by the local agency's governing body. A sample resolution is included as Exhibit 8-2. The mechanism selected shall serve as a formal request for RTSSP funds and states that matching funds will be provided by the agency, if necessary. All project requests (i.e., multiple corridors proposed for RTSSP funds) must be included in this action.

Project Definition

Local agencies are required to submit complete projects that, at minimum, result in field-implemented coordinated timing. Project tasks that are eligible for funding can consist of design, engineering, construction, and construction management. Partial projects that design improvements, but do not field implement the improvements are ineligible.

Projects must consist of a corridor along the priority corridor network, signal synchronization network, or the Master Plan of Arterial Highways (MPAH). Projects previously awarded RTSSP funding must be complete with a final report submitted and approved by OCTA. Projects can be the full length of the corridor or a segment that complies with the project requirements identified later in the chapter. **Communication system improvements that directly benefit signal synchronization along the project corridor limits, but are not physically within the project corridor, are eligible for inclusion in a project.**

Applicant agency and owning agency must demonstrate through simulation, or actual vehicle counts showing Origin – Destination that proposed linked corridors form a route. Two linked corridors may also combine at the point of intersection to form a single local Master offset Control Point (T₀) for future Zone operations.

Multimodal consideration of bicyclists and pedestrians along or crossing the intersection or roadway may enhance overall circulation. Therefore, active transportation elements may be included as part of the project.

Eligible Activities

The primary purpose of the Program is to provide funding for projects that develop and maintain corridor-based, multi-jurisdictional signal synchronization along corridors throughout Orange County. All projects funded by this Program must be corridor-based and have a signal coordination component that includes the following:

- Signal Coordination
 - Developing and implementing new signal synchronization timing parameters based on current travel patterns, and federal and state MUTCD traffic signal timing mandates and guidance
 - Monitor, maintain (minimum quarterly/maximum monthly) and/or regularly improve the newly implemented signal synchronization timing and parameters for the remainder of the project
 - “Before” and “after” studies for the project comparing travel times, average speeds, ratio of green lights passed to red lights stopped (greens per red), average stops per mile, and emissions of greenhouse gases

In addition to developing optimized signal timing, a project may include other improvements as long as they contribute to the goal of multi-agency signal synchronization of corridors throughout Orange County. These improvements are restricted to the signal synchronization project limits, but may include traffic signalized intersections on intersecting corridors where new optimized timing has occurred within the past three years; maximum distance for either direction from crossing arterial intersection in 2,700 feet. Gap closure communications links that are installed from a central location and/or communications hub to the project corridor are eligible. All improvements must be designed to enhance the specific project. The following are a list of potentially eligible items as part of a signal coordination project:

- New or upgraded vehicle and pedestrian detection
 - Upgrade detection along the signal synchronization corridors to ensure necessary conditions for signal synchronization: inductive loops, video detection, radar, sonar, thermal, hybrids thereof, and other types of detection systems
- New or upgraded communication systems
 - New contemporary communication system improvements (e.g. Ethernet) including all conduits, pull boxes, fiber optic and/or copper cabling, network switches and distribution systems. These systems should be sufficiently sized for the need capacity of the Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) network. Excess capacity is deemed non-participating.
 - Replacement fiber optic or copper cabling for network communication
 - Fiber optic is the preferred medium and includes pull boxes, network switches and distribution systems
 - Software and hardware for system traffic control
 - Control and monitoring interconnect conduit (including upgrades or replacement of existing systems)
 - Gap closure systems of conduit, cable, and associated equipment that are outside of project limits but complete a designated communications link to an existing network for the Advanced Transportation Management System (ATMS) for an agency or agencies.
 - Communications ~~Support and detection support~~
 - Monitor, maintain, and repair signal communication systems and infrastructure along synchronized corridors to ensure necessary conditions for signal synchronization including interconnect and Central Systems and Local Systems communications equipment (two years after Primary Implementation acceptance)

- Detection Support
 - Monitor, maintain, and repair all detection systems and infrastructure associated with the PI Phase of a specific project along synchronized corridors to ensure necessary conditions for signal synchronization including local intersection and System Sampling Detection equipment (two years after Primary Implementation acceptance)
- Intersection/field system modernization and replacement
 - Traffic signal controller replacement of antiquated units with Advanced Transportation controller (ATC) units. ATC shall comply with version 6.24 or better of ATC standard 5201 and ATC standard 5401 Applications Programming Interface with Referenced Implementations (APIRI)
 - Controller cabinet (assemblies) replacements that can be shown to enhance signal synchronization
 - Closed circuit television (CCTV (also can perform video detection))
 - Uninterruptible power supply (UPS) for ATMS and intersection field equipment
 - For ATMS, UPS shall solely provide electrical power for ATMS Server(s), one dedicated workstation (console terminal) and related communications devices
 - Limited cost and scale
 - UPS not intended to provide power to entire TMC
 - Approval of request for UPS is at the sole discretion of the AUTHORITY
- Minor signal operational improvements (new)
 - Emergency vehicle preempt (EVP) intersection control equipment only
 - Transit signal priority (TSP) intersection control equipment only
 - Channelization (signing, striping, raised pavement markers, in lane flashing guidance or warning marking systems, -and legends) improvements required for traffic signal phasing. but not requiring street construction
 - Traffic signal phasing improvements that will improve traffic flow and system performance including protective permissive left turn phasing and shared pedestrian phasing
 - Improvements to comply with new federal or state standards (MUTCD) for traffic signal design as related to signal synchronization including pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular timing intervals.
 - ADA compliant Pedestrian Signal countdown heads
- Traffic management center (TMC)/traffic operations centers (TOC) and motorist information

- New TMCs or TOCs (any project funded under this category must be planned or built to be center-to-center communication (C2C) “ready” with nearby agencies and/or OCTA
- Upgrades to existing TMCs or TOCs (any project funded under this category must be planned or built to be center-to-center communication “ready” with nearby agencies and/or OCTA
- Motorist information systems (up to 10 percent of total project costs)
- Video display equipment, including wall monitors, screens, mounting cabinets, and optical engines (up to 10 percent of total construction costs for PI phase only)
- Real-time traffic actuated operations and demonstration projects
 - Adaptive traffic signal systems
- Caltrans encroachment permits and agency to Caltrans Cooperative Agreement fees
 - Includes eligible Caltrans labor, capital, and permitting fees and expenses
- Active Transportation/Pedestrian Safety related elements
 - Installation of new and/or improved traffic control devices to improve the accessibility, mobility and safety of the facility for pedestrians and bicyclists
 - ADA compliant Accessibility-Accessible Pedestrian Push Button Systems
 - High-Intensity Activated crosswalk signaling systems (HAWK)

In addition, expenditures related to the design of systems, permitting, and environmental clearance are eligible for funding.

Ineligible Expenditures

- Isolated traffic signal improvements
- Traffic hardware (pole, mast arms, lights, electrical, signs, etc.)
- Regular signal operation and maintenance (such as replacement of light bulbs)
- Field display equipment (Traffic signal heads/ other than not-pedestrian signal countdown, or special bicycle, or Transit Vehicle signal heads)
- Feasibility studies
- Relocation of utilities except for electrical service requirements
- Right-of-way

Funding Estimates

The streets and roads component of M2 is to receive 32 percent of net revenues, 4 percent of which are allocated for the RTSSP. The RTSSP will make an estimated \$270 million (2009 dollars) available over the course of the 30-year M2 Program. Programming

estimates are developed in conjunction with a call for projects cycle corresponding to concurrent funding agreements with all local agencies.

The RTSSP targets over 2,000 intersections across Orange County for coordinated operations. Because of the limited amount of funds available for the RTSSP, project cap of \$75,000 per signal or \$250,000 per project corridor mile included as part of each project (whichever is higher) has been established for this call for projects.

Selection Criteria

Specific selection criteria will be used to evaluate competitive program project applications. Emphasis is placed on furthering the overall goal of multi-jurisdictional, corridor-based signal synchronization.

Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT): Centerline length of segment(s) on the corridor proposed for synchronization multiplied by the existing average daily traffic (ADT) for the proposed segment(s) length. For instance, for a three-mile segment with one-mile interval ADT data at of 200 vehicles, 300 vehicles, and 400 vehicles, the VMT would be calculated as:

$$200 \text{ vehicles} * 1 \text{ mile} + 300 \text{ vehicles} * 1 \text{ mile} + 400 \text{ vehicles} * 1 \text{ mile} = 900 \text{ vehicle miles.}$$

VMT should be calculated by the smallest segmentation on which the city typically collects ADT data. (maximum: 20 points)

ADT must be based upon actual count information taken within the 36 months preceding the application date. Data from the OCTA Traffic Flow Map may not be used.

Cost Benefit: Total project cost divided by Existing VMT. (maximum: 10 points)

Project Characteristics: Points are awarded based on the type and relevance of the proposed project. For instance, points accumulate if a signal synchronization project is combined with improvements as defined in the "Eligible Activities" section above. (maximum: 10 points)

Transportation Significance: Points are earned based on the corridor being on the signal synchronization network. (maximum: 5 points) (Priority signal network will not be a part of the 2019 Call for Projects. No points will be awarded for being on a Priority Corridor.)

Maintenance of Effort: Points are earned for a commitment to operate the project signal synchronization timing for a defined period of time beyond the three-year grant period. (maximum: 5 points)

Project Scale: Points are earned for including more intersections along signal synchronization network, or serving as a signal corridor "gap closure". (maximum: 10 points)

Number of Local Agencies: Points are earned for including multiple local agencies as part of the project. (maximum: 20 points)

Current Project Readiness: Points are earned based on the current status of the project development. Evidence of actual preliminary engineering performed for proposals requesting funding for implementation phases must be provided to qualify for points related to this attribute. (maximum for category: 10 points)

Funding Rate: The percentages shown in Table 8-1 apply to match rates above a local agency's minimum match requirement. M2 requires a 20 percent local match for RTSSP projects. Project match rates above 20 percent are limited to dollar match only. (maximum: 5 points)

Table 8-1

RTSSP SCORING CRITERIA Point Breakdown for Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Projects Maximum Points = 100

<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Vehicle Miles Travelled (VMT)</th> <th>Points: 20</th> </tr> <tr> <th>VMT Range</th> <th></th> <th>Points</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>250+ thousand</td> <td></td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>200 - 249 thousand</td> <td></td> <td>15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>150 - 199 thousand</td> <td></td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>100 - 149 thousand</td> <td></td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>50 - 99 thousand</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 - 49 thousand</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Calculation: ADT x segment length (Applies only to coordinated segments of project)</p>	Vehicle Miles Travelled (VMT)		Points: 20	VMT Range		Points	250+ thousand		20	200 - 249 thousand		15	150 - 199 thousand		10	100 - 149 thousand		6	50 - 99 thousand		3	0 - 49 thousand		1	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Project Scale</th> <th>Points: 10</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Number of Signals Coordinated by Project Range</th> <th></th> <th>Points</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>50+</td> <td></td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>40 - 49</td> <td></td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>30 - 39</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>20 - 29</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10 - 19</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>< 10</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Percent of Corridor Signals Being Retimed</th> <th>Points</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Range</th> <th></th> <th>Points</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>90% or above</td> <td></td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>80 - 89%</td> <td></td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>70 - 79%</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>60 - 69%</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>50 - 59%</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>< 50%</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Calculation: Number of signals in project divided by total signals in full corridor length.</p>	Project Scale		Points: 10	Number of Signals Coordinated by Project Range		Points	50+		5	40 - 49		4	30 - 39		3	20 - 29		2	10 - 19		1	< 10		0	Percent of Corridor Signals Being Retimed		Points	Range		Points	90% or above		5	80 - 89%		4	70 - 79%		3	60 - 69%		2	50 - 59%		1	< 50%		0
Vehicle Miles Travelled (VMT)		Points: 20																																																																							
VMT Range		Points																																																																							
250+ thousand		20																																																																							
200 - 249 thousand		15																																																																							
150 - 199 thousand		10																																																																							
100 - 149 thousand		6																																																																							
50 - 99 thousand		3																																																																							
0 - 49 thousand		1																																																																							
Project Scale		Points: 10																																																																							
Number of Signals Coordinated by Project Range		Points																																																																							
50+		5																																																																							
40 - 49		4																																																																							
30 - 39		3																																																																							
20 - 29		2																																																																							
10 - 19		1																																																																							
< 10		0																																																																							
Percent of Corridor Signals Being Retimed		Points																																																																							
Range		Points																																																																							
90% or above		5																																																																							
80 - 89%		4																																																																							
70 - 79%		3																																																																							
60 - 69%		2																																																																							
50 - 59%		1																																																																							
< 50%		0																																																																							
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Economic Effectiveness</th> <th>Points: 10</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Cost Benefit (Total \$/VMT) Range*</th> <th></th> <th>Points</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>< 3</td> <td></td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 - 5</td> <td></td> <td>9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6 - 8</td> <td></td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9 - 11</td> <td></td> <td>7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12 - 14</td> <td></td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>15 - 17</td> <td></td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>18 - 20</td> <td></td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21 - 23</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>24 - 26</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>27+</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Economic Effectiveness		Points: 10	Cost Benefit (Total \$/VMT) Range*		Points	< 3		10	3 - 5		9	6 - 8		8	9 - 11		7	12 - 14		6	15 - 17		5	18 - 20		4	21 - 23		3	24 - 26		2	27+		1	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Number of Jurisdictions</th> <th>Points: 20</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Total Number of Involved Jurisdictions Range</th> <th></th> <th>Points</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>5 or more</td> <td></td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>16</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Number of Jurisdictions		Points: 20	Total Number of Involved Jurisdictions Range		Points	5 or more		20	4		16	3		12	2		8	1		0															
Economic Effectiveness		Points: 10																																																																							
Cost Benefit (Total \$/VMT) Range*		Points																																																																							
< 3		10																																																																							
3 - 5		9																																																																							
6 - 8		8																																																																							
9 - 11		7																																																																							
12 - 14		6																																																																							
15 - 17		5																																																																							
18 - 20		4																																																																							
21 - 23		3																																																																							
24 - 26		2																																																																							
27+		1																																																																							
Number of Jurisdictions		Points: 20																																																																							
Total Number of Involved Jurisdictions Range		Points																																																																							
5 or more		20																																																																							
4		16																																																																							
3		12																																																																							
2		8																																																																							
1		0																																																																							
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Project Characteristics</th> <th>Points: 10</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Project Feature</th> <th></th> <th>Points</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Timing only, no capital</td> <td></td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TMC/TOC and motorist information</td> <td></td> <td>21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New or upgraded communications systems</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New or upgraded detection</td> <td></td> <td>21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Intersection/field system modernization</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Minor Signal operational improvements</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New Protected/Permissive signals</td> <td></td> <td>32</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Adaptive traffic & demonstration projects</td> <td></td> <td>34</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TMC/CMC-TOC Connections between agencies</td> <td></td> <td>34</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Project Characteristics		Points: 10	Project Feature		Points	Timing only, no capital		10	TMC/TOC and motorist information		21	New or upgraded communications systems		2	New or upgraded detection		21	Intersection/field system modernization		2	Minor Signal operational improvements		2	New Protected/Permissive signals		32	Adaptive traffic & demonstration projects		34	TMC/ CMC-TOC Connections between agencies		34	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Current Project Readiness</th> <th>Points: 10</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Project Status</th> <th></th> <th>Points</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Preliminary Engineering Complete</td> <td></td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Re-timing of prior RTSSP project</td> <td></td> <td>35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Implementation within 12 months</td> <td></td> <td>5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Current Project Readiness		Points: 10	Project Status		Points	Preliminary Engineering Complete		5	Re-timing of prior RTSSP project		35	Implementation within 12 months		5																								
Project Characteristics		Points: 10																																																																							
Project Feature		Points																																																																							
Timing only, no capital		10																																																																							
TMC/TOC and motorist information		21																																																																							
New or upgraded communications systems		2																																																																							
New or upgraded detection		21																																																																							
Intersection/field system modernization		2																																																																							
Minor Signal operational improvements		2																																																																							
New Protected/Permissive signals		32																																																																							
Adaptive traffic & demonstration projects		34																																																																							
TMC/ CMC-TOC Connections between agencies		34																																																																							
Current Project Readiness		Points: 10																																																																							
Project Status		Points																																																																							
Preliminary Engineering Complete		5																																																																							
Re-timing of prior RTSSP project		35																																																																							
Implementation within 12 months		5																																																																							
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Transportation Significance</th> <th>Points: 10</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Corridor Type</th> <th></th> <th>Points</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Signal Synchronization Corridor</td> <td></td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Corridor "Gap Closure"</td> <td></td> <td>5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Transportation Significance		Points: 10	Corridor Type		Points	Signal Synchronization Corridor		5	Corridor "Gap Closure"		5	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Funding Match</th> <th>Points: 5</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Overall Match %</th> <th></th> <th>Points</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>50+%</td> <td></td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>40 - 49%</td> <td></td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>35 - 39%</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>30 - 34%</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>25 - 29%</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>< 25%</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Funding Match		Points: 5	Overall Match %		Points	50+%		5	40 - 49%		4	35 - 39%		3	30 - 34%		2	25 - 29%		1	< 25%		0																																				
Transportation Significance		Points: 10																																																																							
Corridor Type		Points																																																																							
Signal Synchronization Corridor		5																																																																							
Corridor "Gap Closure"		5																																																																							
Funding Match		Points: 5																																																																							
Overall Match %		Points																																																																							
50+%		5																																																																							
40 - 49%		4																																																																							
35 - 39%		3																																																																							
30 - 34%		2																																																																							
25 - 29%		1																																																																							
< 25%		0																																																																							
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Maintenance of Effort</th> <th>Points: 5</th> </tr> <tr> <th>MOE After Grant Period</th> <th></th> <th>Points</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>3 years</td> <td></td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 years</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1 year</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>None</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Maintenance of Effort		Points: 5	MOE After Grant Period		Points	3 years		5	2 years		3	1 year		1	None		0																																																							
Maintenance of Effort		Points: 5																																																																							
MOE After Grant Period		Points																																																																							
3 years		5																																																																							
2 years		3																																																																							
1 year		1																																																																							
None		0																																																																							

Minimum Eligibility Requirements

All local agencies may participate in the RTSSP. Caltrans facilities are eligible for the RTSSP, but Caltrans cannot act as the lead agency. Local agencies will be required to provide a minimum of 20 percent matching funds for eligible projects (see definition of matching funds below).

The goal of the RTSSP is to provide regional signal synchronization that cross jurisdictional, geographical, or physical boundaries. To be eligible for funding through this Program, a project must meet the following requirements:

1. Be on a street segment that is part of the signal synchronization network, or the MPAH. The project must be consistent with Local Signal Synchronization Plans and support the Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Master Plan goals.

2. Be multi-jurisdictional, have documented support from all participating local agencies (cities, County, or Caltrans) and a minimum of 20 signals

or

Be multi-jurisdictional, have documented support from all participating local agencies (cities, County, or Caltrans) and a minimum distance of five miles

or

Include at minimum three local agencies, have documented support from all participating local agencies (cities, County, or Caltrans), and have a minimum intersection density of four intersections per mile with a minimum of eight signals

or

Include the full length of the signal synchronization network corridor, or MPAH corridor

Matching Funds

Local agencies along the corridor are required to provide minimum local match funding of 20 percent for each project. As prescribed by the M2 Ordinance, this includes local sources, M2 Fair Share, and other public or private sources (herein referred to as a “cash match”). Projects can designate local matching funds as cash match, in-kind match provided by local agency staff and equipment, or a combination of both.

“In-kind match” is defined as those actions that local agencies will do in support of the project including staffing commitment and/or new signal system investment related to improved signal synchronization. Examples of staffing commitment include, but are not limited to, implementation of intersection or system timing parameters, review of timing documentation, meeting participation, conducting or assisting in before/after studies, and other similar efforts that directly enhance the signal synchronization project.

Administrative staff time for documentation of in-kind services is ineligible. Staff time charged to a project is limited to the caps as described in these guidelines. Allowable signal system investment would be improvements that are “eligible activities” per the funding guidelines, which can be shown to improve signal synchronization and would not include any prior investments made by the agency.

The specific matching requirement by project category type is listed below for city led projects:

Project category	Type of matching allowed*
Signal coordination	In-kind match** or cash match
New or upgraded detection	In-kind match** or cash match
New or upgraded communications systems	In-kind match** or cash match
Communications and detection support	In-kind match** or cash match
Intersection/field system modernization and replacement	In-kind match** or cash match
Minor signal operational improvements	In-kind match** or cash match
Traffic management center/traffic operations centers and motorist information systems	Cash match
Real-time traffic actuated operations and demonstration projects	Cash match
<u>Caltrans fees and expenses (labor and capital)</u>	<u>Cash match</u>

* Project match beyond 20 percent is limited to cash match only.

** In-kind services are subject to audit.

In-kind match must be defined for each local agency as part of the supplemental application. In-kind match must be identified as staffing commitment and/or new signal system investment. The supplemental application template will include a section to input in-kind match type as well as additional data related to the match:

- Staffing commitment
 - Staff position
 - Number of hours

- Hourly (fully burdened) rate
- Total cost
- New signal system investment
 - Cost of any signal system investment
 - Benefit to project

Projects submitted as OCTA led require a 20 percent cash match for Primary Implementation activities with a nominal in-kind allowance for local agency oversight. Operations and Maintenance activities will be permitted in-kind match only for local agency oversight functions. Contract activities will require cash match. Local agency contributions identified as cash match in the application cannot be converted into in-kind match.

OCTA staff will review in detail the presented cash and in-kind match by local agency for reasonableness. Additional requirements on in-kind match as part of the upcoming call are provided in this chapter.

Project Cancellation

If a local agency decides to cancel a project, for whatever reason, the agency shall notify OCTA as soon as possible. Projects deemed infeasible shall bring that phase to a logical conclusion, file a final report, and cancel remaining phases so that remaining funds can be reprogrammed without penalty.

Cancelled projects will be eligible for re-application upon resolution of issues that led to original project termination.

If a lead agency decides to cancel a project before completion of the entire project, for whatever reason, the agency shall notify OCTA as soon as possible. It is the responsibility of the project lead agency to repay OCTA for any funds received.

Project Extensions

Local agencies are provided 36 months to expend the funds from the date of encumbrance. Agencies can request timely use of funds extensions through the SAR in accordance with the CTFP guidelines. Local agencies should issue a separate Notice to Proceed (NTP) while combining contracts for both the PI and O & M phases. NTP requirement should be identified in the initial contract/agreement to avoid obligation of both phases at the same time. If this procedure is followed by the local agency the NTP date will be considered the date of encumbrance for the O & M phase.

Audits

All M2 payments are subject to audit. Local agencies must follow established accounting requirements and applicable laws regarding the use of public funds. Failure to submit to

an audit in a timely manner may result in loss of future funding. Misuse or misrepresentation of M2 funding will require remediation which may include repayment, reduction in overall grant, and/or other sanctions to be determined. Audits shall be conducted by OCTA Internal Audit Department or other authorized agent either through the normal annual process or on a schedule to be determined by the Board.

Data Compatibility

All count data collected as part of any funded project shall be provided to OCTA in one of the two following digital formats: 1) NDS/Southland Car Counters style Excel spreadsheet; or 2) JAMAR comma separated value style text file. The data shall then be loaded into the OCTA Roadway Operations and Analysis Database System (ROADS). Any data files containing numeric intersection or node identifiers shall use the same node identification (ID) numbers as is stored in the ROADS database. OCTA shall provide a listing of intersections and corresponding unique node ID numbers. Each count data file shall adhere to the following file naming or csv. As an example, a turning movement count file for the intersection of Harbor Boulevard and Wilson Street in Costa Mesa would be given the filename CostaMesa_Harbor-Wilson_4534.csv.

All traffic signal synchronization data collected and compiled as part of any funded project for both existing (before) and final optimized (after) conditions shall be provided to OCTA in Synchro version 8/9 csv Universal Traffic Data Format (UTDF) format and version 7 combined data UTDF format. This data shall include the network layout, node, link, lane, volume, timing, and phase data for all coordinated times. All such data shall be consistent with the OCTA ROADS database.

Exhibit 8-1

Project P Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program Application Checklist

Project P Application Checklist	Included
RTSSP Online Application – submitted through OCFundTracker <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Vehicle Miles Traveled 2. Benefit Cost Ratio 3. Project Characteristics 4. Transportation Significance 5. Maintenance of Effort 6. Project Scale 7. Number of Jurisdictions 8. Current Project Readiness 9. Funding Over-Match 	
Section 1: Key technical information <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Project limits of the corridor to synchronize b. Designation of the corridor to synchronize: priority corridor, signal synchronization network corridor, or master plan of arterial highways corridor c. Project start date and end date, including any commitment to operate signal synchronization beyond the three year grant period d. Signalized intersections that are part of the project e. Traffic Forum members 	
Section 2: Lead agency	
Section 3: Resolutions of support from the project’s Traffic Forum members	
Section 4: Preliminary plans for the proposed project <p>The plans shall include details about both phases of the project: <u>Primary Implementation</u> and the <u>Ongoing Maintenance and Operation</u>. The plan should be organized using the following setup.</p> <p><u>Primary Implementation</u> shall include details about the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Developing and implementing optimized signal synchronization timing (required) b. Producing a Before and After Study for the proposed project (required) c. Proposed signal improvements (optional): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. New or upgraded detection ii. New or upgraded communication systems iii. Intersection/field system modernization and replacement iv. Minor signal operation improvements v. Traffic management centers vi. Real-time traffic actuated operations and demonstration projects <p><u>Ongoing Maintenance and Operation</u> will begin after the <u>Primary Implementation</u> of the project is completed. It shall include details about the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Monitoring and improving optimized signal timing (required) b. Communications and detection support (optional) 	
Section 5: Total Proposed Project Cost by Task	
Section 6: Project Schedule by Task for the 3 Year Grant Period	
Section 7: Matching Funds	
Section 8: Environmental clearances and other permits	
Section 9: Calculations used to Develop Selection Criteria Inputs	
Section 10: Any additional information deemed relevant by the applicant	

Exhibit 8-2

Sample Resolution for Orange County Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program Projects

A resolution of the _____ City Council approving the submittal of _____ improvement project(s) to the Orange County Transportation Authority for funding under the competitive Measure M2 Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program.

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF _____ HEREBY RESOLVES, DETERMINES, AND ORDERS AS FOLLOWS THAT:

- a) WHEREAS, the Measure M2 Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program targets over 2000 signalized intersections across Orange County to maintain traffic signal synchronization, improve traffic flow, and reduce congestion across jurisdictions; and
- b) WHEREAS, the City of _____ has been declared by the Orange County Transportation Authority to meet the eligibility requirements to receive revenues as part of Measure M2;
 - c) WHEREAS, the CITY must include all projects funded by Net Revenues in the seven-year Capital Improvement Program as part of the Renewed Measure M Ordinance eligibility requirement.
- d) WHEREAS, the CITY authorizes a formal amendment to the seven-year Capital Improvement Program to add projects approved for funding upon approval from the Orange County Transportation Authority Board of Directors.
- e) WHEREAS, the City of _____ has currently adopted a Local Signal Synchronization Plan consistent with the Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Master Plan as a key component of local agencies' efforts to synchronizing traffic signals across local agencies' boundaries; and
 - f) WHEREAS, the City of _____ will provide matching funds for each project as required by the Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs Procedures Manual; and
- g) WHEREAS, the City of _____ will not use Renewed Measure M funds to supplant Developer Fees or other commitments; and
- h) WHEREAS, the City of _____ desires to implement multi-jurisdictional signal synchronization listed below; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

The City Council of the City of _____ hereby requests the Orange County Transportation Authority allocate funds in the amounts specified in the City's application to said City from the Transportation Signal Synchronization Program. Said funds, if approved, shall be matched by funds from said City as required and shall be used as supplemental funding to aid the City in signal synchronization along the following street(s):

*Required language a-h

2019 Call for Projects

8-23

As of 8/13/2018

This page intentionally left blank

Chapter 9 - Reimbursements and Reporting

Procedures for Receiving Funds

An implementing agency must encumber funds OCTA awards to a project phase within the fiscal year the grant is programmed (July 1-June 30). Prior to the encumbrance of funds, an agency must have a fully executed letter agreement with OCTA. An agency encumbers funds by awarding a contract, completing the appraisal or issuing an offer letter for one parcel of right-of-way, or by providing expense reports with supporting documentation to prove an agency's workforce costs (provided that the agency intends to complete the phase with agency staff). OCTA shall consider the primary contract or the contract with the largest dollar amount, associated with the phase's tasks, when an agency uses a contract to show encumbrance of CTFP funds. Once an agency encumbers CTFP funds for a phase, it can begin the process for receiving payment of the funds.⁹

OCTA will release funds through two payments. The initial payment will provide up to 75 percent of the contract award or programmed amount, whichever is less. OCTA will disburse the final payment, 25 percent of eligible funds, after it approves the final report. (See Precept 34)

For situations where a grant exceeds \$2 million, the final report retention shall be capped at \$500,000 per project phase, but shall in no case be less than 10 percent of the grant for that phase. Should the 75/25 payment distribution ratio result in a final payment retention that exceeds \$500,000, the payment percentages will be adjusted to meet the \$500,000 cap until the 10 percent threshold is reached (See Precept 35).

Agencies shall submit payment requests to OCTA in a timely fashion. The M2 Ordinance requires the submittal of a final report within 180 days of the project phase completion date (See M2 Ordinance/definitions/Precept 36). Failure to submit a final report within the 180-day time frame will result in an agency being found ineligible to receive net revenues. Per the M2 Ordinance, no provision for extension is allowed. The term "project phase completion" refers to the date that the local agency has paid the final contractor/consultant invoice (including retention) for work performed and any pending litigation has been adjudicated for the engineering phase or for the right-of-way phase, and all liens/claims have been settled for the construction phase.

OCTA will provide a separate CTFP payment supplement that includes sample forms and instructions for payment submittals and can be downloaded from the [OCFundtracker](#)

⁹ Funds from state and federal sources funds will undertake a separate process. Local agencies must contact Caltrans local assistance for reimbursement.

website. Payment submittals are described in this chapter and must be submitted through OCTA's online database, OCFundtracker: <http://ocfundtracker.octa.net>. Detailed instructions for OCFundtracker are available online at the previously mentioned website. Staff is also available to assist agencies with this process. Agencies must upload appropriate backup documentation to the database. OCTA may request hardcopy payment requests.

Availability of Funds

The funds granted by OCTA for each phase will be available on July 1, the first day of the fiscal year in which the funds are programmed and upon implementation of the letter agreement for the specific project.

Cancellation of Project

If a local agency decides to cancel a project, for whatever reason, the agency shall notify OCTA as soon as possible. Projects deemed infeasible during the planning phase shall bring that phase to a logical conclusion, file a final report, and cancel remaining phases so that remaining funds can be reprogrammed without penalty. Right-of-way funding received for property acquisition prior to cancellation shall be repaid upon cancellation, regardless of whether property has been purchased or not. Construction funding received prior to cancellation shall be repaid upon cancellation.

Cancelled projects will be eligible for re-application upon resolution of issues that led to original project termination.

Project O - Regional Capacity Program Initial Payment

Payment Requests

An agency shall use the report and checklist provided in the CTFP Payment Supplement (see https://ocfundtracker.octa.net/report_payment_excel.asp) in order to determine the reporting and documentation requirements for initial payment requests. Payment requirements are located in the Guidelines. Staff may request additional documentation that is not listed on the checklist prior to approving the request.

The interactive electronic versions of all payment forms can be downloaded via OCFundtracker at <http://ocfundtracker.octa.net>.

OCTA usually releases funds through two payments. The initial payment will constitute 75 percent of the eligible contract award or allocation amount, whichever is less. In addition to the bid abstract, OCTA will require local agencies to submit appropriate backup documentation for all project phases to support the initial payment request. OCTA will release the final payment of remaining balance, usually the final 25 percent of CTFP grant funds, when the project is complete and OCTA accepts the final report. The balance is determined based on final costs for CTFP eligible program expenditures. Prior to submitting the report, review the program specific section in these guidelines that addresses the final report process.

OCTA will reimburse costs associated with the Measure M informational signs (fabrication, installation, and removal) and do not count against a project's grant. Measure M informational "Funded By" sign removal costs should be requested in the Final Report.

Prior to submitting an initial payment request, a local agency may request a meeting with OCTA staff to determine eligible/ineligible items prior to requesting reimbursement.

Below is additional information regarding the documentation requirements of initial payment requests:

1. Invoice – For initial payments, an agency shall invoice for 75 percent of the contract amount or programmed amount, whichever is less. For situations where a grant exceeds \$2 million, the final report retention shall be capped at \$500,000 per project phase, but shall in no case be less than 10 percent of the grant for that phase. Should the 75/25 payment distribution ratio result in a final payment retention that exceeds \$500,000, the payment percentages will be adjusted to meet the \$500,000 cap until the 10 percent threshold is reached (See Precept 35). Agencies seeking initial payment for the planning, environmental and preliminary engineering work performed by local agency forces, must submit payroll records and City Council budget allocation with the initial payment request. The payroll records should identify the project name, date of expenditures, amount, and employee position. It is recommended that a unique project key be created for each project and all project charges be billed under that job

code. OCTA staff can provide a sample of acceptable form of payroll report upon local agency request.

2. Project Certification Letter – The public works director, or appropriate equivalent, shall submit a certification letter, with applicable statements, using the Project Certification Form 10-2. This will include the certification that the project being reimbursed has meet the signage requirements laid out in Precept 21.
3. Documentation of the Contract Award – The agency shall submit a minute order, agency resolution, or other council/board action showing award of the contract and the contract amount. After contract award, the agency shall submit the project name, contractor/consultant company name, and project scope including bid/task list, for each contract. The city clerk, clerk of the board, or appropriate equivalent shall certify minutes. Agencies that use on-call consultants shall submit a purchase order that includes the scope of work for the contractor.
4. Revised Cost Estimate – The agency shall use the format provided in the Revised Costs Estimate Form 10-3.
5. Work Schedule – OCTA prefers a complete project schedule, but an agency may provide as little as the expected start and completion dates for preliminary engineering, final engineering, right-of-way, and construction phases on form 10-1A.
6. Right-of-Way Documents – Each parcel shall include an appraiser’s report, written offer letter, plat map, and legal description. Agencies attempting to acquire five or more parcels for a project shall include a parcel location map. Initial payments for ROW will be considered after submittal of a signed ROW agreement with the property owners and/or upon City Council Resolution initiating a property acquisition in accordance with the Code of Civil Procedure per §1230.010, et. seq.
7. Plans, Specifications, & Estimate (PS&E) Certification – Agencies shall submit a PS&E certification using the PS&E Certification Form 10-4. The agency engineer shall certify that the local agency properly prepared and approved plans and specifications in accordance with authorized procedures and adopted standards, followed approved scope of work, and incorporated materials report.
8. Layout Plans – An agency shall not submit layout plans that print on paper larger than 11 inches by 17 inches.
9. Documentation of Decision to Use Local Agency Forces – For all project phases, for any work performed by local agency forces in lieu of a primary contract, local agency must document that local agency forces could perform the work more cost effectively or timely than a contractor; and documentation of this decision can be supplied in case of audit.

- Documentation Supporting Local Agency Liability for Utility Relocation Costs – Local agency liability can be supported by the documentation of property rights, franchise rights/agreements, state and local statutes/ordinances, permits, or a finding by the local agency’s counsel.

Reimbursement

OCTA shall not reimburse for a project prior to the beginning of the fiscal year of the grant. If an agency receives an advancement and begins work prior to the start of the fiscal year of the grant, the agency may request an initial payment against the grant. If an agency receives an advancement and completes a project prior to the start of the fiscal year of the grant, OCTA shall disburse the grant in a single payment. OCTA must accept the final report prior to issuing a payment.

Calculation of Payment

Once an agency encumbers Measure M funds, the agency may request a maximum of 75 percent of the contract award amount or programmed amount, whichever is less. For situations where a grant exceeds \$2 million, (See Precept 36). An example of calculating the initial funding request for a standard 75/25 payment is described below.

Example:

CTFP Grant Allocation	OCTA Match Rate	Local Agency Match Rate
\$200,000	80%	20%

Step 1

$$\text{Eligible Expenses} \times \text{OCTA Match Rate} = \text{Product}$$

$$\$ 225,000.00 \times 80\% = \$ 180,000.00$$

Step 2

Check if Product is greater than or less than
CTFP Allocation Amount: **\$200,000**

Step 3

Use the lower of the **Product** or **Allocation** **\$ 180,000.00**
 In this case the \$180,000 amount is less

Step 4

Then multiply the **\$180,000** amount by 75% (Initial Payment Percentage)

\$180,000	X	75%	=	\$135,000.00
				Invoice Amount

Project O - Regional Capacity Program Final Report and Payment Process

The remaining CTFP funds are reimbursed to the lead agency following completion of the final reporting process. This final payment is calculated by considering the grant allocation amount, the minimum local agency match rate, how much has been previously reimbursed as part of the initial payment, and the total eligible costs that can be applied to the grant (see program specific eligibility sections). M2 funds are applied proportionally to all eligible project expenses. Prior to submitting the Final Report, review the following section which includes items important to the final reporting process. The CTFP Payment Supplement provides additional instructions and sample forms to complete payment requests. Payment requirements are located in this chapter.

Project Cost Changes

If the contract price is lower than the amount programmed, and the agency requested additional items and/or change orders during construction/study, OCTA may approve the additional costs during the review of the final report. OCTA will review these reports to:

1. Determine that the agency submitted proper justification for the change order(s)
2. Determine if the items are eligible for reimbursement
3. Confirm that expenses are within the project's original scope of work
4. The lead agency should provide information supporting the need for the change orders in the final report. Changes in project limits for construction projects are not eligible for reimbursement.

Final Payment Documentation Requirements

The items listed below are to be submitted to complete the final reporting process.

1. Invoice - For final payments, an agency shall invoice for the remaining balance of the contract amount or programmed amount, whichever is less. Final payment request invoices shall normally be approximately 25 percent of the eligible funds. Interest earned by an agency for initial payments received shall be applied to and deducted from the final payment balance amount.
2. Project Certification Letter – The public works director, or appropriate equivalent, shall submit a certification letter, with applicable statements, using the Project Certification Form 10-2. This will include the certification that the project being reimbursed has meet the signage requirements laid out in Precept 21.
3. Documentation of the Contract Award – The agency shall submit a minute order, agency resolution, or other council/board action showing award of the contract and the contract amount. After contract award, the agency shall submit the project name,

contractor/consultant company name, and project scope including bid/task list, for each contract. The city clerk, clerk of the board, or appropriate equivalent shall certify minutes. Agencies that use on-call consultants shall submit a purchase order that includes the scope of work for the contractor.

4. Plans, Specifications, & Estimate (PS&E) Certification – Agencies shall submit a PS&E certification using the PS&E Certification Form 10-4. The agency engineer shall certify that the local agency properly prepared and approved plans and specifications in accordance with authorized procedures and adopted standards, followed approved scope of work, and incorporated materials report.
5. Final Report Form – The local agency shall prepare a final report form using the final report Form 10-5A.
6. Division of Costs – The Division of Costs Form 10-6. Supportive material shall equal the division of costs totals that are located in the final report form.
7. OCTA shall reimburse general lump sum pay items, appraisal cost, design, and construction engineering in the same ratio as the total right-of-way acquisition or construction costs.
8. Proof of Project Payment – The required documentation that will be submitted includes approved contract invoices and may also include, but is not limited to, supportive material for agency work forces, equipment, material, and corresponding proof of payment. Additional records are required to be maintained as outlined in the Audit (Chapter 10).
9. Layout Plans – An agency shall not submit layout plans that print on paper larger than 11 inches by 17 inches (where applicable).
10. Documentation of Decision to Use Local Agency Forces – For all project phases, for any work performed by local agency forces in lieu of a primary contract, local agency must document that local agency forces could perform the work more cost effectively or timely than a contractor; and documentation of this decision can be supplied in case of audit.
11. Documentation Supporting Local Agency Liability for Utility Relocation Costs – Local agency liability can be supported by the documentation of property rights, franchise rights/agreements, state and local statutes/ordinances, permits, or a finding by the local agency's counsel.
12. Right-of-Way Documents – Each parcel shall include an appraiser's report, written offer letter, plat map, and legal description. Agencies attempting to acquire five or more parcels for a project shall include a parcel location map.

13. Summary of Right-of-Way Acquisition – Agencies shall submit a summary of right-of-way acquisition as described in the Summary of right-of-way acquisition Form 10-5B.
14. Notice of Completion – An agency shall submit The Notice of Completion form to certify the phase completion date (Form 10-7). See Definition 22 for phase completion date.
15. Before and After Project Photos (where applicable) – photographs showing the project before and after the improvements.

Electronic copies of all payment forms can be downloaded from OCFundtracker.

Timely Final Reports

OCTA will work with local agencies to ensure the timeliness of final reports by utilizing the following procedures:

1. Local agencies to notify OCTA of the project phase completion date within 30 days of completion.
2. Local agencies to file a final report within 180 days of project phase completion date.
3. OCTA to issue a notification to the project manager, public works directors or TAC representative(s) 90 days after the project completion date, as reported in OCFundtracker, to remind local agencies that the final report is due in 90 days. OCTA staff will provide guidance to assist in preparation of the final report.
4. OCTA to issue a final notice letter to the project manager, public works directors or TAC representative(s) with a copy to the agency's management and finance director if OCTA does not receive the final report within 180 days of the project completion date. The final notice letter will inform the local agencies that if OCTA does not receive a response to the final notice letter and the final report within 180 days, then the funds will be unencumbered and OCTA shall request that the agency return disbursed funds, plus interest.
5. OCTA to issue the final payment to local agencies within 60 days of receiving the complete final report and all supporting documentation.

Failure to Submit Final Report

Agencies who fail to submit a Final Report will be required to repay applicable M2 funds received for the project in a manner consistent with the Master Funding Agreement and/or will be found ineligible to receive M2 Net Revenues.

Excess Right-of-Way

Agencies that use Net Revenues (through CTFP or LFS programs) to acquire project right-of-way shall dispose of land deemed in excess of the proposed transportation use. Excess

land sold by the lead agency will be disposed of in accordance with the process established in Government Code, Article 8, Surplus Land, Section 54220-54232, etc. Seq. and the right-of-way acquisition/disposal plan submitted as part of the application process. The agency shall return proceeds from the sale to OCTA. OCTA shall return the funds to the program of origin for future use.

Proceeds from the sale of excess right-of-way shall be returned to OCTA in proportion to the amount of M2 funds used in the purchase.

Agencies shall submit right-of-way documents for all parcels utilizing M2 Net Revenues. Agencies must submit the following documents:

- Summary of the right-of-way required for the project
- Plat maps and legal descriptions for right-of-way acquisitions
- Parcel location map
- Identification of anticipated excess right-of-way, if any
- Appraisal reports for excess right-of-way

OCTA shall consider excess right-of-way with a value of \$10,000.00 or less as an uneconomic remnant. OCTA shall determine if excess right-of-way is to be considered an uneconomic remnant.

The agency shall submit a fair market value appraisal report for the excess land of each parcel. Appraisers must conduct appraisals in accordance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP). If an agency suspects that the excess right-of-way has a value of \$10,000.00 or less, the agency may conduct a limited fair market value appraisal to confirm the value of the excess right-of-way. The agency shall submit the appraisals with the right-of-way final report.

OCTA shall retain from the final payment the value of excess right-of-way that is proportional to OCTA's percentage match rate to the project up to OCTA's match rate of right-of-way grant. However, if the local agency provided additional funds beyond what was original estimated, OCTA will be reimbursed based on its proportional share of the cost of right-of-way.

An agency may include incidental expenditures from the disposal of property in their final report for the right-of-way grant.

An agency shall begin the process to sell excess right-of-way within 60 days after acceptance of the construction improvements.

OCTA shall not close-out the right-of-way grant or construction grant until the agency and OCTA resolve questions regarding excess right-of-way.

Example:

OCTA’s right-of-way grant:	\$500,000	
OCTA grant match rate	75%	
Parcel Costs:		
Cost – Parcel 1:	\$300,000	
Cost – Parcel 2:	\$380,000	
Cost – Parcel 3:	\$120,000	
Cost – Parcel 4:	<u>\$100,000</u>	
Total right-of-way Costs:	\$900,000	
Payment with no excess ROW:	\$500,000	
Excess right-of-way:		
Value of excess right-of-way for parcel 1:	\$200,000	
Value of excess right-of-way for parcel 2:	\$105,000	
Value of excess right-of-way for parcel 3:	\$ 0	
Value of excess right-of-way for parcel 4:	<u>\$ 0</u>	
Total Value of excess right-of-way:	\$305,000	
OCTA contribution to right-of-way acquisition:		
CTFP right-of-way contribution	÷ Agency total cost of right-of-way	
	$\$500,000 \div \$900,000 = 56\%$	
OCTA’s shall reduce the final right-of-way payment by:		
Parcel 1:	$\$200,000 \times 56\% =$	\$112,000
Parcel 2:	$\$105,000 \times 56\% =$	+ <u>\$58,800</u>
Total:		\$170,800
Payment (incorporating excess right-of-way):		\$500,000
	-	<u>\$170,800</u>
		\$329,200

Agency Workforce and Equipment Rental

An agency must provide supporting documentation for work completed by agency staff. It is recommended that a unique project job key be created for each project and all project charges be billed under that job code. The agency shall multiply the fully burdened labor rate by the number of hours for each staff person assigned to the project. An agency may add actual overhead costs at an allowable rate up to 30 percent of payroll and fringe

benefits. Where an agency due to size cannot calculate its specific overhead rate, an agency may refer to the Cost Accounting Policies and Procedures Manual (CAPPM) of the California Uniform Public Construction Cost Accounting Commission, which allows for a fixed overhead rate billing dependent on city size. Where an agency has actual overhead costs that exceed 30 percent, these will be accepted when a fully audited cost allocation plan is provided and approved by the appropriate governmental entity listed in the CAPPM or 2 Code of Federal Regulations Part 225.

An agency must provide supporting documentation for equipment used by local agency staff. An agency may use local agency or Caltrans surcharge and equipment rental rates.

Technical and/or Field Review

Once an agency submits a final report for a project, OCTA shall review the report for compliance with the CTFP Guidelines and may conduct a technical and/or field review. As part of the technical/field review of a CTFP project, OCTA may:

- review right-of-way acquisitions and the potential for excess right-of-way
- compare hourly breakdown of staff time compared to staff time sheets
- conduct a project field review – ensure improvements are within scope
- review items that agencies self-certify
- verification of the reasonableness of project costs

OCTA may review all phases of the project.

OCTA will use the project cost estimate forms submitted with the application and revised where appropriate, project accounting records and the final report as the primary items to conduct the review. Agencies must maintain separate records for projects (i.e., expenditures, interest) to ensure compliance. OCTA will only reimburse eligible CTFP items listed on the cost estimate. The implementing agency is expected to complete the entire scope of work as presented in the original application.

See Chapter 10 for independent audit requirements beyond the technical/field review.

Reporting of Local Fair Share

For the purposes of reporting non-project work (maintenance, repair, and other non-project related costs) funded by Measure M local fair share funds, the Measure M2 expenditure report cited M2 Ordinance, Section III(B)(8) shall satisfy reporting requirements. If local fair share funds are used for projects, the local agency shall also include a list of those funds and/or other Measure M2 funds in the Project Final Report cited in Section III(B)(9).

Project P - Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program Reimbursements and Reporting Requirements

The previous sections of this chapter outline the process and requirements regarding reimbursements and reporting for all competitive programs that are part of Measure M2. A lead agency shall also use the following additional reporting and documentation requirements specific to any competitive project funded through Project P as part of the reimbursement process.

Procedures for Receiving Funds

Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program funds projects with a three (3) year grant. Projects are divided into two components for the purposes of reimbursements and reporting: Primary Implementation (PI) and Ongoing Operations and Maintenance (O&M). O&M will begin after the PI of the project is completed and be required for the remainder of the project and last for a minimum of two (2) years.

Primary Implementation includes the following:

- Project administration (required)
- Developing and implementing optimized signal synchronization timing (required)
- Producing a Before and After Study for the proposed project (required)
- Engineering design of signal improvements for the project (optional)
- System integration (optional)
- Proposed signal improvements, construction support, and contingency (optional):
 - New or upgraded detection
 - New or upgraded communication systems
 - Intersection/field system modernization and replacement
 - Minor signal operation improvements
 - Traffic management centers
 - Real-time traffic actuated operations and demonstration projects
- Contingencies (optional)
- Construction management (optional)

Ongoing Operation and Maintenance will begin after the Primary Implementation of the project is completed. Includes the following:

Monitoring and improving optimized signal timing (required)

- Communications and detection-support (optional)
- Detection support (optional)
- Final report (required)

A lead agency must encumber funds OCTA allocates to a project within the fiscal year of the grant and after funding agreements with OCTA are executed. A lead agency encumbers funds by awarding a contract or providing expense reports to prove the lead or a participating agency's workforce costs, provided that the lead agency intends to complete the Primary Implementation with lead agency or participating agency staff. Once an agency encumbers Project P funds for Primary Implementation, it can begin the process for receiving payment of the funds. Note that only the lead agency will receive payment of funds from OCTA. Any funds that are due to other participating agencies are the responsibility of the lead agency and not OCTA.

The project lead agency must submit payment requests through OCTA's online database, [OCFundtracker](#). Additional details about the retention caps, timely payment requests, project closeout, and payment are available in Chapter 9.

Availability of Funds

The funds allocated for projects will be available to project lead agencies July 1st of the programmed year and after funding agreements with OCTA are executed.

Initial Payment Requests for Primary Implementation

The initial payment will provide up to 75 percent of funds for the Primary Implementation of the project. The following information specific to the Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Project is provided regarding the documentation requirements for initial payment of Primary Implementation after an agency encumbers funds for the project.

The interactive electronic versions of all payment forms can be downloaded via OCFundtracker.

The Primary Implementation report has been provided so a lead agency can determine the reporting and documentation required for an initial payment request. Staff may request additional documentation that is not listed on the Primary Implementation Report prior to approving the request. The electronic versions of the forms are available through the OCFundtracker.

Below is additional information regarding documentation requirements for RTSSP payment requests. The CTFP Payment Supplement provides instructions and sample forms for the items listed.

- Invoice - For initial payments, the lead agency shall invoice for 75 percent of the contract amount or programmed amount of the project's Primary Implementation, whichever is less. For final payments of the Primary Implementation, the lead agency shall invoice the remaining balance of the project's Primary Implementation phase contract amount or programmed amount, whichever is less
- Project Certification Letter (initial and final)

- Revised Cost Estimate (initial)
- Plans, Specifications, and Estimate (PS&E) Certification (initial and final)
- Certification of Phase (initial)
- Final Report Submission
- Division of Cost Schedule (final)
- Work Schedule - OCTA requires a complete project schedule, including expected start and completion dates for tasks in the Primary Implementation and Ongoing Maintenance and Operation phases (initial and final)
- Right-of-Way Documents - No requirements as Right-of-Way is not a part of RTSSP

Detail on other aspects on Initial Payment Requests for Primary Implementation including project advancement and reimbursement is available in this chapter.

Example of Initial Reimbursement for Primary Implementation:

CTFP Grant Allocation	OCTA Match Rate	Local Agency Match Rate
\$900,000	80%	20%

Step 1

Eligible Expenses x OCTA Match Rate = **Product**

$$\$ 900,000.00 \times 80\% = \underline{\$ 720,000.00}$$

Step 2

Check if Product is greater than or less than

CTFP Allocation Amount: **\$900,000**

Step 3

Use the lower of the **Product** or **Allocation** **\$ 720,000.00**

In this case the \$180,000 amount is less

Step 4

Then multiply the **\$180,000** amount by **75%** (Initial Payment Percentage)

\$ 720,000.00	X	75%	=	<u>\$540,000.00</u>
				Invoice Amount

Final Payment Requests for Primary Implementation

OCTA will release the remaining balance to the lead agency, approximately 25 percent of funds for the Primary Implementation, when the project's Primary Implementation phase is complete and OCTA receives the project Before and After Study. The balance is determined based on the final costs for the eligible RTSSP expenditures. The Before and After Study is defined as the following:

This study shall at minimum collect morning and evening peak period using travel times, average speeds, green lights to red lights, stops per mile, and the derived corridor system performance index (CSPI) metric. In addition, greenhouse gas and gasoline savings should be identified. This information shall be developed both before any signal timing changes have been made and after the Primary Implementation. The study shall compare the information collected both before and after the timing changes. Comparisons shall identify the absolute and percent differences for the entire corridor, by segment, direction, and time period. Segments will be defined by major traffic movements as observed during the project (e.g. commuting segments between freeways, pedestrian-friendly segments in a downtown area, etc.).

A template for the before and after study is available. The Before and After Study for RTSSP shall be included as a requirement at the end of the Primary Implementation phase and as part of the Final Report for reimbursement purposes.

Payment Requests for Ongoing Operations and Maintenance

The payments for the Ongoing Operations and Maintenance portion of the project award will cover the remainder of the grant period after Primary Implementation is completed and will be paid as a reimbursement upon proof of work/payment and receipt of invoice. The invoice should include details on the ongoing operations and maintenance work done including on the required (1) work monitoring and improving optimized signal timing; and optional (2) communications and detection support.

O&M Project Final Report

The project final report shall be completed in accordance with all CTFP Guidelines upon the end of the three-year grant period. In addition, the final report shall summarize the full project through the three-year grant period, include the Before and After Study from the Primary Implementation phase, and report on additional updates/information that result from the Ongoing Operation and Maintenance phase.

Project X - Environmental Cleanup Program Reimbursements & Reporting Requirements

The CTFP Payment Supplement provides instructions and sample forms for ECP projects. The interactive electronic versions of all payment forms can be downloaded via OCFundtracker. These processes are applicable to the Tier 1 and Tier 2 Grant Programs:

Initial payments:

1. Invoice – For initial payments, an agency shall invoice for 75 percent of the contract amount or programmed amount, whichever is less. For situations where a grant exceeds \$2 million, the final report retention shall be capped at \$500,000 per project phase; but, shall in no case be less than 10 percent of the grant for that phase. Should the 75/25 payment distribution ratio result in a final payment retention that exceeds \$500,000, the payment percentages will be adjusted to meet the \$500,000 cap until the 10 percent threshold is reached (See Precept 35).
2. Project Certification Letter – The public works director, or appropriate equivalent, shall submit a certification letter, with applicable statements, using the Project Certification Form 10-2.
3. Documentation of the Contract Award – The agency shall submit a minute order, agency resolution, or other council/board action showing award of the contract and the contract amount. After contract award, the agency shall submit the project name, contractor/consultant company name, and project scope including bid/task list, for each contract. The city clerk, clerk of the board, or appropriate equivalent shall certify minutes. Agencies that use on-call consultants shall submit a purchase order that includes the scope of work for the contractor.
4. Revised Cost Estimate – The agency shall use the format provided in the Revised Costs Estimate Form 10-3.
5. Plans, Specifications, & Estimate (PS&E) Certification Form 10-4.
6. The ECP Initial Report Form 10-15 must be submitted
7. Location Maps of Installation.

Final Reporting Process:

The items listed below are to be submitted to complete the final reporting process. A final report must be filed within 180 days of the project phase completion. Additionally, an exception to Precept 29: agencies may appeal to the ECAC and the OCTA Board on any issues that the agency and OCTA cannot resolve, as such are the approving bodies for this program.

1. Invoice – For final payments, an agency shall invoice for the remaining balance of the contract amount or programmed amount, whichever is less. Final payment request invoices shall normally be approximately 25 percent of the eligible funds. Interest earned by an agency for initial payments received shall be applied to and deducted from the final payment balance amount.
2. Project Certification Letter – The public works director, or appropriate equivalent, shall submit a certification letter, with applicable statements, using the Project Certification Form 10-2.
3. Documentation of the Contract Award – The agency shall submit a minute order, agency resolution, or other council/board action showing award of the contract and the contract amount. After contract award, the agency shall submit the project name, contractor/consultant company name, and project scope including bid/task list, for each contract. The city clerk, clerk of the board, or appropriate equivalent shall certify minutes. Agencies that use on-call consultants shall submit a purchase order that includes the scope of work for the contractor.
4. Plans, Specifications, & Estimate (PS&E) Certification – Agencies shall submit a PS&E certification using the PS&E Certification form 10-4.
5. Final Report Division of Costs Schedule -The agency shall use the format provided in form 10-6.
6. Notice of Completion – An agency shall submit The Notice of Completion form to certify the phase completion date. See definition 22 for phase completion date.
7. The ECP Final Report Form 10-16.
8. Location Maps of Installation.
9. Proof of Project Payment – The required documentation that will be submitted includes approved contract invoices and may also include, but is not limited to, supportive material for agency work forces, equipment, material, and corresponding proof of payment. Additional records are required to be maintained as outlined in the Audit chapter.
10. Form 10-17 (where applicable) Supporting documentation for O & M costs (if used as local match).

For Tier 1 of the Environmental Cleanup Program, where ongoing operations and maintenance of the project were pledged as a local match, as part of the semi-annual review reporting process, OCTA will verify local agency operations and maintenance expenditures to ensure local match commitments are being met. Local agencies must complete the In-Kind O&M Report Form 10-17 for each ECP grant as part of their semi-annual review updates.



2019 Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program Call for Projects



June 27, 2018

To: Technical Advisory Committee
From: Orange County Transportation Authority Staff
Subject: 2019 Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program Call for Projects

Overview

The Orange County Transportation Authority Board of Directors will consider issuing a 2019 Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program Call for Projects in September 2018. Staff is presenting revised guidelines for the Technical Advisory Committee review and comment.

Recommendations

- A. Provide direction to staff on the 2019 Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program Guidelines.
- B. Recommend Board of Directors approval of the 2019 Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program Guidelines.

Background

Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Program Improvement Program (CMAQ) funds are made available through Fixing Americas Surface Transportation (FAST) Act. The CMAQ funds are apportioned to counties that are in non-attainment areas that do not meet current air quality standards including Orange County.

In May 2017, the Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) Board of Directors (Board) approved the Capital Programming Guidelines (CPG) which included the use of 10 percent of annual CMAQ program funds for bicycle and pedestrian projects. OCTA is recommending issuing a call for projects (call) using CMAQ apportionment that is anticipated to be available in Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2019-2020 through FFY 2023-2024.

Discussion

Approximately \$25 million is proposed for the 2019 Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program (BCIP) Call to fund projects in FFY 2019-2020 through FFY 2023-2024. The guidelines have been updated based on information collected during the 2012 BCIP Call, 2014 BCIP Call, and 2016 BCIP Call. The BCIP Guidelines and Procedures Summary (summary) are provided in

Attachment A. The summary of changes includes a comparison between the approved 2016 BCIP Call and the proposed 2019 BCIP Call. The complete draft 2019 BCIP Guidelines and Procedures is provided in Attachment B. The guidelines include the following key provisions:

- Eligible projects (projects that are beginning a phase of work in FFY 2019-2020 through FFY 2023-2024) include: Bicycle facilities and bicycle trails
- Eligible applicants: 35 local agencies (cities and County of Orange)
- Eligible Phases
 - Environmental and Preliminary Engineering (Environmental and Preliminary Engineering in FFY 2019-2020 only)
 - Implementation (Final Design, Right-of-Way, and Construction)
 - Agencies can only apply for Environmental and Preliminary Engineering or Implementation for the same project
- Funding:
 - \$25 million in CMAQ is available for the 2019 BCIP Call
 - For Environmental and Preliminary Engineering phases: \$100,000 minimum request, \$500,000 maximum requests
 - For Implementation phases: \$200,000 minimum request, \$4 million maximum request
 - 12 percent non-federal local match
 - Funds are reimbursable following proof of expenditures
 - Projects with overmatch will receive additional points
- Project selection is based on the following criteria:
 - Master Plan of Arterial Highways Consistency
 - State and Federal Compliance
 - Financial Viability and Technical Capacity
 - Air Quality
 - Coordination demonstrated through Planning Documents
 - Need
 - Project Readiness
 - Safety Enhancements
 - Public Participation, Community Outreach, and Support
- Provisions of use/timely use of funds
 - Specific deadlines for submittal of documents required for Federal Highways Administration approval for obligation of funds
 - Contract award within nine months of obligation of funds
 - Adherence to California Department of Transportation Local Assistance procedures

- Semi-annual project status reports

Staff presented the 2019 BCIP call summary and received comments from the Technical Steering Committee (TSC). TSC comments and OCTA responses are provided in Attachment C.

Next Steps

With Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) direction, staff would seek Board approval of the 2019 BCIP guidelines and issue the call for projects.

Staff will convene an advisory panel to assist with the review and ranking of applications. The panel may include one representative from the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), the OCTA's Citizens Advisory Committee, OCTA staff and two representatives from OCTA's Technical Advisory Committee.

- September 24, 2019 – Expected Board approval for issuance of 2019 BCIP Call
- November 15, 2018 – Applications due to OCTA
- November through January 2018 – Review and rank applications
- March 11, 2019 – Board approval of program of projects

Summary

Upon Board approval, approximately \$25 million will be made available for the 2019 BCIP Call. Staff is seeking comments from the TAC and direction to present the guidelines to the Board for the issuance of a call for projects to program these funds for bicycle facilities.

Attachments

- A. 2019 Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program Guidelines Comparison Summary
- B. 2019 Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program Guidelines
- C. 2019 Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program Technical Steering Committee Comments

2019 Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program Guidelines Summary

Proposed Schedule

Technical Steering Committee	June 13, 2018
Technical Advisory Committee	June 27, 2018
Board of Directors Action to Issue Call for Projects	September 24, 2018
Application Due Date	November 15, 2018
Evaluation Panel Application Review	November 16, 2018 – January 25, 2019
Regional Planning and Highways Committee Approval of Projects	March 2019
Board Approval of Projects	March 2019

Summary and Comparison

	2019 BCIP Proposed	2016 BCIP Approved
Available Funding	\$25 million	\$20 million
Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year 2019-2020 through FY 2023-2024	Fiscal Years 2016-2017 through 2017-2018
Program Split	<p>Environmental and Preliminary Engineering - \$2 million Implementation - \$23 million</p> <p>Agencies shall only apply for environmental or implementation for each project. Projects with both program types will be made ineligible.</p>	<p>Tier 1 Priority Tier 2 – Up to \$2 million if funds available</p>
Eligible Phases	<p>Environmental and Preliminary Engineering</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environmental (FFY 2019-2020 only) • Preliminary Engineering <p>Implementation (FFY 2019-2020 through FFY 2023-2024)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Final Design • Right-of-Way • Construction 	<p>Tier 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Final Design • Right-of-Way • Construction <p>Tier 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environmental
Minimum and Maximum Requests	<p>Environmental and Preliminary Engineering</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimum - \$100,000 • Maximum - \$500,000 <p>Implementation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimum - \$200,000 • Maximum - \$4 million 	<p>Tier 1 and Tier 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimum - \$100,000 • Maximum - \$3 million
Local Match	Minimum 12%	12%

	2019 BCIP Proposed	2016 BCIP Approved
Extensions	One fiscal year	One fiscal year. More than one year for special cases.
Criteria	State and Federal Compliance	State and Federal Compliance
	Financial Viability and Technical Capacity	Financial Viability and Technical Capacity
	Air Quality	Air Quality
	Local Match (Leveraging) Coordination	Local Match (Leveraging) Coordination
	Need	Cost Effectiveness
	Project Readiness	Project Readiness
	Safety Enhancements	Safety Enhancements
	Public Participation, Community	Public Participation and Agency Support
	Outreach, and Support	Connectivity, Relationships, and Priority
	Master Plan of Arterial Highways Consistency	

Point Distribution

Environmental

Weighted Criteria	Maximum Points
Matching Funds	30
Coordination	15
Need	25
Project Readiness	5
Safety Enhancements	15
Public Participation and Agency Support	10
Total	100

Implementation Projects

Weighted Criteria	Maximum Points
Matching Funds	15
Coordination	15
Need	25
Project Readiness	20
Safety Enhancements	15
Public Participation and Agency Support	10
Total	100



2019 Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program

Call for Projects

Orange County Transportation Authority Guidelines and Procedures

PURPOSE AND AUTHORITY

The 2019 Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program (BCIP) Call for Projects (call) is funded using federal Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ) funds authorized under the **Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act**. The CMAQ program provides funding through annual appropriations to Orange County to be used for transportation-related projects that reduce traffic congestion and improve air quality. The Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) is responsible for selecting regionally significant projects for Orange County and working with the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) in administering selected projects. **On May 8, 2017**, the Board of Directors (Board) adopted the Capital Programming Guidelines which includes a ten percent set aside of CMAQ funds for bicycle and pedestrian projects through competitive calls for projects (calls).

The goals of the 2019 BCIP are to:

- Increase the number of biking and walking trips.
- Provide regional linkages to key destinations.
- Close bikeways corridor gaps.
- Promote mobility options by increasing safety.
- Implement projects with community support.
- Improve air quality across Orange County.

Applications are due **Thursday, November 15th, 2018 by 4:00 p.m.** See page **11** for submittal information.

2019 BCIP GRANTS AND APPLICATION TYPES

The 2019 BCIP call is funded using ten percent of OCTA's annual CMAQ apportionment, and prior BCIP project savings. The 2019 BCIP call will program funds in Fiscal Year (FY) 2019-2020 through 2023-2024. Currently the call is estimated to be approximately \$25 million.

Project applications are limited to either environmental or implementation phases. Projects with both environmental phases and implementation phases will not be considered for funding.

Eligible Environmental phases include:

- Environmental Documentation (in FY 2019-2020 only), and
- Preliminary Engineering (up to 35%)

Eligible Implementation phases include:

- Final Design, and
- Right-of-Way: Real Property acquisition and other right-of-way phase work, and
- Construction: Construction and construction management / engineering costs associated with conducting an eligible activity

Summary of Application Types

Application Type	Available Funds	Minimum Request	Maximum Request
Environmental	\$2 million	\$100,000	\$500,000
Implementation	\$23 million	\$200,000	\$4 million

Up to \$2 million will be awarded towards environmental phases of work. Up to \$23 million will be awarded towards implementation phases of work. Each environmental request is limited to a minimum of \$100,000 and a maximum of \$500,000 in CMAQ funds. Each implementation request is limited to a minimum of \$200,000 per phase of work and maximum of \$4 million in CMAQ funds. Projects requiring more than \$4 million can be segmented into smaller components and submitted as individual projects.

LOCAL MATCH REQUIREMENT

A minimum local match of 12 percent of each project phase cost is required.

The match may consist of local dollars, state dollars, non-transportation federal dollars, or private funding. Federal transportation funds are not an eligible match.

Overmatch. Local agencies may provide an “overmatch” for the project; that is, they can contribute additional match dollars beyond the minimum match requirement. Local agencies will receive additional points in the evaluation process for providing matching funds above the minimum requirement. Additionally, local agencies must commit to cover any cost overruns. Any work not eligible for federal CMAQ reimbursement must be funded by the local agency through other means and will not count as part of the match requirement. These non-federally eligible items should be included in the grant application.

Soft and In-Kind Match Provisions. “Soft-match” and “in-kind match” refers to instances where the values of activities accomplished not verifiable or directly related to the project are credited towards the non-federal share match of the project or administrative costs. Soft-match or in-kind match are not eligible for the BCIP.

ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS

Eligible applicants are limited to the 35 local government agencies in Orange County. Eligible agencies must be able to receive federal funding through Caltrans and must be

able to provide authorizing resolutions and cooperative agreements from their controlling bodies or through Caltrans as a direct recipient of Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) funds. A resolution template is provided and must be used. Any changes in the resolution language will invalidate the application. Two or more eligible local agencies may participate together on a project by submitting a single application and indicating the designated lead agency. Additionally, non-profit organizations may also nominate projects through an eligible local agency that is willing and able to take on the responsibility for implementing and maintaining the project.

ELIGIBLE PROJECTS AND EXPENDITURES

All projects must provide a measurable air quality benefit, comply with CMAQ requirements, and are subject to Caltrans review and approval.

If project eligibility is not clear, the local agency will be asked to provide additional information and an eligibility determination will be made by OCTA and/or Caltrans. Final approval is contingent upon Caltrans and FHWA eligibility determination. Examples of eligible projects include, but are not limited to the following:

- New bicycle (Class I, Class II, Class III, Class IV) facilities with all-weather pavement material
- Bicycle boulevard engineering treatments (signing & striping, mini-roundabouts, etc.)
- Bicycle racks, lockers, and parking
- Bicycle facility crossing treatments and associated traffic control devices necessary for the safety and functional operations of the facility
- Improvements on existing bikeways, including but not limited to enhanced separation from vehicular traffic
- Pedestrian improvements when constructed with bicycle facilities

Capital construction projects must be constructed on public right-of-way (ROW) or include a lease agreement with a minimum of 20 years from the property owner. License agreements or other agreements that allow for the removal of the proposed project before the 20 year minimum are not valid.

For implementation phase projects, the local agency must reasonably educate the community about the improvement for better awareness and understanding once the project is complete. Education regarding the project may include, but is not limited to, direct community engagement, workshops, signage, fact sheet distribution, advertisements, doorhangers, leaflets, and other public outreach methods. Education for new bikeway types is highly recommended to ensure public understanding and support of the new treatment. Local agencies are encouraged to include an outline of proposed education campaign methods within the grant application.

Only direct project costs are eligible for reimbursement (no in-kind match). The local agency may retain consultants after satisfying federal and state requirements for selecting

consultants (See Chapter 10 and Chapter 15 of the Caltrans Local Assistance Procedures Manual).

Maintenance and/or rehabilitation work, capital projects with a life of less than five years or one-time temporary improvements, and utility ROW costs are **not eligible**.

BCIP funds are **not to be used for** feasibility review, conceptual design, environmental compliance/mitigation, and/or developer obligations.

MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA (Screening Criteria)

BCIP grant applications will be screened prior to scoring in order to determine project eligibility (See part three of the BCIP grant application). Local agencies should consider the following elements when submitting their proposals. Each BCIP project nomination can receive a maximum of 100 points. (See the summary of point distribution in the BCIP grant application).

Pass / Fail Criteria

A. Master Plan of Arterial Highways Consistency. Projects located on the Master Plan of Arterial Highways (MPAH) network are subject to the consistency policies in Section 5 of OCTA's Guidance for the Administration of the MPAH. To maintain MPAH consistency, local agencies may propose to amend the MPAH as it relates to their BCIP proposals. Local agencies are encouraged to coordinate with OCTA's MPAH Program Administrator staff early as BCIP applications are being developed to identify and work to avoid potential conflicts arising later as part of project implementation. While resolution of any MPAH changes is not required prior to submittal of a BCIP application, early discussion with OCTA staff regarding potential classification changes to MPAH roadways is desired.

Local agencies that are contemplating MPAH amendments as part of their BCIP projects are encouraged to include supporting documentation in their BCIP applications that would satisfy requirements per the MPAH Guidance. This would include existing and future average daily traffic volumes as well as letters of support from agencies adjacent to the project limits (if any). Projects that are advanced to the OCTA Board for BCIP funding consideration and are also found to satisfy the MPAH amendment requirements will be considered for concurrent OCTA Board approval. Projects that are advanced to the OCTA Board for BCIP funding consideration and are not consistent with the MPAH or have MPAH amendments contingent on local agency General Plan updates will be placed on a Conditional Project List. These projects must meet the following conditions, and may be recommended for programming into later years:

1. Complete all NEPA requirements;
2. Complete the OCTA MPAH Amendment process; and
3. Complete a General Plan Amendment

Once these three conditions are met, the OCTA Board will be requested to consider awarding BCIP funds to projects on a semi-annual basis. Applicants are encouraged to coordinate early with OCTA staff if they have any questions about this process.

- B. **State and Federal Compliance.** Projects must comply with CMAQ, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), federal, state, and OCTA requirements. Projects must be consistent (or not inconsistent) with federal, state, regional or local land use policies and regional transportation plans, goals, and other policies. Projects must also conform to the Americans with Disabilities Act and Buy America Provisions in the FAST Act.
- C. **Financial Viability and Technical Capacity.** The local agency must have the ability to meet financial processing requirements, have sufficient levels of funding to provide an adequate cash flow for the project, and be able to provide adequate personnel and have the technical capacity to manage and administer the project. Additionally, the local agency must follow the federal procurement and federal contract administration requirements which will be included in the cooperative agreement signed by OCTA and the local agency.
- D. **Air Quality.** Projects must demonstrate a measurable improvement in air quality. Local agencies must provide air quality quantifications with their application using established methodology. The forecasted air quality benefits must be attached to the application. OCTA recommends using the California Air Resource Board South Coast Methods Program software. Projects will be scored on a pass or fail basis. The burden to explain the air quality calculations and measures will be the responsibility of the local agency.

The California Air Resources Board South Coast Methods Program software can be found here: <http://www.arb.ca.gov/planning/tsaq/eval/eval.htm>.

Scored Criteria

- E. **Local Match.** A minimum 12 percent local match is required for all phases of work. Agencies overmatching will receive additional points. Additional information is provided in the Local Match Requirement section.
- F. **Coordination.** Proposed projects must be in an adopted or substantially completed draft plan. Examples of plans that demonstrate coordination include, but are not limited to, OCTA Commuter Bikeway Strategic Plan, OCTA Regional Bikeway Studies, local agency pedestrian and/or bicycle plan, Complete Streets Plan, and Safe Routes to Schools Plans. Agencies are encouraged to include projects identified through public engagement and planning activities (walk audits, community design charrettes, master planning, etc.).

Additional consideration will be given to projects prioritized as part of a multi-jurisdictional collaborative strategy or similar effort such as collaboration between multiple agencies or projects that address gaps at agency boundaries.

- G. **Need.** Projects should link to the transportation network, key land use destinations and close gaps or overcome barriers.

Forecast demand will be measured utilizing the Bikeway Priority Index Ranking (BPIR) after application submittal. The BPIR is an internal OCTA model that analyzes multiple factors to forecast propensity for use of a bikeway facility. Factors include population density, employment density, and certain conditions or uses (such as geographic features, schools, transit stops, etc., near the proposed project). Submittal of a Geographic Information System (GIS) shape file, detailed map, and exact project location are required for OCTA to process the project through the BPIR. For more information, please see Appendix 2.

Connectivity to the land use and transportation network, including transit, pedestrian, and other bicycle facilities is essential to providing greater transportation choices.

Applicants are encouraged to identify how the network connectivity is improved or enhanced through implementation of the project. While the BPIR calculations are provided by the OCTA modeling, the connectivity section provides an opportunity for the applicant to identify key local or regional connections provided by the project.

Facility continuity will be evaluated based on how well the project closes a gap on the network. Projects will be scored based on ability to overcome key barriers or close gaps on the network. Bicycle projects that also include improvements to pedestrian mobility are encouraged.

- H. **Project Readiness.** Points will be given to construction projects that can or will meet federal ready-to-list requirements for construction. This includes completion of NEPA and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements, and ROW certification. NEPA, CEQA, and the ROW certification must be completed before the Authorization to Proceed (E-76) request for construction will be approved. For more information on the E-76 submittal, please see the Caltrans Local Assistance Procedures Manual.

- I. **Safety Enhancements.** Projects should reduce the number and/or rate of active transportation fatalities and injuries including the identification of safety hazards for vulnerable users. Agencies are required explain how the project will mitigate documented bicycle or pedestrian collisions and improve safety in the project area. Applications should include bicycle and pedestrian collision history, maps, and images to support your claim. Applicants are encouraged to use the UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS tool which was specifically designed for the state-managed Active Transportation Program (ATP) funding opportunity. Applicants with access to alternative collision data tools and training can utilize their choice of methods/tools.

For applications using the TIMS ATP tool, attach a collision heat-map, project area collision map, and collision summaries.

Additionally, points are given to projects that provide engineering design treatments beyond those required for the project or considered standard operating procedure. Additional treatments or countermeasures should be identified that better improve the operations and reduce exposure to active transportation users. Examples of complimentary treatments might include those treatments identified by the FHWA through the Interim Approval listing such as bicycle boxes, or treatments that better serve users such as bicycle channels constructed on stairs to avoid need to carry a bicycle up or down stairs.

- J. **Public Participation, Community Outreach and Support.** The project should receive input and support from members of the public, stakeholders, and local agencies. Outreach activities and public meetings should be listed with appropriate supporting documentation. Support from members of the public and stakeholders should be submitted in letter format from organizations, businesses, coalitions, business improvement districts, neighborhood organizations, etc. that will be affected by the project. Letters of support from internal agency departments (police department, fire department, etc.) or individual members of the public will **not** factor into scoring but can be included for consideration.

Evaluation Criteria and Point Distribution

Environmental

Weighted Criteria	Maximum Points
Matching Funds	30
Coordination	15
Need	25
Project Readiness	5
Safety Enhancements	15
Public Participation and Agency Support	10
Total	100

Implementation Projects

Weighted Criteria	Maximum Points
Matching Funds	15
Coordination	15
Need	25
Project Readiness	20
Safety Enhancements	15
Public Participation and Agency Support	10
Total	100

PROVISIONS OF USE

CMAQ

The BCIP and the funding in this call is subject to the FAST Act, a future extension, or passage of a new federal transportation authorization act. The FAST Act is currently funded through federal fiscal year (FFY) 2019-2020. Projects awarded CMAQ funding through the call for projects will follow the FHWA process. For projects awarded funding, the process is as follows:

- Projects must be programmed in the Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP). The local agency should consult with OCTA staff regarding modifications and amendments to the FTIP needed for the project. Once a project is programmed in the FTIP, the agency may proceed with the E-76 request. Please note, Programming staff may program the funds in a later year in the FTIP than what was submitted in the BCIP application. If this is the case, OCTA will request Caltrans for the Expedited Project Selection Procedures to allow the project to be obligated in the appropriate year. For additional information, see the Federal Transportation Improvement Program section.
- Execute the Cooperative Agreement between OCTA and the local agency.
- Environmental documentation (preliminary environmental study) should be submitted to Caltrans by November 1st of the program FY. Agencies should target the November 1st date or risk losing project funding.
- Air quality analysis must be submitted as part of the application and to Caltrans.
- The E-76 request must be submitted to Caltrans District 12 and copied to OCTA by February 1st of the program FY. Draft E-76 must be provided to OCTA prior to submittal. The E-76 Finance Letter must include “pro-rata” ratios and not “lump-sum.”
- Once E-76 is approved the local agency has nine months to award a contract.
- Invoices for BCIP are submitted to and paid by Caltrans.
- Following contract award, an invoice must be submitted to Caltrans at least once every six months or the project may risk losing its funds.
- Local agency must submit semi-annual progress reports to OCTA by the 30th day of March and September, for the prior six months. An example of the required report is provided in Appendix 4. (Note: OCTA may require additional information for compliance with the federal performance measures.)
- If ROW is funded, the agency must award a construction contract by the tenth FY following the year of the ROW authorization to proceed or risk repayment of the funds to FHWA.

STANDBY LIST

A standby list will be created for projects that are not awarded through the initial round of funding. The 2019 BCIP standby list projects will be ranked by score. Project cost savings and cancellations made available in the 2019 BCIP call or prior calls may be awarded to the next highest project, contingent on eligibility review and Board of Directors approval.

The 2019 BCIP standby list will expire after the approval of any future BCIP call.

TIMELY USE OF FUNDS

BCIP projects funded through FHWA must be obligated by May 1st of the programmed FY. If OCTA has not received proof of submittal of the E-76 to Caltrans by February 1st of the programmed FY, or it is determined that the project cannot proceed, or has not received an approved time extension, the funding for the project will be **cancelled**.

Projects with environmental or preliminary engineering phases of work must advance to ROW and/or construction within the tenth FY in which the funds are authorized or risk repayment of federal funds to FHWA.

Time Extensions. Time extensions will be considered for only the implementation phases on a case-by-case basis and are contingent on OCTA Board approval. No extensions will be granted to projects awarded funds for environmental phases. An agency may request a one-time, one fiscal year time extension for implementation phase funding in letter format. All requests must include an explanation of the issues and actions the agency has taken to correct the issues.

SCOPE CHANGES AND COST SAVINGS

Scope Changes. Scope reductions will only be considered on a case by case basis. Scope change requests should be submitted in letter format. The local agency must provide an explanation of the scope change, justification for the change and documentation showing it would not affect the scoring of the project. OCTA will complete a thorough evaluation of the scope change and impact to the project score prior to making a recommendation. If a scope change reduces the project score below projects on the standby list, the project may be recommended for cancellation or the request may be denied.

Cost Savings. If the local agency reduces the scope of an approved project or the project phase experiences cost savings, a reduction in BCIP funds must be applied proportionally to maintain the approved local match percentage.

FEDERAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The FTIP is the primary programming and implementation process for projects identified in the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). All projects in the FTIP are consistent with SCAG's approved RTP/Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS). The FTIP is a financially constrained document that covers a minimum of four years with updates every two years, and is amended periodically to reflect changes in funding, scope, or schedule. Projects included in the FTIP are federally or state funded, or are considered regionally significant.

Transportation Control Measures (TCMS). TCMs are specific transportation projects and programs that improve air quality. These projects and programs provide multiple

benefits, including emissions reduction and mobility/accessibility improvements. Non-motorized TCM-types include new or expanded bicycle facilities that are over 1 mile and new or expanded pedestrian facilities that are more than 1/4 mile. For a specific list of projects consistent with TCM-type categories, please refer to the list on SCAG's 2017 FTIP Guidelines here: <http://ftip.scag.ca.gov/Documents/Final2017FTIPGuidelines.pdf>.

TCM projects are considered committed once funds have been programmed for right-of-way acquisition or for post-design implementation in the first two years of the FTIP. A committed TCM must be operational or implemented by the completion date committed in the FTIP. Local agencies must notify OCTA when a TCM project cannot be delivered or will be significantly delayed. All committed TCMs must be implemented on schedule or substituted to avoid a conformity lapse at the expense of the local agency. Projects that are committed Transportation Control Measures in the FTIP and are cancelled will require the local agency to provide a substitution project that provides the same air quality benefits at the expense of the local agency.

In order to maintain maximum flexibility, OCTA may program the BCIP funds in a later year in the FTIP than what was approved. If this is the case, as needed, OCTA will request Caltrans for the Expedited Project Selection Procedures to allow the project to be obligated in the appropriate year approved by the OCTA Board of Directors. ***The FTIP may not indicate the projects approved program year. For approved projects, agencies may refer to executed cooperative agreement and OCTA Board Approval of Projects for project information.***

2019 ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM

Agencies may opt to submit applications through the State 2019 Active Transportation Program (ATP) and the 2019 BCIP call. However, if the same project is submitted to both programs, and is recommended for funding by both programs, the agency must accept the ATP funds in lieu of the BCIP funds. This allows maximizing external funding sources consistent with OCTA's Capital Programming Policies and increases the number of projects that can be delivered in Orange County.

INVOICES AND REIMBURSEMENTS

The BCIP uses federal CMAQ program funds that are reimbursable through FHWA via Caltrans. Local agencies are expected to finance their projects as they proceed. Eligible expenditures based on the local match rate/percentage provided for each phase and up to the ceiling of the federal funding share will be reimbursed in arrears with an acceptable invoice based on the "pro-rata" match rate proposed in the original grant application.

SEMI-ANNUAL AND FINAL REPORTS

The local agency must submit semi-annual progress reports to OCTA by the 30th day of March and September, for the prior 6 months. **An example of the required report is**

provided in Appendix 4. OCTA may require additional information for compliance with the federal performance measures.

Once a project is complete, the local agency must submit a final report package 90 days following Caltrans issuance of final payment. The final report package should include at minimum:

- Signed OCTA Final Report Form
- A copy of *all* invoices and final expenditure reports
- Project Notice of Completion
- Before and After Photos of the Project Site

IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE

Applications must be received by OCTA no later than 4:00 p.m. on Thursday, November 15th, 2018.

After the applications are reviewed by OCTA for overall compliance, an advisory panel will review and rank projects. A recommended priority list of projects is scheduled to be forwarded to the OCTA Board for approval in March 2019.

The estimated timeline for the BCIP listed below is subject to change.

Board of Directors Approval of Guidelines / Call Open	September 24, 2018
Application Due Date	Thursday, November 15 th , 2018
Evaluation Panel Application Review	November 16 th , 2018 – January 25 th , 2019
Regional Planning and Highways Committee Approval of Projects	March 4, 2019
Board Approval of Projects	March 11, 2019

MINIMUM INFORMATION FOR APPLICATION

The following information, including the BCIP grant application form, is required by OCTA to evaluate and select projects. Grant applications submitted with incomplete information or lacking the required number of copies will not be evaluated.

The application submittal must include the following form:

- One unbound original paper copy in 8 ½ x 11. Parts 1 through 5 must be no more than 20 pages long. Exhibits are not limited in size.
- One electronic copy submitted online. See page 11 for more information.

The application must include:

- A. Cover Letter
- B. Table of Contents (page-numbered)

- C. Application:
- Part 1: General project information (including description (including length), scope, and schedule.)
 - Part 2: Funding¹
 - Part 3: Evaluation criteria
 - Part 4: Cooperative Agreement concurrence
- D. Exhibits:
- Environmental documentation
 - Photos of the existing project site
 - Project design or concept drawings
 - Precise maps showing the proposed site(s) for the project and an Electronic GIS shape file
 - ROW map and lease agreement or purchase agreement (if applicable)
 - Master Plan of Arterial Highways supporting documentation, if appropriate
 - Bicycle and Pedestrians Safety Enhancements Supporting Documentation
 - Air Quality Calculations / Justification
 - Draft Required BCIP Agency Resolution (See Appendix 3 – Final approved resolution must be provided no later than November 30, 2018)
 - Letters of Support

SUBMITTAL INFORMATION AND CONTACTS

Base Applications will be available for download as an excel document at:
<http://www.octa.net/Projects-and-Programs/Plans-and-Studies/Funding-Programs/Call-for-Projects/BCIP-Call-For-Projects/>

Applications are due **Thursday, November 15th, 2018 by 4:00 p.m.** Completed applications and questions regarding these procedures and criteria should be directed to Louis Zhao of OCTA at:

Mail:

Louis Zhao
 Section Manager, Discretionary Funding Programs
 Orange County Transportation Authority
 550 S. Main Street
 Orange, CA 92863-1584

Drop Off:

Orange County Transportation Authority
 600 S. Main Street
 Orange, CA 92863-1584

¹ Please note the fiscal year requested in the application is the assumed program year, unless otherwise stated.

Electronic Submittal:

Electronic submittals must be made through the new OCTA's State and Federal Calls for Projects database. Please contact Denise Arriaga at darriaga@octa.net or (714) 560- 5489 to set-up agency access.

Directions for uploading applications will be available online upon access to electronic submittal site.

Questions regarding the BCIP, bicycle and pedestrian planning, and MPAH can be directed to:

BCIP Program Manager:

Louis Zhao
Section Manager, Discretionary Funding Programs
Email: lzhao@octa.net
Tel: (714) 560-5494

State and Federal Calls for Projects Database Administrator

Denise Arriaga
Associate Business Unit Analyst
Email: darriaga@octa.net
Tel: (714) 560-5489

Active Transportation Coordinator:


Paul Martin
Active Transportation Coordinator
Email: pmartin@octa.net
Tel: (714) 560-5386


Master Plan of Arterial Highways Program Administrator:

Carolyn Mamaradlo
Senior Transportation Analyst
Email: cmamaradlo@octa.net
Tel: (714) 560-5748

- APPENDICES:**
- 1) Existing Bikeways Map
 - 2) Bikeways Priority Index Ranking Criteria
 - 3) BCIP Agency Resolution
 - 4) Semi-Annual Report Form

APPENDIX 1: EXISTING BIKEWAYS MAP





APPENDIX 2: BIKEWAYS PRIORITY INDEX RANKING CRITERIA

The following is a list of criteria used to evaluate projects in the Bikeways Priority Index Ranking (BPIR). The BPIR sums criteria from origins and destinations. Origins include major residential areas with high population or high density. Destinations include major areas of employment and activity centers.

ORIGINS

FACTOR	MAX VALUE
Population Density (Base)	10
Population Growth (2035)	8
Population Density Less Than 18 Years Old (United States Census American Community Survey [ACS])	8
Land-Use Mix	8
Bicycle to Work (ACS)	8
Bicycle Network Proximity (Existing)	8

DESTINATIONS

FACTOR	MAX VALUE
Employment Density (Base)	8
Employment Growth (2035)	8
Universities/Colleges (enrollment)	8
Metrolink Rail Stations (AM Alightings)	8
Schools (Elementary, Middle, High School)	8
Parks, Local Retail/Public Services	4
Bus Stops (PM Trips)	6

APPENDIX 3: REQUIRED BCIP AGENCY RESOLUTION

REQUIRED AGENCY RESOLUTION REQUESTING FUNDS FOR APPROVED PROJECT RESOLUTION MUST BE RECEIVED BY OCTA NO LATER THAN **DECEMBER 31, 2018.**

RESOLUTION NO. _____

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL/BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE CITY/COUNTY OF _____ AUTHORIZING APPLICATION FOR FUNDS FOR THE BICYCLE CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FUNDED WITH CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FUNDING UNDER THE FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL TRANSPORTATION ACT FOR (NAME OF PROPOSAL) PROJECT.

WHEREAS, the United State Congress enacted the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Federal Transportation Act on December 4, 2015, which makes Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ) funds available to the Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA); and

WHEREAS, OCTA has established the procedures and criteria for reviewing proposals; and

WHEREAS, (AGENCY) possesses authority to nominate bicycle projects funded using Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program funding and to finance, acquire, and construct the proposed project; and

WHEREAS, by formal action the (GOVERNING BODY) authorizes the nomination of (NAME OF PROPOSAL), including all understanding and assurances contained therein, and authorizes the person identified as the official representative of the (AGENCY) to act in connection with the nomination and to provide such additional information as may be required; and

WHEREAS, the (AGENCY) will maintain and operate the property acquired, developed, rehabilitated, or restored for the life of the resultant facility(ies) or activity; and

WHEREAS, with the approval of the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) and/or OCTA, the (AGENCY) or its successors in interest in the property may transfer the responsibility to maintain and operate the property; and

WHEREAS, the (AGENCY) will give Caltrans and/or OCTA's representatives access to and the right to examine all records, books, papers or documents related to the bicycle project; and

WHEREAS, the (AGENCY) will cause project work to commence within six months following notification from the State or OCTA that funds have been authorized to proceed by the Federal Highway Administration or Federal Transit Administration and that the project will be carried to completion with reasonable diligence; and

WHEREAS, the (AGENCY) commits (MATCH DOLLAR VALUE) of (MATCHING FUND SOURCE) and will provide (PERCENT LOCAL AGENCY MATCH) of the total project cost as match to the requested (REQUESTED CMAQ DOLLAR VALUE) in OCTA CMAQ funds for a total project cost estimated to be (TOTAL PROJECT COST)

WHEREAS, the (AGENCY) may elect to commit additional funds to the (PROJECT) to fund an expanded scope which provides additional quantifiable benefits. The (AGENCY) is required to notify OCTA to grant approval prior to commencing on the additional scope; and

WHEREAS, the (AGENCY) will comply where applicable with provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act, the National Environmental Policy Act, the American with Disabilities Act, Federal Title VI, Buy America provision, and any other federal, state, and/or local laws, rules and/or regulations; and

WHEREAS, the (AGENCY)'s (GOVERNING BODY) authorizes the execution of any necessary cooperative agreements between the (AGENCY) and OCTA to facilitate the delivery of the project; and

WHEREAS, (AGENCY) will amend the agency Capital Improvement Program (CIP) to include the project if selected for funding; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City/County of _____, hereby authorizes (NAME OF AGENCY REPRESENTATIVE) as the official representative of the (AGENCY) to apply for the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program funding under the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act for (NAME OF PROPOSAL).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City/County of _____ agrees to fund its share of the project costs and any additional costs over the identified programmed amount.

Signed _____ Date _____
Mayor

Printed (Name and Title) _____

Signed _____ Date _____
Clerk Recorder

Printed (Name and Title) _____

APPENDIX 4: SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FORM

Project Title: _____

Agency: _____ Date: _____

Schedule	Original Completion Date	Current / Estimated Completion Date
Draft Environmental Document		
Final Environmental Document		
Start Design / Engineering		
Complete Design / Engineering		
Start Right-of-Way Acquisition		
Right-of-Way Certification		
Submit Request for Authorization for Construction (E-76)		
Ready to Advertise		
Award Construction		
Project Completion (open for use)		

Funding Table:

Environmental/Preliminary Engineering (\$000's)

Fund Source	Fiscal Year	Planned Obligation	Current Estimates	Actual Expended	Remaining Allocation

Final Design (\$000's)

Fund Source	Fiscal Year	Planned Obligation	Current Estimates	Actual Expended	Remaining Allocation

Right-of-Way (\$000's)

Fund Source	Fiscal Year	Planned Obligation	Current Estimates	Actual Expended	Remaining Allocation

Construction (\$000's)

Fund Source	Fiscal Year	Planned Obligation	Revised Allocation	Actual Expended	Remaining Allocation

Major Activities:

Status:

Issues and Resolution:

OCTA Authorized Funding History:

Date	Title	Action/ Brief Description
<i>Ex. March 11, 2019</i>	<i>OCTA Board Report 2019 BCIP Funding Recommendations</i>	<i>Approved \$100,000 in CMAQ and an associated \$50,000 in local match</i>

Name/Title:

Phone: _____ Email: _____

Note: OCTA may require additional information on performance of the project related to either air quality or transportation usage.

2019 Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program Guidelines Summary

Technical Steering Committee (TSC) Comments

TSC Comment	OCTA Response
<p>Move Preliminary Engineering (30% plans) into Environmental Program and remove from Implementation phases of work. Preliminary Engineering is required as part of the Environmental document.</p>	<p>Moved Preliminary Engineering into Environmental Program. Rename program “Environmental and Preliminary Engineering.”</p>
<p>Allow match scalability in Environmental Program. Projects that request higher dollar values should have reduced match requirements.</p>	<p>A minimum match of 12 percent will be required for all projects. OCTA will provide points to encourage overmatch.</p> <p>Under the Environmental and Preliminary Engineering, for every percent of overmatch, an additional two points will be added up to 30 points. 12% = 0 points 27+% = 30 points</p> <p>Under implementation for every percent of overmatch, an additional one point will be added up to 15 points.</p>
<p>Agencies that are successfully awarded funds from both 2019 Active Transportation Program (ATP) and 2019 Bicycle Corridor Improvement Program are required to take the 2019 ATP Funds. 2019 BCIP funds will return to the program to be awarded to the next highest scoring project.</p> <p>TSC requests that an agency should be allowed to keep the BCIP for match purposes.</p>	<p>The purpose of this policy is to maximize external funding resources from outside of Orange County. In addition, OCTA seeks to ensure equitable distribution of funds and maximizing the number of projects funded. Applying BCIP funds to ATP projects forces the OCTA Board of Directors to approve all time extensions and scope changes approved by the California Transportation Commission. This creates conflicts and inconsistencies with the BCIP Guidelines.</p> <p>Current federal policy does not allow the matching of federal transportation funds with like federal transportation funds. ATP policy requires all projects submitted for consideration through the 2019 ATP Call for Projects be federally eligible. There is no guarantee of state-only funds for any given project.</p> <p>In addition, ATP requires applicants to provide a match commitment of funds. Uncommitted matching funds are not allowed in the call.</p>